(j) Material Incorporated by Reference

None.

Issued on June 17, 2022.

Christina Underwood,

Acting Director, Compliance & Airworthiness Division, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 2022–13503 Filed 6–24–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 71

[Docket No. FAA-2022-0307; Airspace Docket No. 22-AGL-17]

RIN 2120-AA66

Amendment of Class E Airspace; Milbank and South Dakota, SD

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action amends the Class E airspace at Milbank, SD, and the State of South Dakota. The FAA is taking this action due to an airspace review conducted as part of the decommissioning of the Watertown very high frequency (VHF) omnidirectional range (VOR) as part of the VOR Minimal Operational Network (MON) Program. The geographic coordinates of the airport are also being updated to coincide with the FAA's aeronautical database.

DATES: Effective 0901 UTC, September 8, 2022. The Director of the Federal Register approves this incorporation by reference action under 1 CFR part 51, subject to the annual revision of FAA Order JO 7400.11 and publication of conforming amendments.

ADDRESSES: FAA Order JO 7400.11F, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, and subsequent amendments can be viewed online at https://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/publications/. For further information, you can contact the Airspace Policy Group, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–8783.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jeffrey Claypool, Federal Aviation Administration, Operations Support Group, Central Service Center, 10101 Hillwood Parkway, Fort Worth, TX 76177; telephone (817) 222–5711.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Authority for This Rulemaking

The FAA's authority to issue rules regarding aviation safety is found in

Title 49 of the United States Code. Subtitle I, Section 106 describes the authority of the FAA Administrator. Subtitle VII, Aviation Programs, describes in more detail the scope of the agency's authority. This rulemaking is promulgated under the authority described in Subtitle VII, Part A, Subpart I, Section 40103. Under that section, the FAA is charged with prescribing regulations to assign the use of airspace necessary to ensure the safety of aircraft and the efficient use of airspace. This regulation is within the scope of that authority as it amends the Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface at Milbank Municipal Airport, Milbank, SD, to support instrument flight rule operations at this airport, and amends the Class E airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface over the State of South Dakota to clarify, simplify, standardize the airspace over the state, and close any gaps in the Class E airspace to support instrument flight rule operation over the state.

History

The FAA published a notice of proposed rulemaking in the **Federal Register** (87 FR 21058; April 11, 2022) for Docket No. FAA–2022–0307 to amend the Class E airspace at Milbank, SD, and the State of South Dakota. Interested parties were invited to participate in this rulemaking effort by submitting written comments on the proposal to the FAA. No comments were received.

Class E airspace designations are published in paragraph 6005 of FAA Order JO 7400.11F, dated August 10, 2021, and effective September 15, 2021, which is incorporated by reference in 14 CFR 71.1. The Class E airspace designations listed in this document will be published subsequently in FAA Order JO 7400.11.

Availability and Summary of Documents for Incorporation by Reference

This document amends FAA Order JO 7400.11F, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 10, 2021, and effective September 15, 2021. FAA Order JO 7400.11F is publicly available as listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. FAA Order JO 7400.11F lists Class A, B, C, D, and E airspace areas, air traffic service routes, and reporting points.

The Rule

This amendment to 14 CFR part 71: Amends the Class E airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface at Milbank Municipal Airport, Milbank, SD, by removing the Watertown VOR from the airspace legal description; updates the geographic coordinates of the airport to coincide with the FAA's aeronautical database; and removes the airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface as it will become redundant with the amendment of the Class E airspace over the State of South Dakota;

And amends the Class E airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface at South Dakota, SD, from "... an area bounded on the north by lat. 43°40′00″ N, on the east by long. 100°05′00″ W, on the south by the South Dakota, Nebraska border, and on the west by long. 102°00′02″ W" to "... the boundary of the State of South Dakota" to clarify, simply, standardize the airspace over the state, and close any gaps in the Class E airspace.

This action is due to an airspace review conducted as part of the decommissioning of the Watertown VOR, which provided navigation information for the instrument procedures at this airport, as part of the VOR MON Program.

FAA Order JŎ 7400.11, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, is published yearly and effective on September 15.

Regulatory Notices and Analyses

The FAA has determined that this regulation only involves an established body of technical regulations for which frequent and routine amendments are necessary to keep them operationally current, is non-controversial and unlikely to result in adverse or negative comments. It, therefore: (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034; February 26, 1979); and (3) does not warrant preparation of a regulatory evaluation as the anticipated impact is so minimal. Since this is a routine matter that only affects air traffic procedures and air navigation, it is certified that this rule, when promulgated, does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

Environmental Review

The FAA has determined that this action qualifies for categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures," paragraph 5–6.5.a. This airspace action is not expected to cause any potentially significant environmental impacts, and

no extraordinary circumstances exist that warrant preparation of an environmental assessment.

Lists of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air).

Adoption of the Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration amends 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order JO 7400.11F, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 10, 2021, and effective September 15, 2021, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

AGL SD E5 Milbank, SD [Amended]

Milbank Municipal Airport, SD, (Lat. 45°13′50″ N, long. 96°33′58″ W)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet or more above the surface within a 6.4-mile radius of the Milbank Municipal Airport.

AGL SD E5 South Dakota, SD [Amended]

That airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within the boundary of the State of South Dakota.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on June 21, 2022.

Wayne L. Eckenrode,

Acting Manager, Operations Support Group, ATO Central Service Center.

[FR Doc. 2022–13507 Filed 6–24–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

24 CFR Parts 880, 881, 883, 884, 886, and 891

[Docket No. FR-5654-F-03]

RIN 2502-AJ22

Streamlining Management and Occupancy Reviews for Section 8 Housing Assistance Programs

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing—Federal Housing Commissioner.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule amends existing project-based Section 8 regulations related to Management and Occupancy Reviews (MORs) for the following seven project-based Section 8 programs administered by the Office of Multifamily Housing Programs: the Section 8 Housing Assistance Payments (HAP) Programs for New Construction, Substantial Rehabilitation, State Housing Agencies, New Construction financed under Section 515 of the Housing Act of 1949, the Loan Management Set-Aside Program, the HAP Program for the Disposition of **HUD-Owned Projects**, and the Section 202/8 Program. Under this final rule, MORs will be conducted in accordance with a performance-based schedule published in the Federal Register, following a notice and comment period. The first such schedule is being published concurrently with this final rule and can be found elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register. HUD is making this move to a performancebased MOR schedule to establish a riskbased scheduling protocol, reduce the frequency of MORs for projects that consistently perform well, and provide consistency across programs with respect to MOR frequency. Additionally, HUD is correcting a regulatory citation in its regulations concerning the Section 8 Housing Assistance Program for the Disposition of HUD-Owned Projects. **DATES:** The effective date of this final rule is September 26, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jennifer Lavorel, Director, Program Administration Office, Office of Multifamily Asset Management, Office of Housing, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Washington, DC 20410–7000; telephone number 202–402–2515 (this is not a toll-free number). Hearing- and speechimpaired persons may access this number through TTY by calling the Federal Relay Service at 800–877–8339 (this is a toll-free number).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

On January 14, 2015, HUD published for public comment a proposed rule (80 FR 1860) to amend the regulations that govern seven project-based Section 8 HAP programs administered by the Office of Multifamily Housing Programs: the HAP program for New Construction (24 CFR part 880) and the HAP program for Substantial Rehabilitation (24 CFR part 881), which provide rental assistance in connection with the development of newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated privately owned rental housing; the HAP Program for State Housing Agencies (24 CFR part 883), which applies to newly constructed or substantially rehabilitated housing financed by State agencies; the HAP program for New Construction financed under Section 515 of the Housing Act of 1949 (24 CFR part 884), which applies to U.S. Department of Agriculture rural rental housing projects; the Loan Management Set Aside Program (24 CFR part 886, subpart A), which provides rental subsidies to HUD-insured or HUD-held multifamily properties experiencing immediate or potential financial difficulties; the HAP for the Disposition of HUD-Owned Projects (24 CFR part 886, subpart C), which provides Section 8 assistance in connection with the sale of HUD-owned multifamily rental housing projects and the foreclosure of HUD-held mortgages on rental housing projects; and the Section 202/8 Program (24 CFR part 891, subpart E), which provides assistance for housing projects serving the elderly or households headed by persons with disabilities.

For the above-described programs, contract administrators (CAs) conduct Management and Occupancy Reviews (MORs) to assess project performance. MORs evaluate management, provide oversight of HUD-assisted projects, and assure owner compliance with HAP contract requirements. Under existing regulations, the frequency of MORs across programs is inconsistent. For example, some programs require CAs to perform MORs at least annually, while others require an MOR only as necessary. The proposed rule sought to provide for consistency across

Existing regulations also fail to take into consideration project performance. In fact, many projects assisted under the above-described programs consistently receive high MOR scores. For example, in FY 2018, 90.4 percent of projects received a score of "Satisfactory," "Above Average," or "Superior"; the number of projects receiving such scores