

will be 45 days from the date the Environmental Protection Agency publishes the notice of availability of the draft EIS in the **Federal Register**.

The Forest Service believes, at this early stage, it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. First, reviewers of draft statements must structure their participation in the environmental review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions. *Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp. v. NRDC*, 435 U.S. 519, 553 (1978). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. *City of Angoon v. Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris*, 490 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). Because of these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Al Vazquez, District Ranger, or Robin Bryant, Interdisciplinary Team Leader, may be contacted by phone at (530) 258-2141 for more information about the supplemental environmental impact statement or at the Almanor Ranger District, P.O. Box 767, Chester, CA 96020.

Responsible Official and Mailing Address: Laurie Tippin, Forest Supervisor, 2550 Riverside Drive, Susanville, CA 96130, is the responsible official for the Record of Decision.

Dated: December 1, 2006.

Jeff Withroe,

Acting Forest Supervisor, Lassen National Forest.

[FR Doc. 06-9567 Filed 12-6-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5410-99-M

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Sunshine Act Notice

DATE AND TIME: Thursday, December 14, 2006, 9 a.m.

PLACE: U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, 624 Ninth Street, NW., Rm. 540, Washington, DC 20425.

The meeting is also accessible to the public through the following: Call-In Number: 1-800-597-0731. Access Code Number: 43783773. Federal Relay Service: 1-800-877-8339.

Meeting Agenda

- I. Approval of Agenda
- II. Approval of Minutes of November 17, Meeting
- III. Announcements
- IV. Staff Director's Report
- V. Management and Operations
 - Quality Information Guidelines
 - Proposed Rule on Conduct Regulations
 - Proposed Rule on Outside Employment
 - Strategic Planning
 - Procedures for Briefing Reports
 - Procedures for National Office Work Products
- VI. Program Planning
 - January Business Meeting and Briefing
 - Revised 2007 Business Meeting and Briefing Calendar
 - Affirmative Action in Law Schools Briefing Report
 - Campus anti-Semitism Public Education Campaign
 - Kentucky SAC Report
 - Florida SAC Report
- VII. State Advisory Committee Issues
 - California SAC Members
 - Arizona SAC
- VIII. Future Agenda Items
- X. Adjourn

Briefing Agenda

- Commission Briefing: Elementary and Secondary School Desegregation
- Introductory Remarks by Chairman
 - Speakers' Presentation
 - Questions by Commissioners and Staff Director

CONTACT PERSON FOR FURTHER

INFORMATION: Manuel Alba, Press and Communications (202) 376-7700.

David P. Blackwood,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 06-9584 Filed 12-4-06; 4:21 pm]

BILLING CODE 6335-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Foreign-Trade Zones Board

Docket T-5-2006

Foreign-Trade Zone 196 - Fort Worth, Texas, Application for Temporary/Interim Manufacturing Authority, Motorola, Inc. (Mobile Phone Kitting)

An application has been submitted to the Acting Executive Secretary of the Foreign-Trade Zones Board (the Board) by the Alliance Corridor, Inc., grantee of FTZ 196, requesting temporary/interim manufacturing (T/IM) authority within FTZ 196, at the facilities of Motorola, Inc. (Motorola) located in Fort Worth, Texas. The application was filed on November 28, 2006.

The Motorola facilities (3,800 employees, annual capacity for up to 50 - 60 million mobile phone sets) are located at multiple locations (including those of affiliates and third-party contractors) within Sites 1 and 2 of FTZ 196, and include 4801 Westport Parkway and 15005 Peterson Court, in Fort Worth, Texas. Under T/IM procedures, Motorola has requested authority to process (kit) certain imported components into mobile phone sets (HTSUS 8525.20 - the phones enter the United States duty-free). The company may source the following potentially dutiable components from abroad for processing under T/IM authority, as described in its application: batteries (HTSUS 8507.80), power supplies (HTSUS 8504.40), lithium batteries (HTSUS 8507.30), cables (HTSUS 8544.41), housing assemblies (HTSUS 8529.90), and printed circuit connectors (HTSUS 8536.69). Duty rates on these inputs range from duty-free to 3.4 percent, *ad valorem*. T/IM authority could be granted for a period of up to two years. Motorola has also submitted a request for permanent FTZ manufacturing authority (for which Board filing is pending), which includes a range of additional inputs.

FTZ T/IM procedures would allow Motorola to elect the finished-product duty rate for the imported components listed above. The application indicates that most of the FTZ savings would result from choosing the duty-free rate on mobile phones for imported batteries (HTSUS 8507.80, duty rate - 3.4%). The company indicates that it would also realize logistical/paperwork savings and duty-deferral savings under FTZ procedures. Motorola's application states that the above-cited savings from zone procedures could help improve the company's international competitiveness.