

the **Federal Register** an initiation of the 2020–2021 AD administrative review on CTL plate from Belgium and the preliminary results and partial rescission of the 2020–2021 AD administrative review on CTL Plate from Belgium.¹ In these notices, we incorrectly spelled the company name of NLMK Verona SpA, a company for which Commerce initiated and subsequently rescinded an administrative review.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4) and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i).

Dated: July 5, 2022.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

[FR Doc. 2022–14706 Filed 7–8–22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–520–807]

Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce,

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers and/or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at prices less than normal value during the period of review (POR), December 1, 2019, through November 30, 2020.

DATES: Applicable July 11, 2022.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benjamin A. Luberda or Steven Seifert, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–2185 or (202) 482–3350, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

¹ See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 86 FR 35481 (July 6, 2021); see also *Certain Carbon and Alloy Steel Cut-To-Length Plate From Belgium: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 34244 (June 6, 2022).

Background

This review covers five producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. Commerce selected two mandatory respondents for individual examination: Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C./Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C.-Branch-1 (collectively, Ajmal)¹ and Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd./THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC/KHK Scaffolding and Formwork LLC (collectively, Universal).² The producers/exporters not selected for individual examination are Conares Metal Supply Limited, TSI Metal Industries L.L.C.,³ and K.D. Industries Inc.

¹ We collapsed Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C. and Noble Steel Industries L.L.C. (Noble Steel) together in the final results of the 2016–2017 administrative review. See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016–2017*, 84 FR 44845 (August 27, 2019) (CWP from the UAE 2016–2017 Final Results). Because there is no information on the record of this administrative review that would lead us to revisit this determination, we are continuing to treat these companies as part of a single entity for the purposes of this administrative review. Moreover, in the *Preliminary Results*, we preliminarily found that Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind., L.L.C.-Branch-1 (Ajmal Branch 1) is the successor-in-interest to Noble Steel. See Memorandum, “Preliminary Successor-In-Interest Determination for Determination for Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C.-Branch-1,” dated December 30, 2021. No party has challenged this determination for the final results. Accordingly, we continue to find that Ajmal Branch 1 is the successor-in-interest to Noble Steel.

² Commerce previously determined that Universal is a single entity consisting of the following three producers/exporters of subject merchandise: Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd.; KHK Scaffolding and Framework LLC; and Universal Tube and Pipe Industries LLC (UTP). See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 81 FR 36882 (June 8, 2016), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM), unchanged in *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value*, 81 FR 75030 (October 28, 2016), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. Because there is no information on the record of this administrative review that would lead us to revisit this determination, we are continuing to treat these companies as part of a single entity for the purposes of this administrative review. Additionally, we previously determined that THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC is the successor-in-interest to UTP. See CWP from the UAE 2016–2017 Final Results.

³ On December 30, 2021, we preliminarily found that TSI Metal Industries L.L.C. (TSI Metal) is the successor-in-interest to Tiger Steel Industries L.L.C. (Tiger Steel). See Memorandum, “Preliminary Successor-In-Interest Determination for TSI Metal Industries L.L.C.,” dated December 30, 2021. No party has challenged this determination for the final results. Thus, we continue to find that TSI Metal is the successor-in-interest to Tiger Steel. Accordingly, we will notify U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) of this determination and assign Tiger Steel’s company-specific case number and cash deposit rate to TSI Metal.

On January 7, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.⁴ On April 25, 2022, we postponed the final results until July 1, 2022.⁵ A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by interested parties for these final results, may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁶ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order⁷

The merchandise subject to the order is welded carbon-quality steel pipes and tube, of circular cross-section, with an outside diameter not more than nominal 16 inches (406.4 mm), regardless of wall thickness, surface finish, end finish, or industry specification, and generally known as standard pipe, fence pipe and tube, sprinkler pipe, or structural pipe (although subject product may also be referred to as mechanical tubing). The products subject to this order are currently classifiable in Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) statistical reporting numbers 7306.19.1010, 7306.19.1050, 7306.19.5110, 7306.19.5150, 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5015, 7306.30.5020, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085, 7306.30.5090, 7306.50.1000,

⁴ See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 87 FR 930 (January 7, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying PDM.

⁵ See Memorandum, “Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of 2019–2020 Administrative Review,” dated April 25, 2022.

⁶ See Memorandum, “Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2019–2020 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁷ See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the Sultanate of Oman, Pakistan, and the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 91906 (December 19, 2016) (*Order*).

7306.50.5030, 7306.50.5050, and 7306.50.5070. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience and for customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.⁸

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised by interested parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. For a list of issues raised by parties, *see* the appendix to this notice.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding the *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the preliminary weighted-average margin calculations for Ajmal, Universal, and the non-examined companies.⁹

Rate for Non-Examined Companies

The Act and Commerce's regulations do not address the establishment of a weighted-average dumping margin to be applied to companies not selected for individual examination when Commerce limits its examination in an administrative review pursuant to section 777A(c)(2) of the Act. Generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, for guidance when calculating the weighted-average dumping margin for companies which were not selected for individual examination in an administrative review. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally an amount equal to the weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding rates that are zero, *de minimis* (i.e., less than 0.5 percent), or determined entirely on the basis of facts available.

For the final results, Commerce calculated estimated weighted-average dumping margins for Ajmal and Universal that are not zero, *de minimis*, or based entirely on facts otherwise available. Accordingly, Commerce has continued to calculate the rate for companies not selected for individual examination using a weighted average of the margins calculated for Ajmal and Universal, weighted by each respondent's publicly-ranged total U.S. sales quantity.¹⁰

⁸ For a complete description of the scope of the order, *see* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

⁹ *See* the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

¹⁰ When Commerce's individual examination of respondents is limited to two respondents,

Final Results of the Review

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period December 1, 2019, through November 30, 2020:

| Exporter/producer | Weighted-average dumping margin (percent) |
|---|---|
| Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C./Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C.-Branch-1 | 2.27 |
| Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd./THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC/KHK Scaffolding and Formwork LLC | 3.54 |
| Conares Metal Supply Limited | 2.77 |
| TSI Metal Industries L.L.C. | 2.77 |
| K.D. Industries Inc | 2.77 |

Disclosure

We intend to disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and CBP shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), because Ajmal and Universal reported

Commerce normally calculates: (A) a weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the individually-examined respondents; (B) a simple average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the individually-examined respondents; and (C) a weighted average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins calculated for the individually-examined respondents using each company's publicly-ranged U.S. sales quantities of subject merchandise. Commerce then compares then compares (B) and (C) to (A) and selects either the (B) or (C) rate based on the rate closest to (A) as the most appropriate rate for companies not selected for individual examination, as using the (A) rate would result in the disclosure of business proprietary information. *See, e.g., Ball Bearings and Parts Thereof from France, Germany, Italy, Japan, and the United Kingdom: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews, Final Results of Changed-Circumstances Review, and Revocation of an Order in Part*, 75 FR 53661, 53663 (September 1, 2010). In this review, Commerce based the rate for companies not selected for individual examination on the publicly-ranged sales data of the mandatory respondents. For an analysis of the data, *see* Memorandum, "Calculation of the All-Others Rate for the Final Results," dated concurrently with this notice.

the entered value of their U.S. sales, we calculated importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported.

For the companies that were not selected for individual review, we will assign an assessment rate based on the methodology described in the "Rate for Non-Examined Companies" section, above.

Commerce's "automatic assessment" will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.¹¹

We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for each specific company listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated companies not subject to this review, the cash deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a

¹¹ For a full discussion of this practice, *see Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

firm covered in this review, or a previous segment, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 5.95 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹² These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: July 1, 2022.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations.

Appendix—List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order

¹² See Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the Sultanate of Oman, Pakistan, and the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders, 81 FR 91906, 91908 (December 19, 2016).

- IV. Final Successor-in-Interest Determination
- V. Margin Calculations
- VI. Discussion of the Issue
- Comment: Selection of the Correct Universes of Sales for Ajmal for the Period of Review (POR)

VII. Recommendation
[FR Doc. 2022-14610 Filed 7-8-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[RTID 0648-XC160]

New England Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The New England Fishery Management Council (Council) is scheduling a public meeting of its Ecosystem-Based Fishery Management (EBFM) Committee to consider actions affecting New England fisheries in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ). Recommendations from this group will be brought to the full Council for formal consideration and action, if appropriate.

DATES: This webinar will be held on Tuesday, July 26, 2022, at 9:30 a.m. Webinar registration URL information: <https://attendee.gotowebinar.com/register/609258508760430607>.

ADDRESSES:

Council address: New England Fishery Management Council, 50 Water Street, Mill 2, Newburyport, MA 01950.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas A. Nies, Executive Director, New England Fishery Management Council; telephone: (978) 465-0492.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Agenda

The EBFM Committee will meet receive updates on and discuss the following issues: (1) Preparation for public information workshops on Ecosystem-Based Fishery Management for Georges Bank, and (2) Prototype Management Strategy Evaluation of Georges Bank Ecosystem-Based Fishery management strategies. The Committee will discuss other business including discussions with NOAA Fisheries leadership about National Standard 1 concerns about stock complex catch limit management proposed in the example Fishery Ecosystem Plan. They

may also discuss development of 2023 management priorities.

Although non-emergency issues not contained on the agenda may come before this Council for discussion, those issues may not be the subject of formal action during this meeting. Council action will be restricted to those issues specifically listed in this notice and any issues arising after publication of this notice that require emergency action under section 305(c) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act, provided the public has been notified of the Council's intent to take final action to address the emergency. The public also should be aware that the meeting will be recorded. Consistent with 16 U.S.C. 1852, a copy of the recording is available upon request.

Special Accommodations

This meeting is physically accessible to people with disabilities. Requests for sign language interpretation or other auxiliary aids should be directed to Thomas A. Nies, Executive Director, at (978) 465-0492, at least 5 days prior to the meeting date.

Authority: 16 U.S.C. 1801 *et seq.*

Dated: July 6, 2022.

Tracey L. Thompson,

Acting Deputy Director, Office of Sustainable Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 2022-14681 Filed 7-8-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

[RTID 0648-XC158]

Pacific Fishery Management Council; Public Meetings

AGENCY: National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: The Pacific Fishery Management Council's (Pacific Council) Ad Hoc Marine Planning Committee (MPC) will hold a public meeting.

DATES: The meeting will be held Tuesday, July 26, 2022, from 10 a.m. to 4 p.m. Pacific Daylight Time or until business for the day has been completed.