

identified management objectives. The FCMP/FEIS describes and analyzes the environmental impacts of a proposed action and four action alternatives for the future management direction of the riverway. The FCMP/FEIS also evaluates a preferred management structure and two management structure options for the riverway. No action alternatives are evaluated for both management direction and management structure.

The responsible officials are Mr. William Schenk, Midwest Regional Director, National Park Service; Mr. Allen Garber, Commissioner, Minnesota Department of Natural Resources; and Mr. George Meyer, Secretary, Wisconsin Department of Natural Resources.

DATES: The no-action period on this FEIS will expire 30 days after the Environmental Protection Agency has published a notice of availability of the FEIS in the **Federal Register**.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the FCMP/FEIS are available by request by writing the Superintendent, Lower St. Croix National Scenic Riverway, P.O. Box 708, St. Croix Falls, WI 54024-0708, by telephone at 715-483-3284, extension 625 or by e-mail from SACN_Superintendent@nps.gov. The document can be picked-up in person at the Lower St. Croix National Scenic Riverway headquarters at 401 Hamilton Street North, St. Croix Falls, WI.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Superintendent, Lower St. Croix National Scenic Riverway at the address and telephone listed above.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Lower St. Croix National Scenic Riverway is a narrow corridor that runs for 52 miles along the boundary of Minnesota and Wisconsin, from St. Croix Falls/Taylor Falls to the confluence with the Mississippi River at Prescott/Point Douglas. The National Park Service manages a portion of the upper 27 miles of lands and waters of this corridor. The states of Minnesota and Wisconsin administer the lower 25 miles. The states and the federal government jointly conduct planning for the riverway.

The Draft Cooperative Management Plan and Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DCMP/DEIS) for the Lower St. Croix National Scenic Riverway was released to the public on September 17, 1999. The public comment period ended November 30, 1999. About 650 copies of the document were distributed during this period. The managing agencies received almost 900 written responses during the public review period.

Modifications to the DGMP/DEIS have been made based on public comment received and on further impact analysis.

Dated: October 5, 2000.

William W. Schenk,

Regional Director, Midwest Region.

[FR Doc. 00-26604 Filed 10-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

Official Underground Railroad Network to Freedom Symbol

SUMMARY: This notice establishes the official National Park Service symbol with the incorporating words "Underground Railroad Network to Freedom" carrying out the provisions of the National Underground Railroad Network to Freedom Act.

DATES: This action is effective October 17, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Diane Miller, National Coordinator, National Underground Railroad Network to Freedom Program, 1709 Jackson Street, Omaha, Nebraska 68102, telephone 402-221-3749.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The National Park Service has designated an official National Park Service symbol with the words "Underground Railroad Network to Freedom" fulfilling the mandate of the National Underground Railroad Network to Freedom Act. You may obtain a copy of the image incorporating the words "Underground Railroad Network to Freedom" from the National Coordinator at the address listed above. Notice is given that whoever manufactures, sells, or possesses this symbol embossed image, or any colorable imitation thereof, or photographs, prints or in any other manner makes or executes any engraving photograph or print, or impression in the likeness of this symbol, or any colorable imitation thereof, without authorization from the United States Department of the Interior is subject to the penalty provisions of section 701, Title 18 of the United States Code.

Dated: October 15, 2000.

William W. Schenk,

Regional Director, Midwest Region.

[FR Doc. 00-26605 Filed 10-16-00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Notice of Proposed Information Collection

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing its intention to request approval for the collection of information for the permanent program performance standards—surface mining activities at 30 CFR part 816.

DATES: Comments on the proposed information collection must be received by December 18, 2000, to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed to John A. Trelease, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1951 Constitution Ave., NW, Room 210—SIB, Washington, DC 20240. Comments may also be submitted electronically to jtreleas@osmre.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request a copy of the information collection request, explanatory information and related form, contact John A. Trelease, at (202) 208-2783.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regulations at 5 CFR 1320, which implementing provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities (see 5 CFR 1320.8(d)). This notice identifies information collections that OSM will be submitting to OMB for extension. These collections are contained in 30 CFR 816.

OSM has revised burden estimates, where appropriate, to reflect current reporting levels or adjustments based on reestimates of burden or respondents. OSM will request a 3-year term of approval for this information collection activity.

Comments are invited on: (1) The need for the collection of information for the performance of the functions of the agency; (2) the accuracy of the agency's burden estimates; (3) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection; and (4) ways to minimize the information collection burden on respondents, such