not remedied by the State. The inconsistencies relate to the following components of the State's submittal in conformance with section 118(c) of the CWA and 40 CFR Part 132: aquatic life and human health criteria, antidegradation, variances, total maximum daily loads, the procedures for evaluating the need for permit limits on specific chemicals, the procedures for evaluating the need for limits on whole effluent toxicity, water quality based effluent limits below the level of quantification, and compliance schedules. Based on our review to date, EPA believes that, with the above exceptions, the submittal by Wisconsin is consistent with the Guidance. Today, EPA is soliciting public comment regarding all aspects of its June 13, 2000 letter. In particular, EPA solicits comments on the provisions identified in the June 13, 2000 letter as being inconsistent with the CWA and the Guidance, on EPA's proposed course of action if Wisconsin fails to remedy those inconsistencies, and on EPA's belief that the remainder of Wisconsin's submittal is consistent with the Guidance. EPA's letter to Wisconsin also describes the provisions of the Guidance that EPA would identify in a final rule as applying to discharges in the Great Lakes Basin in Wisconsin if the identified inconsistencies are not addressed by the State. EPA may decide to identify in such a rule the provisions described in EPA's letter to the State, or other relevant provisions of the Guidance that EPA determines upon completion of this process to be appropriate. EPA broadly solicits comment regarding what provisions of the Guidance would be appropriate for EPA to identify in such a rule.

During the next 90 days, EPA intends to continue working with Wisconsin to address the inconsistencies identified in the June 13, 2000 letter. If Wisconsin fails to remedy any of the inconsistencies identified in the letter, EPA will publish a notice in the **Federal Register** identifying the disapproved elements and the corresponding portions of Part 132 that will apply to waters within the Great Lakes Basin in Wisconsin.

Dated: June 13, 2000.

Francis X. Lyons,

Regional Administrator, Region 5. [FR Doc. 00–15830 Filed 6–21–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–U

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Report No. 2417]

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceedings

June 15, 2000.

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceedings listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room CY-A257, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, DC or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS, Inc. (202) 857–3800. Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by July 7, 2000. See Section 1.4(b)(1) of the Commission's rules (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: Amendment of the Commission's Rules Regarding Multiple Address Systems (WT Docket No. 97– 81).

Number of Petitions Filed: 3. Subject: 1998 Biennial Regulatory Review—Review of Depreciation Requirements for Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers (CC Docket No. 98– 137).

Number of Petitions File: 1. Subject: Local Compensation and Broadband Reporting (CC Docket No. 99–301).

Number of Petitions Filed: 1.

Federal Communications Commission.

Magalie Roman Salas,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–15705 Filed 6–21–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Report No. 2418; Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Action in Rulemaking Proceeding

June 16, 2000.

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification have been filed in the Commission's rulemaking proceeding listed in this Public Notice and published pursuant to 47 CFR Section 1.429(e). The full text of this document is available for viewing and copying in Room CY–A257, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. or may be purchased from the Commission's copy contractor, ITS Inc. (202) 857–3800. Oppositions to these petitions must be filed by July 7,

2000 (47 CFR 1.4(b)(1)). Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: Establishment of a class A Television Service (MM Docket No. 00–10).

Number of Petitions Filed: 15.

Federal Communications Commission.

William F. Caton,

Deputy Secretary.

[FR Doc. 00–15773 Filed 6–21–00; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than July 10, 2000.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (W. Arthur Tribble, Vice President) 2200 North Pearl Street, Dallas, Texas 75201–2272

1. Charles Asher Rosebrough, III, and Nancy King Rosebrough, both of Graham, Texas; to retain voting shares of First Graham Bancorp, Inc., Graham, Texas, and thereby retain voting shares of First National Bank, Graham, Texas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 19, 2000.

Robert deV. Frierson,

Associate Secretary of the Board. [FR Doc. 00–15821 Filed 6–21–00; 8:45 am]

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisitions of Shares of Banks or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12