

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Forest Service****Notice of Mineral County Resource Advisory Committee Meeting****AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the authorities in the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463) and under the Secure Rural Schools and Community Self-Determination Act of 2000 (Pub. L. 106-393) The Lolo National Forest's Mineral County Resource Advisory Committee will meet on December 12, 2002 and January 9, 2003 from 6 p.m. until 8 p.m. in Superior, Montana for their next two business meetings. The meetings are open to the public.

DATES: December 12, 2002 and January 9, 2003.

ADDRESSES: The meetings will be held at the Mineral County Courthouse, 300 River Street, Superior, MT 59872.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Robert Harper, Designated Federal Official (DFO), District Ranger, Superior District, Lolo National Forest at (406) 822-4233.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Agenda topics for the December 12 meeting include discussion and possible funding of projects as authorized under Title II of Pub. L. 106-393. Agenda topics for the January 9, 2003 meeting will be a continuation of project funding, and a representative from another western Montana Resource Advisory Committee to discuss their progress, projects and how they are organized. If the meeting location is changed, notice will be posted in local newspapers, including the Mineral Independent and the Missoulian.

Dated: November 18, 2002.

Deborah L.R. Austin,

Forest Supervisor, Lolo National Forest.

[FR Doc. 02-29835 Filed 11-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Forest Service****Tehama County Resource Advisory Committee****AGENCY:** Forest Service, USDA.**ACTION:** Notice of meeting.

SUMMARY: The Tehama County Resource Advisory Committee (RAC) will meet in Red Bluff, California, Agenda items to be covered include: (1) Introductions, (2) Approval of Minutes, (3) Public

Comment, (4) Chairman Report, (5) Status of Project Proposals, (6) Update on Approved Projects, (7) Follow Up Presentation/Sunflower CRMP (8) General Discussion, (9) House Committee Report.

DATES: The meeting will be held on December 12, 2002, from 9 a.m. and end at approximately 12 p.m.

ADDRESSES: The meeting will be held at the Lincoln Street School, Conference Room A, 1135 Lincoln Street, Red Bluff, CA. Individuals wishing to speak or propose agenda items must send their names and proposals to Jim Giachino, DFO, 825 N. Humboldt Ave., Willows, CA 95988.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bobbin Gaddini, Committee Coordinator, USDA, Mendocino National Forest, Grindstone Ranger District, P.O. Box 164, Elk Creek, CA 95939. (530) 968-5329; e-mail ggaddini@fs.fed.us.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The meeting is open to the public. Committee discussion is limited to Forest Service staff and Committee members. However, persons who wish to bring matters to the attention of the Committee may file written statements with the Committee staff before or after the meeting. Public input sessions will be provided and individuals who made written requests by December 10, 2002 will have the opportunity to address the committee at those sessions.

Dated: November 19, 2002.

James F. Giachino,

Designated Federal Official.

[FR Doc. 02-29839 Filed 11-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-11-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**International Trade Administration****[A-570-850, A-583-826]****Collated Roofing Nails from the People's Republic of China and Taiwan: Final Results of Five Year Sunset Reviews and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders**

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Five Year Sunset Reviews and Revocation of Antidumping Duty Orders on Collated Roofing Nails from People's Republic of China and Taiwan.

SUMMARY: On October 1, 2002, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") initiated sunset reviews of

the antidumping duty orders on collated roofing nails from the People's Republic of China ("PRC") and Taiwan (67 FR 61577). The Department is revoking the antidumping duty orders on collated roofing nails from the PRC and Taiwan because no domestic party responded to the sunset review notice of initiation by the applicable deadline.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 25, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Martha V. Douthitt or James P. Maeder, Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone: (202) 482-5050 or (202) 482-3330, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**The Applicable Statute and Regulations:**

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the "Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's ("Department") regulations are to 19 CFR part 351(2002).

Background:

On November 19, 1997, the Department issued antidumping duty orders on collated roofing nails from the PRC (62 FR 61729) and Taiwan (62 FR 61730). Pursuant to section 751 (c) of the Act, on October 1, 2002, the Department initiated sunset reviews of these orders by publishing notice of the initiations in the **Federal Register** (67 FR 61577). In addition, as a courtesy to interested parties, the Department sent letters, via certified and registered mail, to each party listed on the Department's most current service list for these proceedings to inform them of the automatic initiation of the sunset reviews on these orders. However, no domestic interested party in the sunset reviews on these orders responded to the notice of initiation by the October 16, 2002 deadline (see section 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i) of *Procedures for Conducting Five Year ("Sunset") Reviews of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 63 FR 13520 (March 20, 1998)).

Determination to Revoke

Pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(A) of the Act and section 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(iii)(B)(3), if no domestic interested party responds to the notice

of initiation, the Department will issue a final determination, within 90 days after the initiation of the review, revoking the finding or order or terminating the suspended investigation. Because no domestic interested party in the sunset reviews of collated roofing nails from the PRC and Taiwan responded to the notice of initiation by the applicable deadline, we are revoking these antidumping duty orders.

Effective Date of Revocation

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(3)(A) and 751(d)(2) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.222(i)(2)(i), the Department will instruct the Customs Service to terminate the suspension of liquidation of the merchandise subject to these orders entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, on or after November 19, 2002. Entries of subject merchandise prior to the effective date of revocation will continue to be subject to suspension of liquidation and antidumping duty deposit requirements. The Department will complete any pending administrative reviews of these orders and will conduct administrative reviews of subject merchandise entered prior to the effective date of revocation in response to appropriately filed requests for review.

Dated: November 19, 2002.

Bernard T. Carreau,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-29915 Filed 11-22-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-5

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

A-570-881

Notice of Initiation of Antidumping Duty Investigation: Certain Malleable Iron Pipe Fittings From the People's Republic of China

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

EFFECTIVE DATE: November 25, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Anya Naschak or Helen Kramer at (202) 482-6375 or (202) 482-0405, respectively; Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Enforcement Group III, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Initiation of Investigation

The Applicable Statute and Regulations: Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are references to the provisions codified at 19 CFR Part 351 (2002).

The Petition

On October 30, 2002, the Department received a petition filed in proper form by Anvil International, Inc., and Ward Manufacturing Inc. (collectively, the petitioners). The Department received information supplementing the petition on November 7, 2002, November 12, 2002, and November 15, 2002.

In accordance with section 732(b) of the Act, the petitioners allege that imports of malleable iron pipe fittings (malleable pipe fittings) from the People's Republic of China (PRC) are being, or are likely to be, sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 731 of the Act, and that such imports are materially injuring, or are threatening to materially injure, an industry in the United States.

The Department finds that the petitioners filed this petition on behalf of the domestic industry because they are interested parties as defined in sections 771(9)(C) of the Act and have demonstrated sufficient industry support with respect to the antidumping investigation that they are requesting the Department to initiate. *See* the Determination of Industry Support for the Petition section below.

Scope of Investigation

For purposes of this investigation, the products covered are shipments of certain malleable iron pipe fittings, cast, other than grooved fittings, from the People's Republic of China. The merchandise is classified under item numbers 7307.19.90.30, 7307.19.90.60 and 7307.19.90.80 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule. HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes. The written description of the scope of this proceeding is dispositive.

Determination of Industry Support for the Petition

Section 771(4)(A) of the Act defines the "industry" as the producers of a domestic like product. Thus, to

determine whether the petition has the requisite industry support, the statute directs the Department to look to producers and workers who produce the domestic like product. The United States International Trade Commission (ITC), which is responsible for determining whether "the domestic industry" has been injured, must also determine what constitutes a domestic like product in order to define the industry. While both the Department and the ITC must apply the same statutory definition regarding domestic like product (*see* section 771(10) of the Act), they do so for different purposes and pursuant to their separate and distinct authority. In addition, the Department's determination is subject to limitations of time and information. Although this may result in different definitions of the like product, such differences do not render the decision of either agency contrary to the law. *See Algoma Steel Corp. Ltd., v. United States*, 688 F. Supp. 639, 642-44 (CIT 1988); *High Information Content Flat Panel Displays and Display Glass Therefore from Japan: Final Determination; Rescission of Investigation and Partial Dismissal of Petition*, 56 FR 32376, 32380-81 (July 16, 1991).

Section 771(10) of the Act defines the domestic like product as "a product which is like, or in the absence of like, most similar in characteristics and uses with, the article subject to an investigation under this title." Thus, the reference point from which the domestic like product analysis begins is "the article subject to an investigation," *i.e.*, the class or kind of merchandise to be investigated, which normally will be the scope as defined in the petition.

In this petition, the petitioners do not offer a definition of domestic like product distinct from the scope of these investigations. Thus, based on our analysis of the information presented to the Department by the petitioners, and the information obtained and received independently by the Department, we have determined that there is a single domestic like product, which is defined in the Scope of Investigation section above, and have analyzed industry support in terms of this domestic like product.

Section 732(b)(1) of the Act requires that a petition be filed on behalf of the domestic industry. Section 732(c)(4)(A) of the Act states that the administering authority shall determine that a petition has been filed by or on behalf of the industry if: (1) the domestic producers or workers who support the petition account for at least 25 percent of the total production of the domestic like