

promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchanges believes that the proposed rule change does not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

No written comments were solicited or received with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rules-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-Amex-2006-71 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Station Place, 100 F Street, NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2006-71. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in

the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Amex. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-Amex-2006-71 and should be submitted on or before September 8, 2006.

IV. Commission's Findings and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange.⁶ In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁷ which requires that an exchange have rules designed, among other things, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission believes that the proposal should help to promote just and equitable principles of trade and remove impediments to and perfect the mechanisms of a free and open market by clarifying current requirements for floor broker access to the liquidity on ANTE, the Exchange's electronic options marketplace.

The Commission finds good cause for approving this proposed rule change before the thirtieth day after the publication of notice thereof in the **Federal Register** pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act.⁸ The proposal does not raise any new or novel regulatory issues and merely codifies a current requirement for floor broker access to ANTE.

V. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,⁹ that the proposed rule change (SR-Amex-2006-71) is hereby approved on an accelerated basis.

⁶ In approving this rule change, the Commission notes that it has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. See 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁰

Nancy M. Morris,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-13637 Filed 8-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-54311; File No. SR-CBOE-2005-103]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change and Amendment No. 1 Thereto To Amend CBOE Rules Relating to the Electronic Designated Primary Market Maker Program

August 11, 2006.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act")¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on December 5, 2005, the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated ("CBOE" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which Items have been prepared by CBOE. On August 11, 2006, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend CBOE rules relating to the Electronic Designated Primary Market Maker ("e-DPM") Program. The text of the proposed rule change is set forth below. Proposed additions are in italics, and proposed deletions are in brackets.

* * * * *

Rule 8.92. Electronic DPM Program

(a)-(b) No change.

(c) Allocation of Option Classes. The Board of Directors or a committee designated by the Board of Directors shall grant e-DPMs allocations in option classes. Factors to be considered in granting allocations include performance, capacity, performance commitments, efficiency, competitiveness, and operational

¹⁰ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

factors. In addition, the following shall apply:

(i)–(iv) No change.

(v) An e-DPM may not be allocated an option class for which the e-DPM organization serves as DPM on the trading floor, [.]

(vi) *The Exchange may remove any option class from the e-DPM Program at any time if certain factors no longer warrant its inclusion in the program. Factors to be considered in removing an option class include any of the following: Market share, number of exchanges trading the product, average daily trading volume, and liquidity in the product. The Exchange shall give prior notice of any removal of an option class to the e-DPMs trading in that option class.*

(d)–(e) No change.

* * * * *

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, CBOE included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. CBOE has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

CBOE's e-DPM Program was created, generally, to enhance the liquidity base of the CBOE Hybrid Trading System and to increase the Exchange's market share in overall options trading by allowing member organizations, e-DPMs, to operate remotely as competing DPMs in the same option classes.³ The Exchange, through its designees, determines which option classes to include in the e-DPM Program and, accordingly, which classes to allocate to each respective e-DPM.⁴

This rule change proposes to clarify that the Exchange should also have the authority to remove any e-DPM option class from the e-DPM Program if certain factors no longer warrant the continued

inclusion of that option class in the e-DPM Program. The factors used in making such a determination would relate to the option class itself and will include any of the following: (i) Market share, (ii) number of exchanges trading the product, (iii) average daily trading volume, and (iv) liquidity in the product. The Exchange will consider any one or all of these factors in determining whether to remove an option class from the e-DPM Program. Such factors will be considered by the Exchange in removing any option class(es) from the e-DPM Program, including those option classes that are the top classes trading on the Exchange and those option classes that are the bottom classes trading on the Exchange.⁵ The ability to remove and limit the number of e-DPM option classes is necessary to further the competitive goals of the e-DPM Program.

The purpose of the e-DPM Program is to create, among other things, greater market share, volume and liquidity. For certain option classes that have been in the e-DPM Program, there may no longer be a need to have such option classes in the program since at the present time, those classes have consistently maintained a level of greater market share, higher volume and/or greater liquidity. In reviewing these factors, the Exchange may determine that such class(es) no longer need to be in the e-DPM Program and can therefore be removed from the e-DPM Program, since that class meets the levels that the Exchange deems appropriate. In addition, the Exchange may wish to remove an option class from the e-DPM Program for the opposite reason. Certain option classes that are in the e-DPM Program may not have increased in market share, volume and/or liquidity, or may have even gone down in total market share, volume and/or liquidity. Since being in the e-DPM Program did not increase these factors, the Exchange may wish to remove such option class(es) from the program since they have not benefited from being in the program. Prior to removing any option class from the e-DPM Program, the Exchange would notify the e-DPMs trading in that option class that such class is being removed from the program. Persons aggrieved by the removal of an option class from the e-DPM Program may appeal such decision to the Exchange's Appeals Committee pursuant to Chapter XIX of the rules of the Exchange.

By being able to review these proposed factors for all option classes in

the e-DPM Program and in making a determination on whether an option class(es) should be included in the e-DPM Program, the Exchange believes it will have the flexibility to ultimately enhance the overall market share and volume of all option classes trading on the Exchange.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act,⁶ in general, and Sections 6(b)(5) and 6(b)(7) of the Act,⁷ in particular, in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade and to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and provides a fair procedure for the limitation by the Exchange of any person with respect to access to services offered by the Exchange.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange did not solicit or receive any written comments with respect to the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission will:

A. By order approve the proposed rule change, or

B. institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5) and 78f(b)(7).

³ See CBOE Rules 8.92 through 8.94 and Securities Exchange Act Release No. 50003 (July 12, 2004), 69 FR 43028 (July 19, 2004) (Order approving SR-CBOE-2004-24). e-DPMs operate remotely as specialists by entering bids and offers electronically from locations other than the trading floor.

⁴ *Id.*

⁵ Based on the National Average Daily Volume.

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CBOE-2005-103 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Nancy M. Morris, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Station Place, 100 F Street NE., Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2005-103. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for inspection and copying in the Commission's Public Reference Room. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2005-103 and should be submitted on or before September 8, 2006.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.⁸

Nancy M. Morris,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E6-13643 Filed 8-17-06; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-54301; File No. SR-CHX-2006-05]

**Self-Regulatory Organizations;
Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc.; Notice
of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change
and Amendment No. 1 Thereto to
Implement a New Trading Model**

August 10, 2006.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on February 2, 2006, the Chicago Stock Exchange, Inc. ("CHX" or "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the CHX. On August 10, 2006, the Exchange filed Amendment No. 1 to the proposed rule change.³ The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change, as amended, from interested persons.

**I. Self-Regulatory Organization's
Statement of the Terms of Substance of
the Proposed Rule Change**

The CHX proposes to amend its rules to implement a new trading model that provides the opportunity for entirely automated executions to occur within a central matching system accessible by all Exchange participants. The new model also would end the Exchange's operation of a physical trading floor and is intended to comply with the requirements of Regulation NMS ("Reg. NMS").⁴ The text of this proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's Web site at http://www.chx.com/rules/proposed_rules.htm, in the Commission's Public Reference Room, and on the Commission's Web site at <http://www.sec.gov>.

**II. Self-Regulatory Organization's
Statement of the Purpose of, and
Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule
Change**

In its filing with the Commission, the CHX included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The CHX has prepared

summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

*A. Self-Regulatory Organization's
Statement of the Purpose of, and
Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule
Change*

1. Purpose

Through this proposed rule change, the Exchange seeks to implement a new trading model that allows its participants to interact in a fully-automated matching system. In this model, the Exchange would no longer operate a physical trading floor where on-floor specialists, brokers and market makers seek execution of their orders. Instead, the Exchange would operate an automated system where its participants—from any location—could submit orders for immediate execution. The Exchange believes that this new model provides an opportunity for its participants and their customers to receive efficient, low-cost executions, while giving the Exchange enhanced capabilities for surveilling its participants' trading activities.

In this new model, the Exchange anticipates that most of its participants would continue to be "off-Exchange" order-sending firms that would simply send orders to the Matching System for execution. These firms would not be required to register with the Exchange to act in any specific capacity other than as trading participants.⁵ The Exchange would, however, allow participant firms to register in two special categories—to operate as proprietary market makers on the Exchange or to act as institutional brokers. Market makers could choose to post two-sided quotations and trade for their proprietary accounts. Any customer order would be accepted off the Exchange and a market maker could then choose whether or not to enter the order in the Exchange's Matching System or submit the order to a different venue. In contrast, any customer orders accepted by institutional brokers would be deemed to be on the Exchange when accepted. These market makers and institutional brokers would operate on the Exchange, even if they are not physically located on a single trading floor.

Because the Exchange is taking this opportunity to modernize many of its long-standing procedures and rules, the implementation of the new trading model will result in changes to virtually

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ Amendment No. 1 replaces and supersedes the original filing in its entirety.

⁴ 17 CFR 242.600, *et seq.*

⁵ Since its demutualization in February 2005, the Exchange has not had "members." Instead, a broker-dealer that seeks to effect transactions directly on the Exchange must become an Exchange "participant."

⁸ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).