

producer of the merchandise; (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 3.69 percent,⁶ the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing these final results of administrative review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: July 30, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2021-17094 Filed 8-10-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[Application No. 10-5A001]

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of Application for an Amended Export Trade Certificate of Review by Alaska Longline Cod

Commission, Application No. 10-5A001.

SUMMARY: The Office of Trade and Economic Analysis ("OTEA") of the International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, has received an application for an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review ("Certificate"). This notice summarizes the proposed amendment and requests comments relevant to whether the amended Certificate should be issued.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joseph Flynn, Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, by telephone at (202) 482-5131 (this is not a toll-free number) or email at etca@trade.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) ("the Act") authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. An Export Trade Certificate of Review protects the holder and the members identified in the Certificate from State and Federal government antitrust actions and from private treble damage antitrust actions for the export conduct specified in the Certificate and carried out in compliance with its terms and conditions. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR part 325. OTEA is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(a), which requires the Secretary of Commerce to publish a summary of the application in the **Federal Register**, identifying the applicant and each member and summarizing the proposed export conduct.

Request for Public Comments

Interested parties may submit written comments relevant to the determination whether an amended Certificate should be issued. If the comments include any privileged or confidential business information, it must be clearly marked and a nonconfidential version of the comments (identified as such) should be included. Any comments not marked as privileged or confidential business information will be deemed to be nonconfidential.

An original and five (5) copies, plus two (2) copies of the nonconfidential version, should be submitted no later than 20 days after the date of this notice to: Office of Trade and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 21028, Washington, DC 20230; and to email at etca@trade.gov.

Information submitted by any person is exempt from disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C.

552). However, nonconfidential versions of the comments will be made available to the applicant if necessary for determining whether or not to issue the amended Certificate. Comments should refer to this application as "Export Trade Certificate of Review, application number 10-5A001."

Summary of the Application

Applicant: Alaska Longline Cod Commission, c/o Mundt MacGregor L.L.P., 271 Wyatt Way NE, Suite 106, Bainbridge Island, WA 98110.

Contact: Duncan R. McIntosh, Attorney, dmcintosh@mundtmac.com.

Application No.: 10-5A001.

Date Deemed Submitted: July 28, 2021.

Proposed Amendment: Alaska Longline Cod Commission seeks to amend its Certificate as follows:

1. Add the following companies as new Members of the Certificate within the meaning of section 325.2(l) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(l)):

- Aleutian Longline, LLC, Seattle, WA;
- Bristol Wave Seafoods, LLC, Seattle, WA;
- Coastal Alaska Premier Seafoods, LLC, Anchorage, AK;
- Gulf Prowler, LLC, Juneau, AK;
- Kodiak Leader Fisheries LLC, Lynden, WA; and
- Starfish Reverse, LLC, Seattle, WA.

2. Change the address for the following entities:

- Beauty Bay Washington, LLC, changes address from Edmonds, WA to Bothell, WA;
- Tatoosh Seafoods, LLC, changes address from Edmonds, WA to Kingston, WA.

3. Remove the following Members of the Certificate:

- Prowler Fisheries LLC, Seattle, WA;
- Blue North Fisheries, Inc., Seattle, WA;
- Blue North Trading Company, LLC, Seattle, WA;
- Clipper Group, Ltd., Seattle, WA;
- Clipper Seafoods, Ltd., Seattle, WA;
- Liberator Fisheries LLC, Seattle, WA; and
- Siberian Sea Fisheries LLC, Seattle, WA.

4. Correct the names of the following Members:

- Bristol Leader Fisheries LLC replaces Bristol Leader Seafoods LLC;
- Bering Leader Fisheries LLC replaces Bering Leader Seafoods LLC; and
- Northern Leader Fisheries LLC replaces Northern Leader Seafoods LLC.

Alaska Longline Cod Commission's proposed amendment of its Export Trade Certificate of Review would result in the following Membership list:

⁶ See *Diocetyl Terephthalate from the Republic of Korea: Antidumping Duty Order*, 82 FR 39410 (August 18, 2017).

1. Akulurak LLC, Seattle, WA;
2. Alaskan Leader Fisheries LLC, Lynden, WA;
3. Alaskan Leader Seafoods LLC, Lynden, WA;
4. Alaskan Leader Vessel LLC, Lynden, WA;
5. Aleutian Longline, LLC, Seattle, WA;
6. Aleutian Spray Fisheries, Inc., Seattle, WA;
7. Beauty Bay Washington, LLC, Bothell, WA;
8. Bering Leader Fisheries LLC, Lynden, WA;
9. Bristol Leader Fisheries LLC, Lynden, WA;
10. Bristol Wave Seafoods, LLC, Seattle, WA;
11. Coastal Alaska Premier Seafoods, LLC, Anchorage, AK;
12. Coastal Villages Longline LLC, Anchorage, AK;
13. Deep Sea Fisheries, Inc., Everett, WA;
14. Gulf Mist, Inc., Everett, WA;
15. Gulf Prowler, LLC, Juneau, AK;
16. Kodiak Leader Fisheries LLC, Lynden, WA;
17. Northern Leader Fisheries LLC, Lynden, WA;
18. Romanzof Fishing Company, L.L.C., Seattle, WA;
19. Shelford's Boat, Ltd., Mill Creek, WA;
20. Siu Alaska Corporation, Anchorage, AK;
21. Starfish Reverse, LLC, Seattle, WA;
22. Tatoosh Seafoods, LLC, Kingston, WA.

Dated: August 6, 2021.

Joseph Flynn,

Director, Office of Trade and Economic Analysis, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

[FR Doc. 2021-17146 Filed 8-10-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-570-909]

Certain Steel Nails From the People's Republic of China: Notice of Court Decision Not in Harmony With Final Scope Ruling and Notice of Amended Final Scope Ruling Pursuant to Court Decision

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: On August 3, 2021, the U.S. Court of International Trade (CIT) issued its final judgment in *Simpson*

Strong-Tie Company v. United States, Court No. 18-00062, sustaining the Department of Commerce (Commerce)'s remand redetermination pertaining to a scope ruling in which Commerce found Simpson Strong-Tie Company's (Simpson's) crimp drive anchors to be outside the scope of the antidumping duty (AD) order on certain steel nails (nails) from the People's Republic of China (China). Commerce is notifying the public that the CIT's final judgment is not in harmony with Commerce's scope ruling, and that Commerce is amending the scope ruling to find that crimp drive anchors are not covered by the order.

DATES: Applicable August 13, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kelsie Hohenberger, AD/CVD Operations, Office V, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2517.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On March 6, 2018, Commerce found Simpson's crimp drive anchors, which consist of a one-piece expansion anchor, to be within the scope of the AD order on nails from China.¹

Simpson appealed Commerce's *Final Scope Ruling*. On June 12, 2019, and July 22, 2019, the CIT stayed the case pending a final and conclusive determination from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (CAFC) in a case involving a similar product. On August 28, 2020, the CAFC issued a final ruling that case.² In light of the CAFC's decision, Commerce requested that the CIT remand this matter for further consideration. On November 18, 2020, the CIT remanded the *Final Scope Ruling* to Commerce.³

In its final remand redetermination, issued in February 2021, Commerce found Simpson's crimp drive anchors to be outside the scope of the AD order on nails from China.⁴ The CIT sustained Commerce's final redetermination.⁵

¹ See Memorandum, "Antidumping Duty Order on Certain Steel Nails from the People's Republic of China: Final Scope Ruling on Simpson Strong-Tie Company's "Crimp Drive" Anchors," dated March 6, 2018 (*Final Scope Ruling*).

² See *OMG, Inc. v. United States*, 972 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2020).

³ See *Simpson Strong-Tie Co. v. United States*, Court No. 18-00062, ECF No. 45 (CIT November 18, 2020).

⁴ See Final Results of Redetermination Pursuant to *Simpson Strong-Tie Co. v. United States*, Court No. 18-00062, ECF No. 45 (CIT November 18, 2020), dated February 16, 2021.

⁵ See *Simpson Strong-Tie Co. v. United States*, Slip Op. 21-96, Court No. 18-00062 (CIT 2021).

Timken Notice

In its decision in *Timken*,⁶ as clarified by *Diamond Sawblades*,⁷ the CAFC held that, pursuant to sections 516A(c) and (e) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), Commerce must publish a notice of court decision that is not "in harmony" with a Commerce determination and must suspend liquidation of entries pending a "conclusive" court decision. The CIT's August 3, 2021, judgment constitutes a final decision of the CIT that is not in harmony with Commerce's *Final Scope Ruling*. Thus, this notice is published in fulfillment of the publication requirements of *Timken*.

Amended Final Scope Ruling

In accordance with the CIT's August 3, 2021, final judgment, Commerce is amending its *Final Scope Ruling* and finds that the scope of the AD order on nails from China does not cover the products addressed in the *Final Scope Ruling*.

Liquidation of Suspended Entries

Commerce will instruct U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) that, pending any appeals, Simpson's crimp drive anchors will not be subject to a cash deposit requirement. In the event that the CIT's final judgment is not appealed or is upheld on appeal, Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate entries of Simpson's crimp drive anchors without regard to antidumping duties and to lift suspension of liquidation of such entries.

Notification to Interested Parties

This notice is issued and published in accordance with sections 516A(c) and (e) of the Act.

Dated: August 5, 2021.

Christian Marsh,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2021-17096 Filed 8-10-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

⁶ See *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990) (*Timken*).

⁷ See *Diamond Sawblades Manufacturers Coalition v. United States*, 626 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2010) (*Diamond Sawblades*).