

*Sixth*, this Order is effective immediately and shall remain in effect until September 14, 2027.

**John Sonderman,**

*Director, Office of Export Enforcement.*

[FR Doc. 2021–24806 Filed 11–12–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DT-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[C–489–806]

#### Certain Pasta From the Republic of Turkey: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2019

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Bessan Makarna Gida San. Ve Tic. A.S. (Bessan), a producer/exporter of certain pasta from the Republic of Turkey (Turkey) did not receive countervailable subsidies during the period of review (POR), January 1, 2019, through December 31, 2019.

**DATES:** Applicable November 15, 2021.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brontee Jeffries or Theodore Pearson, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4656 or (202) 482–2631, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 3, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* and invited parties to comment.<sup>1</sup> No interested party submitted comments. Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

##### Scope of the Order<sup>2</sup>

The merchandise covered by the order is pasta from Turkey. For a complete description of the scope, *see* the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>3</sup>

##### Changes Since the Preliminary Results

As no party submitted comments on the *Preliminary Results*, we made no

changes in the final results of this review.

#### Final Results of the Administrative Review

In accordance with section 777A(e)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(5), we determine that Bessan did not receive countervailable subsidies during the POR:

Company	Subsidy rate (percent <i>ad valorem</i> )
Bessan Makarna Gida San. Ve Tic. A.S. ....	0.00

#### Assessment Rates

Commerce shall determine, and CBP shall assess, countervailing duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review, pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2). Because we determined that Bessan did not receive countervailable subsidies in the final results of this review, we intend to instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to countervailing duties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(2) and 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1).

Commerce intends to issue appropriate assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of this publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, Commerce also intends to instruct CBP to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the appropriate rates. For shipments of subject merchandise by Bessan entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication of these final results, the cash deposit rate will be zero. For all non-reviewed firms, CBP will continue to collect cash deposits of estimated countervailing duties at the most recent company-specific or all-others rate applicable to the company, as appropriate. These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative

protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is sanctionable violation.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

The final results of this review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: November 8, 2021.

**Ryan Majerus,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, Performing the Non-Exclusive Functions and Duties of The Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2021–24857 Filed 11–12–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A–570–832]

#### Pure Magnesium From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) is conducting the administrative review of the antidumping duty (AD) order on pure magnesium from the People's Republic of China (China). The period of review (POR) is May 1, 2020, through April 30, 2021. Commerce preliminarily determines that Tianjin Magnesium International Co., Ltd. (TMI) and Tianjin Magnesium Metal Co., Ltd. (TMM) (collectively, TMI/TMM) did not have any shipments of subject merchandise during the POR. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results.

**DATES:** Applicable November 15, 2021.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Deborah Cohen, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–4521.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

<sup>1</sup> See *Certain Pasta from the Republic of Turkey: Preliminary Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review; 2019*, 86 FR 41816 (August 3, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum (PDM).

<sup>2</sup> See *Preliminary Results* PDM.

<sup>3</sup> See *Preliminary Results* PDM.

## Background

On May 3, 2021, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the *Order* on pure magnesium from China for the POR.<sup>1</sup> On May 28, 2021, in response to a timely request from US Magnesium LLC (the petitioner),<sup>2</sup> and in accordance with section 751(a) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act), and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(1)(i), we initiated an administrative review of the *Order* with respect to TMI/TMM.<sup>3</sup>

## Scope of the Order

The product covered by the *Order* is pure magnesium from China, regardless of chemistry, form or size, unless expressly excluded from the scope of the order. Pure magnesium is a metal or alloy containing by weight primarily the element magnesium and produced by decomposing raw materials into magnesium metal. Pure primary magnesium is used primarily as a chemical in the aluminum alloying, desulfurization, and chemical reduction industries. In addition, pure magnesium is used as an input in producing magnesium alloy. Pure magnesium encompasses products (including, but not limited to, butt ends, stubs, crowns and crystals) with the following primary magnesium contents:

(1) Products that contain at least 99.95% primary magnesium, by weight (generally referred to as “ultra pure” magnesium) Magnesium Alloy”<sup>4</sup> and are thus outside the scope of the existing antidumping orders on magnesium from China (generally referred to as “alloy” magnesium).

(2) Products that contain less than 99.95%, but not less than 99.8%, primary magnesium, by weight (generally referred to as “pure” magnesium); and

(3) Products that contain 50% or greater, but less than 99.8% primary

magnesium, by weight, and that do not conform to ASTM specifications for alloy magnesium (generally referred to as “off-specification pure” magnesium).

“Off-specification pure” magnesium is pure primary magnesium containing magnesium scrap, secondary magnesium, oxidized magnesium or impurities (whether or not intentionally added) that cause the primary magnesium content to fall below 99.8% by weight. It generally does not contain, individually or in combination, 1.5% or more, by weight, of the following alloying elements: Aluminum, manganese, zinc, silicon, thorium, zirconium and rare earths.

Excluded from the scope of the *Order* are alloy primary magnesium (that meets specifications for alloy magnesium), primary magnesium anodes, granular primary magnesium (including turnings, chips and powder) having a maximum physical dimension (*i.e.*, length or diameter) of one inch or less, secondary magnesium (which has pure primary magnesium content of less than 50% by weight), and remelted magnesium whose pure primary magnesium content is less than 50% by weight.

Pure magnesium products covered by the *Order* are currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) subheadings 8104.11.00, 8104.19.00, 8104.20.00, 8104.30.00, 8104.90.00, 3824.90.11, 3824.90.19 and 9817.00.90. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope is dispositive.

## Preliminary Determination of No Shipments

We received timely submissions from TMI/TMM certifying that they did not have sales, shipments, or exports of subject merchandise to the United States during the POR.<sup>5</sup> On July 26, 2021, we requested the U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) data file of entries of subject merchandise imported into the United States during the POR, and exported by TMM/TMI.<sup>6</sup> This query returned no entries during the POR.<sup>7</sup> Additionally, on August 3, 2021, Commerce submitted a no-shipments

inquiry to CBP with regard to TMI/TMM, to which CBP responded that it found no shipments of subject merchandise by TMI/TMM during the POR.<sup>8</sup>

Accordingly, and consistent with our practice, we preliminarily determine that TMI/TMM had no shipments and, therefore, no reviewable entries during the POR. In addition, we find it is not appropriate to rescind the review with respect to these companies, but rather to complete the review with respect to TMI/TMM and issue appropriate instructions to CBP based on the final results of the review, consistent with our practice in non-market economy (NME) cases.<sup>9</sup>

## Public Comment

Interested parties are invited to comment on the preliminary results and may submit case briefs and/or written comments, filed electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping Duty and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS), within 30 days after the date of publication of these preliminary results of review.<sup>10</sup> ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, must be filed within seven days after the time limit for filing case briefs.<sup>11</sup> Parties who submit case or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument a statement of the issue, a brief summary of the argument, and a table of authorities.<sup>12</sup> Note that Commerce has temporarily modified certain portions of its requirements for serving documents containing business proprietary information, until further notice.<sup>13</sup>

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to Commerce within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice.<sup>14</sup> Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, the telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. Issues

<sup>8</sup> *Id.* at Attachment 3.

<sup>9</sup> See *Glycine from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review 2014–2015*, 81 FR 72567 (October 20, 2016), and the “Assessment Rates” section, below.

<sup>10</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c)(1)(ii).

<sup>11</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(d)(1) and (2); see also *Temporary Rule Modifying AD/CVD Service Requirements Due to COVID-19; Extension of Effective Period*, 85 FR 41363 (July 10, 2020) (*Temporary Rule*).

<sup>12</sup> See 19 CFR 351.309(c) and (d); see also 19 CFR 351.303 (for general filing requirements).

<sup>13</sup> See *Temporary Rule*.

<sup>14</sup> See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

<sup>1</sup> See *Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review*, 86 FR 23346 (May 3, 2021); see also *Notice of Antidumping Duty Orders: Pure Magnesium from the People's Republic of China, the Russian Federation and Ukraine; Notice of Amended Final Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value: Antidumping Duty Investigation of Pure Magnesium from the Russian Federation*, 60 FR 25691 (May 12, 1995) (*Order*).

<sup>2</sup> See Petitioner's Letter, “Pure Magnesium from the People's Republic of China: Request for Administrative Review,” dated May 28, 2021.

<sup>3</sup> See *Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 86 FR 35481 (July 6, 2021).

<sup>4</sup> The meaning of this term is the same as that used by the American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) in its Annual Book for ASTM Standards: Volume 01.02 Aluminum and Magnesium Alloys.

<sup>5</sup> See TMI's Letter, “Pure Magnesium from the People's Republic of China; A–570–832; No Shipment Certification,” dated July 14, 2021; see also TMM's Letter, “Pure Magnesium from the People's Republic of China; A–570–832; No Shipment Certification,” dated July 14, 2021.

<sup>6</sup> See Memorandum, “Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Pure Magnesium from the People's Republic of China, 05/01/2020–04/30/2021: Entry Data and No Shipment Inquiry,” dated August 31, 2021 at Attachment 1.

<sup>7</sup> *Id.* at Attachment 2.

raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in the respective case and rebuttal briefs. If a request for a hearing is made, parties will be notified of the time and date for the hearing to be held.<sup>15</sup> Commerce intends to issue the final results of this administrative review, which will include the results of our analysis of all issues raised in the case briefs, within 120 days of publication of these preliminary results in the **Federal Register**, unless extended, pursuant to section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act.

#### Assessment Rates

Upon issuance of the final results of this review, Commerce will determine, and CBP will assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review.<sup>16</sup> Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication). Pursuant to Commerce's practice in NME cases, if we continue to determine in the final results that TMI/TMM had no shipments of subject merchandise, any suspended entries of subject merchandise during the POR from these companies will be liquidated at the China-wide rate.<sup>17</sup>

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of review, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) For TMI/TMM, which claimed no shipments, the cash deposit rate will remain unchanged from the rate assigned to TMI/TMM in the most recently completed review of the companies; (2) for previously investigated or reviewed Chinese and non-Chinese exporters who are not under review in this segment of the proceeding but who have separate rates, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the exporter-specific rate published for

the most recent period; (3) for all Chinese exporters of subject merchandise that have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate, the cash deposit rate will be the China-wide rate of 111.73 percent;<sup>18</sup> and (4) for all non-Chinese exporters of subject merchandise which have not received their own rate, the cash deposit rate will be the rate applicable to Chinese exporter(s) that supplied that non-Chinese exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

These preliminary results of review are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(b)(4).

Dated: November 8, 2021.

**Ryan Majerus,**

*Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations, performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2021-24820 Filed 11-12-21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-301-803]

#### Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts From Colombia: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020

**AGENCY:** Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that Sucroal S.A. (Sucroal), a producer/exporter of citric acid and certain citrate salts (citric acid) from Colombia, sold subject merchandise at prices below normal

value during the period of review (POR) July 1, 2019, through June 30, 2020.

**DATES:** Applicable November 15, 2021.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David Lindgren, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1671.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Background

On July 22, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.<sup>1</sup> This review covers one producer/exporter of the subject merchandise, Sucroal. We invited parties to comment on the *Preliminary Results*. No party submitted comments. Accordingly, the final results remain unchanged from the *Preliminary Results*.

#### Scope of the Order<sup>2</sup>

The merchandise covered by the *Order* includes all grades and granulation sizes of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate in their unblended forms, whether dry or in solution, and regardless of packaging type. The scope also includes blends of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate; as well as blends with other ingredients, such as sugar, where the unblended form(s) of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate constitute 40 percent or more, by weight, of the blend.

The scope also includes all forms of crude calcium citrate, including dicalcium citrate monohydrate, and tricalcium citrate tetrahydrate, which are intermediate products in the production of citric acid, sodium citrate, and potassium citrate.

The scope includes the hydrous and anhydrous forms of citric acid, the dihydrate and anhydrous forms of sodium citrate, otherwise known as citric acid sodium salt, and the monohydrate and monopotassium forms of potassium citrate. Sodium citrate also includes both trisodium citrate and monosodium citrate which are also known as citric acid trisodium salt and citric acid monosodium salt, respectively.

The scope does not include calcium citrate that satisfies the standards set forth in the United States Pharmacopeia and has been mixed with a functional

<sup>15</sup> See 19 CFR 310(d).

<sup>16</sup> See 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).

<sup>17</sup> For a full discussion of this practice, see *Non-Market Economy Antidumping Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 76 FR 65694 (October 24, 2011).

<sup>18</sup> See *Pure Magnesium from the People's Republic of China: Final Results of the 2008–2009 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order*, 75 FR 80791 (December 23, 2010).

<sup>1</sup> See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Salts from Colombia: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2019–2020*, 86 FR 38677 (July 22, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*).

<sup>2</sup> See *Citric Acid and Certain Citrate Sales from Belgium, Colombia and Thailand: Antidumping Duty Orders*, 83 FR 35214 (July 25, 2018) (*Order*).