

Xia Town, Dongguan, Guangdong Province, China 523717.  
 Dongguan Xin Lian Digital Technology Co. Ltd., Huang Jia Bo Industrial Zone, Shi Pai Town, Dongguan, Guangdong Province, China 523347.  
 GVG Digital Technology Holdings Ltd., Rm 1822, Grandtech Centre, 8 On Ping St, Siu Lek Yuen, Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong.  
 jWIN Electronics Corporation, 2 Harbor Park Drive, Port Washington, New York 11050.  
 Memcorp Inc., 3200 Meridian Parkway, Weston, Florida 33331.  
 Star Light Electronics Co. Ltd., 5/F, Shing Dao Industrial Building, 232 Aberdeen Main Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong.  
 Starlight International Holdings Limited, 5/F, Shing Dao Industrial Building, 232 Aberdeen Main Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong.  
 Starlight Marketing (HK) Ltd., 5/F, Shing Dao Industrial Building, 232 Aberdeen Main Road, Wanchai, Hong Kong.  
 Tonic Digital Products Limited, Unit B, 10th Floor, Summit Building, 30 Man Yue Street, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong.  
 Tonic DVB Marketing Ltd., Suite 805, New Tech Plaza Tower A, Tian'An Cyber Park, Futian District, Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China 518040.  
 Tonic Electronics Limited, Unit B, 10th Floor, Summit Building, 30 Man Yue Street, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong.  
 Tonic Industries Holdings Limited, Unit B, 10th Floor, Summit Building, 30 Man Yue Street, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong.  
 Tonic Technology (HK) Limited, Unit B, 10th Floor, Summit Building, 30 Man Yue Street, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong.  
 Tonic Technology (Shenzhen) Ltd., Suite 805, New Tech Plaza Tower A, Tian'An Cyber Park, Futian District, Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China 518040.  
 Tonic Trading Development Ltd., Unit B, 10th Floor, Summit Building, 30 Man Yue Street, Hung Hom, Kowloon, Hong Kong.

(c) The Commission investigative attorney, party to this investigation, is Karin J. Norton, Esq., Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Room 401-F, Washington, DC 20436; and

(3) For the investigation so instituted, the Honorable Carl C. Charneski is designated as the presiding administrative law judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of a limited exclusion order or cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: May 3, 2007.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-8787 Filed 5-7-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

**[Investigation Nos. 731-TA-707-709 (Second Review)]**

### Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe From Argentina, Brazil, and Germany

#### Determination

On the basis of the record<sup>1</sup> developed in the subject five-year reviews, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)) (the Act), that revocation of the antidumping duty orders on certain seamless carbon and alloy steel standard, line, and pressure pipe from Argentina and Brazil would not be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material

<sup>1</sup> The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 207.2(f)).

injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>2</sup> The Commission also determines that revocation of the antidumping duty order on certain seamless carbon and alloy steel standard, line, and pressure pipe from Germany would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.<sup>3</sup>

#### Background

The Commission instituted these reviews on June 1, 2006 (71 FR 31209) and determined on September 5, 2006 that it would conduct full reviews (71 FR 54520, September 15, 2006). Notice of the scheduling of the Commission's reviews and of a public hearing to be held in connection therewith was given by posting copies of the notice in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, Washington, DC, and by publishing the notice in the **Federal Register** on September 29, 2006 (71 FR 57567). The hearing was held in Washington, DC, on February 8, 2007, and all persons who requested the opportunity were permitted to appear in person or by counsel.

The Commission transmitted its determination in these reviews to the Secretary of Commerce on May 2, 2007. The views of the Commission are contained in USITC Publication 3918 (May 2007), entitled Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Steel Standard, Line, and Pressure Pipe from Argentina, Brazil, and Germany.

Issued: May 3, 2007.

By order of the Commission.

**Marilyn R. Abbott,**

*Secretary to the Commission.*

[FR Doc. E7-8786 Filed 5-7-07; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7020-02-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

**[AAG/A Order No. 009-2007]**

### Privacy Act of 1974; System of Records

**AGENCY:** National Security Division, Department of Justice.

**ACTION:** New System of Records.

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to the provisions of the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a, notice is given that the Department of Justice (DOJ) proposes to establish a

<sup>2</sup> Commissioner Charlotte R. Lane dissenting, Commissioner Dean A. Pinkert not participating.

<sup>3</sup> Chairman Daniel R. Pearson and Commissioner Deanna Tanner Okun dissenting, Commissioner Dean A. Pinkert not participating.