

finding and its reasons in the correcting amendment issued.

We find for good cause that it is unnecessary to undertake notice and public comment procedures because this correcting amendment does not make any substantive policy changes. This document makes technical corrections and conforming changes to the August 7, 2001 final rule. Therefore, for good cause, we waive notice and public comment procedures under 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B).

List of Subjects

42 CFR Part 412

Administrative practice and procedure, Health facilities, Medicare, Puerto Rico, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

42 CFR Part 413

Health facilities, Kidney diseases, Medicare, Puerto Rico, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 42 CFR chapter IV is corrected by making the following correcting amendments:

PART 412—PROSPECTIVE PAYMENT SYSTEMS FOR INPATIENT HOSPITAL SERVICES

1. The authority citation for part 412 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102 and 1871 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302 and 1395hh).

§ 412.602 [Amended]

2. In § 412.602, make the following corrections:

a. In the introductory text of the definition of “Discharge,” correct the phrase “a inpatient” to read “an inpatient”.

b. In the definition of “Discharge,” paragraph (2) is revised to read as follows:

§ 412.602 Definitions.

* * * * *

Discharge. * * *

(2) The patient stops receiving Medicare-covered Part A inpatient rehabilitation services, unless the patient qualifies for continued hospitalization under § 424.13(b) of this chapter; or

* * * * *

§ 412.604 [Amended]

3. In § 412.604, make the following corrections:

a. In paragraph (b), add the phrase “general criteria set forth in § 412.22 and the” before the word “criteria”.

b. In paragraph (e)(1)(i), remove the closed parentheses after the word “basis”.

c. In paragraph (e)(1)(iii), remove the “s” from “practitioners”.

§ 412.610 [Amended]

4. In § 412.610, in paragraph (c)(2)(ii)(A), remove the abbreviation “IRF”, and in its place, add the phrase “inpatient rehabilitation facility”.

§ 412.618 [Amended]

5. In § 412.618, revise paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 412.618 Assessment process for interrupted stays.

* * * * *

(c) If the interruption in the stay occurs during the admission assessment time period, the assessment reference date, completion date, and encoding date for the admission assessment are advanced by the same number of calendar days as the length of the patient's interruption in the stay.

§ 412.624 [Amended]

6. In § 412.624, make the following corrections:

a. In paragraph (a)(1), remove the phrase “under this subchapter” and in its place, add the phrase “of this subchapter”.

b. In paragraph (c)(4), remove the phrase “is the product” and in its place, add the phrase “are the product”.

c. In paragraph (e)(4), in the first sentence, remove the “s” from the word “exceeds”.

d. Revise paragraph (g)(1) and the introductory text of paragraph (g)(2) to read as set forth below:

§ 412.624 Methodology for calculating the Federal prospective payment rates.

* * * * *

(g) * * *

(1) *Patient is discharged and returns on the same day.* Payment for a patient who is discharged and returns to the same inpatient rehabilitation facility on the same day will be the adjusted Federal prospective payment under paragraph (e) of this section that is based on the patient assessment data specified in § 412.618(a)(1). Payment for a patient who is discharged and returns to the same inpatient rehabilitation facility on the same day will only be made to the inpatient rehabilitation facility.

(2) *Patient is discharged and does not return by the end of the same day.* Payment for a patient who is discharged and does not return on the same day but does return to the same inpatient rehabilitation facility by or on midnight of the third day, defined as an interrupted stay under § 412.602, will be—

* * * * *

§ 412.626 [Amended]

7. In § 412.626, make the following corrections:

(a) In paragraph (b)(1), remove the acronym “IRF” and in its place, add the phrase “inpatient rehabilitation facility”.

(b) In paragraph (b)(2), in the last sentence, remove the word, “or”, and in its place, add the phrase, “timely or is otherwise”.

PART 413—PRINCIPLES OF REASONABLE COST REIMBURSEMENT; PAYMENT FOR END-STAGE RENAL DISEASE SERVICES;

PROSPECTIVELY DETERMINED PAYMENT FOR SKILLED NURSING FACILITIES

1. The authority citation for part 413 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 1102, 1812(d), 1814(b), 1815, 1833(a), (i) and (n), 1861(v), 1871, 1881, 1883, and 1886 of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 1302, 1395d(d), 1395f(b), 1395g, 1395l(a), (i), and (n), 1395x(v), 1395hh, 1395rr, 1395tt, and 1395ww).

§ 413.1 [Amended]

2. In § 413.1, in paragraph (d)(2)(iv), after the word “is”, add the word “made”.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 93.773, Medicare—Hospital Insurance)

Dated: June 26, 2002.

Ann Agnew,

Executive Secretary to the Department.

[FR Doc. 02–16476 Filed 6–28–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4120–01–P

FEDERAL EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AGENCY

44 CFR Part 64

[Docket No. FEMA–7787]

Suspension of Community Eligibility

AGENCY: Federal Emergency Management Agency, FEMA.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule identifies communities, where the sale of flood insurance has been authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP), that are suspended on the effective dates listed within this rule because of noncompliance with the floodplain management requirements of the program. If the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) receives documentation that the community has adopted the required floodplain

management measures prior to the effective suspension date given in this rule, the suspension will be withdrawn by publication in the **Federal Register**.

EFFECTIVE DATES: The effective date of each community's suspension is the third date ("Susp.") listed in the third column of the following tables.

ADDRESSES: If you wish to determine whether a particular community was suspended on the suspension date, contact the appropriate FEMA Regional Office or the NFIP servicing contractor.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edward Pasterick, Division Director, Program Marketing and Partnership Division, Federal Insurance Administration and Mitigation Directorate, 500 C Street, SW.; Room 411, Washington, DC 20472, (202) 646-3098.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The NFIP enables property owners to purchase flood insurance which is generally not otherwise available. In return, communities agree to adopt and administer local floodplain management aimed at protecting lives and new construction from future flooding. Section 1315 of the National Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4022, prohibits flood insurance coverage as authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program, 42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.; unless an appropriate public body adopts adequate floodplain management measures with effective enforcement measures. The communities listed in this document no longer meet that statutory requirement for compliance with program regulations, 44 CFR part 59 et seq. Accordingly, the communities will be suspended on the effective date in the third column. As of that date, flood insurance will no longer be available in the community. However, some of these communities may adopt and submit the required documentation of legally enforceable floodplain management measures after this rule is published but prior to the actual suspension date. These communities will not be suspended and will continue their eligibility for the sale of insurance. A notice withdrawing the suspension of

the communities will be published in the **Federal Register**.

In addition, the Federal Emergency Management Agency has identified the special flood hazard areas in these communities by publishing a Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM). The date of the FIRM if one has been published, is indicated in the fourth column of the table. No direct Federal financial assistance (except assistance pursuant to the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act not in connection with a flood) may legally be provided for construction or acquisition of buildings in the identified special flood hazard area of communities not participating in the NFIP and identified for more than a year, on the Federal Emergency Management Agency's initial flood insurance map of the community as having flood-prone areas (section 202(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973, 42 U.S.C. 4106(a), as amended). This prohibition against certain types of Federal assistance becomes effective for the communities listed on the date shown in the last column. The Associate Director finds that notice and public comment under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are impracticable and unnecessary because communities listed in this final rule have been adequately notified.

Each community receives a 6-month, 90-day, and 30-day notification addressed to the Chief Executive Officer that the community will be suspended unless the required floodplain management measures are met prior to the effective suspension date. Since these notifications have been made, this final rule may take effect within less than 30 days.

National Environmental Policy Act

This rule is categorically excluded from the requirements of 44 CFR part 10, Environmental Considerations. No environmental impact assessment has been prepared.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Associate Director has determined that this rule is exempt from the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act because the National

Flood Insurance Act of 1968, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4022, prohibits flood insurance coverage unless an appropriate public body adopts adequate floodplain management measures with effective enforcement measures. The communities listed no longer comply with the statutory requirements, and after the effective date, flood insurance will no longer be available in the communities unless they take remedial action.

Regulatory Classification

This final rule is not a significant regulatory action under the criteria of section 3(f) of Executive Order 12866 of September 30, 1993, Regulatory Planning and Review, 58 FR 51735.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not involve any collection of information for purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act, 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

Executive Order 12612, Federalism

This rule involves no policies that have federalism implications under Executive Order 12612, Federalism, October 26, 1987, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp.; p. 252.

Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform

This rule meets the applicable standards of section 2(b)(2) of Executive Order 12778, October 25, 1991, 56 FR 55195, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp.; p. 309.

List of Subjects in 44 CFR Part 64

Flood insurance, Floodplains.

Accordingly, 44 CFR part 64 is amended as follows:

PART 64—[AMENDED]

1. The authority citation for Part 64 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 4001 et seq.; Reorganization Plan No. 3 of 1978, 3 CFR, 1978 Comp.; p. 329; E.O. 12127, 44 FR 19367, 3 CFR, 1979 Comp.; p. 376.

§ 64.6 [Amended]

2. The tables published under the authority of § 64.6 are amended as follows:

State and location	Community No.	Effective date authorization/cancellation of sale of flood insurance in community	Current effective map date	Date certain Federal assistance no longer available in special flood hazard areas
Region IX				
California:				
LaMesa, City of, San Diego County	060292	July 24, 1974, Emerg.; June 26, 1976, Reg. July 2, 2002.	7/2/02	7/2/02.

State and location	Community No.	Effective date authorization/cancellation of sale of flood insurance in community	Current effective map date	Date certain Federal assistance no longer available in special flood hazard areas
Lemon Grove, City of, San Diego County..	060723	November 14, 1997, Reg. July 2, 2002do	Do.
San Diego, City of, San Diego County	060284	January 29, 1971, Emerg.; August 15, 1983, Reg. July 2, 2002.do	Do.
San Diego County Unincorporated Areas.	060284	March, 5, 1971, Emerg.; June 15, 1984, Reg. July 2, 2002.do	Do.
Region I				
New Hampshire: Nashua, City of, Hillsborough County.	330097	February 6, 1975, Emerg.; June 15, 1979, Reg. July 3, 2002.	7/3/02	7/3/02.
Region IV				
Florida: Mount Dora, City of, Lake County ...	120137	February 3, 1975, Emerg.; April 5, 1988, Reg. July 3, 2002.do	Do.
Region I				
Vermont: Hardwick, Town/Village of, Cal- edonia County.	500027	August 9, 1973, Emerg.; June 15, 1984, Reg. July 17, 2002.	7/17/02	7/17/02.
Region VII				
Kansas: Winfield, City of, Cowley County	200071	May 30, 1974, Emerg.; March 16, 1981, Reg. July 17, 2002.do	Do.
Missouri:				
El Dorado, City of, Cedar County	290072	July 3, 1975, Emerg.; April 15, 1986 Reg. July 17, 2002.do	Do.
Everton, City of, Dade County	290589	August 13, 1976, Emerg.; August 1, 1986, Reg. July 17, 2002.do	Do.
Marshfield, City of, Webster County	290685	June 13, 1975, Emerg.; September 10, 1984, Reg. July 17, 2002.do	Do.
Rogersville, City of, Webster County	290658	January 16, 1976, Emerg.; March 30, 1981, Reg. July 17, 2002.do	Do.
Region VIII				
Utah:				
Lehi, City of, Utah County	490209	October 18, 1974, Emerg.; September 14, 1979, Reg. July 17, 2002.do	Do.
Sarasota Springs, City of, Utah County	490250	May 10, 1999, Reg. July 17, 2002do	Do.
Utah County, Unincorporated Areas	495517	November 21, 1971, Emerg.; October 15, 1982, Reg. July 17, 2002.do	Do.

Code for reading third column: Emerg.—Emergency; Reg.—Regular; Susp.—Suspension.

Dated: June 20, 2002.

Robert F. Shea,

Acting Administrator, Federal Insurance Administration, and Mitigation Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-16424 Filed 6-28-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6718-05-P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 36

[CC Docket No. 96-45; FCC 02-171]

Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service; Multi-Association Group (MAG) Plan for Regulation of Interstate Services of Non-Price Cap Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and Interexchange Carriers; Petitions for Reconsideration Filed by: Coalition of Rural Telephone Companies, Competitive Universal Service Coalition, Illinois Commerce Commission, and National Telephone Cooperative Association

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In this document, the Commission address the requests to reconsider portions of the Commission's

order modifying the Commission's rules for providing high-cost universal service support to rural telephone companies based on the proposals made by the Rural Task Force by amending its rules to provide that the amount of high-cost loop support available to rural carriers in 2002 should be adjusted to account for mid-2001 implementation of the rules adopted in the Rural Task Force Order.

DATES: Effective July 31, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Sharon Webber, Deputy Chief, Telecommunications Access Policy Division, Wireline Competition Bureau, (202) 418-7400.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This is a summary of a Commission's Order on Reconsideration in CC Docket No. 96-45 released on June 13, 2002. The full text of this document is available for public inspection during regular business hours in the FCC Reference Center,