

may ultimately be evaluated and viewed as irrelevant and unnecessary to investigation. In addition, the OIG may obtain information concerning the violation of laws other than those within the scope of its jurisdiction. In the interest of effective law enforcement, the OIG could retain this information because it may aid in establishing patterns of unlawful activity and provide leads for other law enforcement agencies. Further, in obtaining evidence during an investigation, information may be provided to the OIG which relates to matters incidental to the main purpose of the investigation but which may be pertinent to the investigative jurisdiction of another agency. Such information cannot readily be identified.

[FR Doc. 02-18894 Filed 7-29-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6570-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

38 CFR Part 17

RIN 2900-AD48

Operation of Child Care Centers at VA Facilities

AGENCY: Department of Veterans Affairs.

ACTION: Withdrawal of proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of Veterans Affairs published a proposed rule to amend its regulations regarding the Operation of Child Care Centers at VA Facilities. The proposed rule and the comments we received have been superseded by events. Accordingly, this document hereby withdraws the proposed rule.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Renee Bruce, National Child Care Program Manager, telephone number 410-605-7388, VA Maryland Health Care System, 10 N. Greene Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21201.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In a proposed rule published in the **Federal Register** on December 27, 1989 (54 FR 53078), VA proposed to amend its regulations regarding the Operation of Child Care Centers at VA Facilities. The proposed rule and comments VA received have been superseded by events.

The child care needs of VA employees are being met through the provision of child care services by non-VA entities, at, or near VA facilities. While VA does not have any authority other than Veterans' Canteen Service (VCS) statute, to provide child care services directly,

VA facilities can provide, free-of-charge, space and services to privately operated child care centers pursuant to the Tribble Amendment and VA leasing authority. See 38 U.S.C. 7809, 8122(a), 40 U.S.C. 490b. Use of the Tribble Amendment and VA leasing authority has resulted in the existence of dozens of child care centers serving VA employees.

Further, we understand that VCS does not, nor does it intend to, operate any child care centers, directly or by contract. Thus, VA is withdrawing the proposed rule.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 17

Administrative practice and procedure, Alcohol abuse, Alcoholism, Claims, Day care, Dental health, Drug abuse, Foreign relations, Government contacts, Grants program-health, Health care, Health facilities, Health professions, Health records, Homeless, Medical and dental schools, Medical devices, Medical research, Mental health programs, Nursing homes, Philippines, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Scholarships and fellowships, Travel and Transportation expenses, Veterans.

Approved: July 5, 2002.

Anthony J. Principi,
Secretary of Veterans Affairs.

[FR Doc. 02-19175 Filed 7-29-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

48 CFR Parts 225 and 252

[DFARS Case 2002-D008]

Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement; Trade Agreements Act—Exception for U.S.-Made End Products

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Proposed rule with request for comments.

SUMMARY: DoD is proposing to amend the Defense Federal Acquisition Regulation Supplement (DFARS) to implement the determination of the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) that, for procurements subject to the Trade Agreements Act, it would be inconsistent with the public interest to apply the Buy American Act to U.S.-made end products that are substantially transformed in the United States.

DATES: Comments on the proposed rule should be submitted in writing to the address shown below on or before

September 30, 2002, to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

ADDRESSES: Respondents may submit comments directly on the World Wide Web at <http://emissary.acq.osd.mil/dar/dfars.nsf/pubcomm>. As an alternative, respondents may e-mail comments to: dfars@acq.osd.mil. Please cite DFARS Case 2002-D008 in the subject line of e-mailed comments.

Respondents that cannot submit comments using either of the above methods may submit comments to: Defense Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn: Ms. Amy Williams, OUSD(AT&L)DP(DAR), IMD 3C132, 3062 Defense Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062; facsimile (703) 602-0350. Please cite DFARS Case 2002-D008.

At the end of the comment period, interested parties may view public comments on the World Wide Web at <http://emissary.acq.osd.mil/dar/dfars.nsf>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Amy Williams, (703) 602-0328.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

On March 14, 2002, the Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) (USD(AT&L)) determined that, for procurements subject to the Trade Agreements Act, it would be inconsistent with the public interest to apply the Buy American Act to U.S.-made end products that are substantially transformed in the United States. This determination expands the May 16, 1997, USD(AT&L) determination (presently implemented in DFARS Part 225) that it would be inconsistent with the public interest to apply the Buy American Act to U.S.-made information technology products in Federal Supply Group 70 or 74. The March 14, 2002, determination is consistent with Federal Acquisition Regulation policy applicable to civilian agencies with regard to the treatment of U.S.-made end products.

This proposed DFARS rule implements the March 14, 2002, USD(AT&L) determination. The rule will simplify evaluation of offers in acquisitions subject to the Trade Agreements Act, because it will no longer be necessary to determine if a U.S.-made end product is also a domestic end product, *i.e.*, the cost of domestic components exceeds the cost of all components by more than 50 percent. Additionally, the provision at DFARS 252.225-7006, Buy American Act—Trade Agreements—Balance of Payments Program Certificate, and the clause at DFARS 252.225-7007, Buy

American Act—Trade Agreements—Balance of Payments Program, will no longer be necessary, because the provision at DFARS 252.225–7020, Trade Agreements Certificate, and the clause at DFARS 252.225–7021, Trade Agreements, will be appropriate for all acquisitions subject to the Trade Agreements Act. This rule also applies the March 14, 2002, USD(AT&L) determination to acquisitions subject to the Balance of Payments Program, since the Balance of Payments Program is an extension of the Buy American Act restrictions to acquisitions of supplies for overseas use.

This rule was not subject to Office of Management and Budget review under Executive Order 12866, dated September 30, 1993.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

This rule may have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, *et seq.* An initial regulatory flexibility analysis has been prepared and is summarized as follows:

The objective of the rule is to avoid treating products substantially transformed in the United States less favorably than products substantially transformed in a designated, Caribbean Basin, or NAFTA country. Under existing DFARS policy, offers of domestic end products are given a 50 percent price evaluation preference over offers of U.S.-made end products for which the cost of foreign components exceeds the cost of domestic components by 50 percent or more. However, for acquisitions subject to the Trade Agreements Act, an end product of a designated, Caribbean Basin, or NAFTA country is exempt from application of the 50 percent evaluation factor, regardless of the source of the components. Therefore, a company might be encouraged to manufacture a product in a designated, Caribbean Basin, or NAFTA country rather than in the United States. This DFARS rule proposes to revise evaluation procedures for acquisitions subject to the Trade Agreements Act to eliminate the 50 percent price advantage that DoD presently gives to domestic end products over U.S.-made end products with foreign component content of 50 percent or more. Therefore, the cost incentive to manufacture components in the United States will be removed. However, for companies that provide U.S.-made end products containing foreign components, the incentive to move end product manufacturing facilities to a designated, Caribbean

Basin, or NAFTA country will be reduced.

A copy of the analysis may be obtained from the point of contact specified herein. DoD invites comments from small businesses and other interested parties. DoD also will consider comments from small entities concerning the affected DFARS subparts in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 610. Such comments should be submitted separately and should cite DFARS Case 2002–D008.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The rule will eliminate the requirement for offerors to track and document the origin of components of U.S.-made end products in acquisitions subject to the Trade Agreements Act. This will reduce by 960 hours the annual paperwork burden requirements previously approved by the Office of Management and Budget under Control Number 0704–0229.

List of Subjects in 48 CFR Parts 225 and 252

Government procurement.

Michele P. Peterson,
Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition Regulations Council.

Therefore, DoD proposes to amend 48 CFR Parts 225 and 252 as follows:

1. The authority citation for 48 CFR Parts 225 and 252 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 41 U.S.C. 421 and 48 CFR Chapter 1.

PART 225—FOREIGN ACQUISITION

225.001 [Amended]

2. Section 225.001 is amended by removing paragraph (3)(ii) and redesignating paragraph (3)(iii) as paragraph (3)(ii).

225.003 [Amended]

3. Section 225.003 is amended as follows:

a. In paragraph (4) by removing “252.225–7007, Buy American Act—Trade Agreements—Balance of Payments Program;” and

b. In paragraph (12), by removing “252.225–7007, Buy American Act—Trade Agreements—Balance of Payments Program;”.

4. Section 225.103 is amended as follows:

a. By redesignating paragraph (a)(1) as paragraph (a)(i); and

b. By revising newly designated paragraph (a)(i)(B) to read as follows:

225.103 Exceptions.

(a)(i) * * *

(B) The Under Secretary of Defense (Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics) has determined that, for procurements subject to the Trade Agreements Act, it is inconsistent with the public interest to apply the Buy American Act to end products that are substantially transformed in the United States.

* * * * *

5. Section 225.402 is revised to read as follows:

225.402 General.

To estimate the value of the acquisition, use the total estimated value of end products subject to trade agreement acts (see 225.401–70).

6. Section 225.502 is revised to read as follows:

225.502 Application.

(b) Use the following procedures instead of the procedures in FAR 25.502(b) for acquisitions subject to the Trade Agreements Act:

(i) Consider only offers of U.S.—made, qualifying country, or eligible end products, except as permitted by 225.403.

(ii) If price is the determining factor, award on the low offer.

(c) Use the following procedures instead of those in FAR 25.502(c) for acquisitions subject to the Buy American Act or the Balance of Payments Program.

(i) Treat offers of eligible end products under acquisitions subject to NAFTA as if they were qualifying country offers.

As used in this section, the term “nonqualifying country offer” may also apply to an offer that is not an eligible offer under NAFTA.

(ii) Except as provided in paragraph (c)(iii) of this section, evaluate offers by adding a 50 percent factor to the price (including duty) of each nonqualifying country offer (*see* 225.504(1)).

(A) Nonqualifying country offers include duty in the offered price. When applying the factor, evaluate based on the inclusion of duty, whether or not duty is to be exempted. If award is made on the nonqualifying country offer and duty is to be exempted through inclusion of the clause at FAR 52.225–8, Duty-Free Entry, award at the offered price minus the amount of duty identified in the provision at 252.225–7003, Information for Duty-Free Entry Evaluation (*see* 225.504(1)(ii)).

(B) When a nonqualifying country offer includes more than one line item, apply the 50 percent factor—

(1) On an item-by-item basis; or

(2) On a group of items, if the solicitation specifically provides for award on a group basis.

(iii) When application of the factor would not result in the award of a

domestic end product, *i.e.*, when no domestic offers are received (*see* 225.504(3)) or when a qualifying or NAFTA country offer is lower than the domestic offer (*see* 225.504(2)), evaluate nonqualifying country offers without the 50 percent factor.

(A) If duty is to be exempted through inclusion of the clause at FAR 52.225–8, Duty-Free Entry, evaluate the nonqualifying country offer exclusive of duty by reducing the offered price by the amount of duty identified in the clause at 252.225–7003, Information for Duty-Free Entry Evaluation (*see* 225.504(2)(ii) and (3)(ii)). If award is made on the nonqualifying country offer, award at the offered price minus duty.

(B) If duty is not to be exempted, evaluate the nonqualifying country offer inclusive of duty (*see* 225.504(2)(i) and (3)(i)).

(iv) If these evaluation procedures result in a tie between a nonqualifying country offer and a domestic offer, make award on the domestic offer.

(v)(A) There are two tests that must be met to determine whether a manufactured item is a domestic end product—

(1) The end product must have been manufactured in the United States; and

(2) The cost of its U.S. and qualifying country components must exceed 50 percent of the cost of all of its components. This test is applied to end products only, and not to individual components.

(B) Because of the component test, the definition of “domestic end product” is more restrictive than the definition for—

(1) “U.S.-made end product” under trade agreements;

(2) “Domestically produced or manufactured products” under small business set-asides or small business reservations; and

(3) Products of small businesses under FAR part 19.

225.504 [Amended]

7. Section 225.504 is amended by removing paragraph (4).

225.1101 [Amended]

8. Section 225.1101 is amended as follows:

a. In paragraph (2)(i) by removing “252.225–7007, Buy American Act—Trade Agreements—Balance of Payments Program;”;

b. By removing paragraph (3)(ii) and redesignating paragraphs (3)(iii) and (3)(iv) as paragraphs (3)(ii) and (3)(iii), respectively;

c. By removing paragraphs (5) and (6) and redesignating paragraphs (7) through (14) as paragraphs (5) through (12), respectively;

d. In newly designated paragraph (9), by removing “when acquiring information technology products in Federal Supply Group 70 or 74” and adding in its place “if the acquisition is subject to the Trade Agreements Act”; and

e. In newly designated paragraph (12), by removing “252.225–7007, Buy American Act—Trade Agreements’ Balance of Payments Program;”.

9. Section 225.7501 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(1)(iii) to read as follows:

225.7501 Policy.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(1) * * *

(iii) For acquisitions subject to the Trade Agreements Act, is a U.S.-made end product; or

* * * * *

PART 252—SOLICITATION PROVISIONS AND CONTRACT CLAUSES

252.225–7006 and 252.225–7007 [Removed and Reserved]

10. Sections 252.225–7006 and 252.225–7007 are removed and reserved.

252.225–7008 [Amended]

11. Section 252.225–7008 is amended in the introductory text by removing “225.1101(7)” and adding in its place “225.1101(5)”.

252.225–7009 [Amended]

12. Section 252.225–7009 is amended in the introductory text by removing “225.1101(8)” and adding in its place “225.1101(6)”.

252.225–7010 [Amended]

13. Section 252.225–7010 is amended in the introductory text by removing “225.1101(9)” and adding in its place “225.1101(7)”.

252.225–7020 [Amended]

14. Section 252.225–7020 is amended in the introductory text by removing “225.1101(10)” and adding in its place “225.1101(8)”.

252.225–7021 [Amended]

15. Section 252.225–7021 is amended in the introductory text by removing “225.1101(11)” and adding in its place “225.1101(9)”.

252.225–7035 [Amended]

16. Section 252.225–7035 is amended in the introductory text and in Alternate I by removing “225.1101(12)” and adding in its place “225.1101(10)”.

252.225–7036 [Amended]

17. Section 252.225–7036 is amended in the introductory text and in Alternate I introductory text by removing “225.1101(13)” and adding in its place “225.1101(11)”.

252.225–7037 [Amended]

18. Section 252.225–7037 is amended in the introductory text by removing “225.1101(14)” and adding in its place “225.1101(12)”.

[FR Doc. 02–19085 Filed 7–29–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001–08–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 16

RIN 1018–AG70

Injurious Wildlife Species; Black Carp (*Mylopharyngodon piceus*)

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service proposes to amend its regulations to add black carp (*Mylopharyngodon piceus*) to the list of injurious fish, mollusks, and crustaceans. This listing would have the effect of prohibiting the importation of any live animal or viable egg of the black carp into the United States. The best available information indicates that this action is necessary to protect the interests of human beings, and wildlife and wildlife resources from the purposeful or accidental introduction and subsequent establishment of black carp populations into ecosystems of the United States. As proposed, live black carp or viable eggs could be imported only by permit for scientific, medical, educational, or zoological purposes, or without a permit by Federal agencies solely for their own use; permits would also be required for the interstate transportation of live black carp or viable eggs currently held in the United States for scientific, medical, educational, or zoological purposes. The proposal would prohibit interstate transportation of live black carp or viable eggs, currently held in the United States, for any other purpose.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before September 30, 2002.

ADDRESSES: Comments may be mailed or sent by fax to the Chief, Division of Environmental Quality, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 4401 North Fairfax Drive, Suite 322, Arlington, VA 22203,