exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the original less than fair value investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (3) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered in this review, the cast deposit rate will be 19.32 percent. This is the "all others" rate from the amended final determination in the less than fair value investigation. See Amended Final Determination Pursuant to CIT Decision: Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products from the Netherlands, 61 FR 47871 (September 11, 1996).

These deposit requirements shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO. Timely notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and sections 351.213 and 351.221 of the Department's regulations.

Dated: March 6, 2000.

Robert S. LaRussa,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

Appendix—Issues in Decision Memorandum

Comments and Responses

- 1. Decision of Export Price or Constructed Export Price status
- 2. CEP Profit
- 3. Financial Expenses
- 4. Ministerial Error in Calculating U.S. Warranty Expenses
- 5. Duty Absorption

[FR Doc. 00–6086 Filed 3–10–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–U

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-588-837, A-428-821]

Large Newspaper Printing Presses from Japan and Germany: Postponement of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Reviews

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Extension of time limits for preliminary results of antidumping duty administrative reviews.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce is extending the time limit for the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative reviews of the antidumping duty orders on large newspaper printing presses from Japan and Germany. The review involving Japan covers the period September 1, 1998, through August 31, 1999. The reviews involving Germany cover the periods September 1, 1997, through August 31, 1998; and September 1, 1998 through August 31, 1999.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 13, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Sunkyu Kim, at (202) 482–2613 for Japan; and Katherine Johnson, at (202) 482–4929 for Germany, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Postponement of Preliminary Results of Reviews: The Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated reviews of the antidumping duty orders on large newspaper printing presses (LNPPs) from Japan and Germany on November 4, 1999 (64 FR 60161). The current deadline for the preliminary results in these reviews is June 1, 2000. In accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, we determine that it is not practicable to complete the administrative reviews of LNPPs from Japan and Germany within the original time frame. See February 28, 2000, Memorandum from Sunkyu Kim, Acting Program Manager, and Irene Darzenta Tzafolias, Program Manager, to Richard W. Moreland, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration. Thus, the Department is extending the time limit for completion of the preliminary results until no later than September 29, 2000, which is 365 days after the last day of the anniversary month of the order.

We intend to issue the final results of these administrative reviews within 120 days after the publication of the preliminary results.

Dated: March 6, 2000. Richard W. Moreland,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

[FR Doc. 00–6090 Filed 3–10–00; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

International Trade Administration [A-580-825]

Oil Country Tubular Goods From Korea; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On September 8, 1999, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the preliminary results of the antidumping duty administrative review on oil country tubular goods ("OCTG") from Korea (64 FR 48783). This review covers one manufacturer/exporter of the subject merchandise to the United States, SeAH Steel Corporation ("SeAH"), and the period August 1, 1997 through July 31, 1998, which is the third period of review ("POR").

Based on our analysis of the comments received and our discussion of the currency conversion methodology explained below, we have made a change in the margin calculations. Therefore, the final results differ from the preliminary results. The final weighted-average dumping margin is listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 13, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Jonathan Lyons, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0374.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act ("URAA"). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations