required to designate roads, trails, and areas for public motorized use that are currently part of the National Forest System of roads, trails and areas. Alternatives to the Proposed Action and No Action will depict differing combinations of routes to remain open to motorized travel.

A consequence of the no action alternative is that the existing non-system routes currently being used would not be available for public motorized use. Decommissioning or obliterating these routes, which may involve ground disturbing activities, is not a part of the Proposed Action or alternatives, and would generally require separate and site-specific NEPA decisions regarding the implementation aspects of road closures. The environmental consequences of having routes closed to motorized travel will be evaluated in this environmental analysis.

Identification of new routes that would meet the goals and objectives for a motorized transportation system on NFS lands will not be a part of this travel management planning effort, but may be identified as an opportunity and would require separate, site-specific NEPA decisions to implement ground disturbing activities associated with new route construction.

Responsible Official: The Responsible Official is Steve E. Williams, Forest Supervisor, Custer National Forest, 1310 Main Street, Billings, MT 59105.

Nature of Decisions To Be Made: Based on the purpose and need for the proposed action, the Forest Supervisor will evaluate the Proposed Action and other alternatives in order to make the following decisions for the specific National Forest System lands:

- Determine any non-system routes that should be converted to system roads or trails;
- Determine the roads, trails, and areas that should be designated for public motorized travel; and,
- Determine the season and/or type of use for those routes open to public motorized travel; and,
- Determine if change in the extent and nature of dispersed vehicle camping change is warranted.

Scoping Process: Public scoping was initiated October 22, 2007 and was concluded November 27, 2007. Public meetings were held in Camp Crook and Buffalo, South Dakota, and Ekalaka, Montana in November 2007 to discuss the scoping document. The Forest Service received just over 20 letters, personal comments, or phone calls.

The Forest Service has considered all public scoping comments and concerns that have been submitted, as well as resource related input from the interdisciplinary team and other agency resource specialists. This input will be used to identify issues to consider in the environmental analysis. A comprehensive list of key issues will be determined before the full range of alternatives is developed and the environmental analysis is begun.

Persons and organizations commenting during the initial scoping will remain on the mailing list for future information about Sioux Ranger District Travel Management Planning.

The Responsible Official has determined that an Environmental Impact Statement is the appropriate NEPA document for this analysis.

Comments Requested: Given that scoping has been conducted and that public meetings have been conducted, comments are not being requested at this time.

Early Notice of Importance of Public Participation in Subsequent Environmental Review: A draft environmental impact statement will be prepared for public comment. The comment period on the draft environmental impact statement will be 45 days from the date that the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) publishes the notice of availability in the **Federal Register**.

Written comments are preferred and should include the name and address of the commenter. Comments submitted for this proposed action will be considered part of the public record.

The Forest Service believes it is important to give reviewers notice of several court rulings related to public participation in the environmental review process. Reviewers of draft environmental impact statements must structure their participation in the review of the proposal so that it is meaningful and alerts an agency to the reviewer's position and contentions (*Vermont Yankee Nuclear Power Corp.* v. *NRDC*, 435 US. 519, 553 (1978)). Also, environmental objections that could be raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts (*City of Angoon* v. *Hodel*, 803 F.2d 1016, 1022 (9th Cir. 1986) and *Wisconsin Heritages Inc.* v. *Harris*, 409 F. Supp. 1334, 1338 (ED. Wis. 1980)). Because of these court rulings, it is very important those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at the time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternative formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: August 29, 2008.

Steve E. Williams,

Forest Supervisor.

[FR Doc. E8–20588 Filed 9–4–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

COMMITTEE FOR PURCHASE FROM PEOPLE WHO ARE BLIND OR SEVERELY DISABLED

Correction of Notice To Clarify Scope of Procurement List Additions; 2008 Commodities Procurement List

This Committee is correcting the notice of products that moved from its C-List to B-List.

In the notice appearing on page 50930–50931 on August 29, 2008 (Volume 73, Number 169), the Committee published products that are C-List products incorrectly as products that are moving from the C-List to the B-List.

The products that have moved from the C-List to the B-List are:

SKILCRAFT 18″ Blue Wet Mop 7920–01–565–4597.
SKILCRAFT 24″ Blue Wet Mop 7920–01–565–4596.
SKILCRAFT 18″ Yellow Dust Mop 7920–01–565–4598.
SKILCRAFT 24″ Yellow Dust Mop 7920–01–565–4599.
SKILCRAFT Flat Mop Handle with Frame 18″ 7920–01–565–4595.
SKILCRAFT Flat Mop Handle with Frame 24″ 7920–01–565–4600.

The URL for accessing the A-List is corrected as follows: *http://www.jwod.gov/jwod/p_and_s/A-List_08.html*.

Kimberly M. Zeich,

Director, Program Operations.

[FR Doc. E8–20610 Filed 9–4–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6353–01–P