

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**Rural Housing Service****Notice of Request for Extension of a Currently Approved Information Collection****AGENCY:** Rural Housing Service, USDA.**ACTION:** Proposed collection; comments requested.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, this notice announces the Rural Housing Service's (RHS) intention to request an extension for a currently approved information collection in support of the program for the Housing Preservation Grant Program.

DATES: Comments on this notice must be received by May 11, 2009 to be assured of consideration.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Bonnie Edwards-Jackson, Finance and Loan Analyst, Multi-Family Housing Preservation and Direct Loan Division, USDA Rural Development, Stop 0781, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20250-0782, telephone (202) 690-0759 (voice) (this is not a toll free number) or (800) 877-8339 (TDD—Federal Information Relay Service) or via e-mail at, Bonnie.Edwards@wdc.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: RHS/Housing Preservation Grant Program.

OMB Number: 0575-0115.

Expiration Date of Approval: June 30, 2009.

Type of Request: Extension of a currently approved information collection.

Abstract: The primary purpose of the Housing Preservation Grant Program is to repair or rehabilitate individual housing, rental properties, or co-ops owned or occupied by very low- and low-income rural persons. Grantees will provide eligible homeowners, owners of rental properties and owners of co-ops with financial assistance through loans, grants, interest reduction payments or other comparable financial assistance for necessary repairs and rehabilitation of dwellings to bring them up to code or minimum property standards.

Where repair and rehabilitation assistance is not economically feasible or practical the replacement of existing, individual owner occupied housing is available.

These grants were established by Public Law 98-181, the Housing Urban-Rural Recovery Act of 1983, which amended the Housing Act of 1979 (Pub. L. 93-383) by adding section 533, 42 U.S.C. S 2490(m), Housing Preservation

Grants (HPG). In addition, the Secretary of Agriculture has authority to prescribe rules and regulations to implement HPG and other programs under 42 U.S.C. S 1480(j).

Section 533(d) is prescriptive about the information applicants are to submit to RHS as part of their application and in the assessments and criteria RHS is to use in selecting grantees. An applicant is to submit a "statement of activity" describing its proposed program, including the specific activities it will undertake, and its schedule. RHS is required in turn to evaluate proposals on a set of prescribed criteria, for which the applicant will also have to provide information, such as: (1) Very low- and low-income persons proposed to be served by the repair and rehabilitation activities; (2) participation by other public and private organizations to leverage funds and lower the cost to the HPG program; (3) the area to be served in terms of population and need; (4) cost data to assure greatest degree of assistance at lowest cost; (5) administrative capacity of the applicant to carry out the program. The information collected will be the minimum required by law and by necessity for RHS to assure that it funds responsible grantees proposing feasible projects in areas of greatest need. Most data are taken from a localized area, although some are derived from census reports of city, county and Federal governments showing population and housing characteristics.

Estimate of Burden: Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .81 hours per response.

Respondents: A public body or a public or private nonprofit corporation.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 2,258.

Estimated Number of Responses per Respondent: 6.8.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 12,517 hours.

Copies of this information collection can be obtained from Linda Watts-Thomas, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch at (202 692-0226).

Comments

Comments are invited on: (a) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of RHS, including whether the information will have practical utility; (b) the accuracy of RHS's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be

collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

Comments may be sent to Linda Watts-Thomas, Regulations and Paperwork Management Branch, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development, STOP 0742, 1400 Independence Ave., SW., Washington, DC 20250. All responses to this notice will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval. All comments will become a matter of public record.

Dated: February 4, 2009.

James C. Alsop,

Acting Administrator, Rural Housing Service.

[FR Doc. E9-5329 Filed 3-11-09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-XV-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**National Telecommunications and Information Administration****DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE****Rural Utilities Service**

[Docket No. 090309298-9299-01]

American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 Broadband Initiatives

AGENCIES: National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce; Rural Utilities Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture.

ACTION: Joint request for information and notice of public meetings.

SUMMARY: Section 6001 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act) requires the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) to establish the Broadband Technology Opportunities Program (BTOP). The Recovery Act further establishes authority for the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) to make grants and loans for the deployment and construction of broadband systems. NTIA and RUS will hold a series of public meetings about the new programs beginning on March 16, 2009. In addition to the information received about the new programs during the public meetings, written comments will be accepted through April 13, 2009. Through this notice, guidance is provided as to the matters to be discussed at these public meetings and

the categories of information with respect to which interested parties may submit comments.

DATES: There will be a series of public meetings in Washington, DC on March 16, 19, 23 and 24, 2009. Field hearings will be held in other locations on March 17 and 18, 2009. These times and the agenda topics are subject to change. Please refer to NTIA's Web site, <http://www.ntia.doc.gov/broadbandgrants> or the RUS Web site <http://www.rurdev.usda.gov/index.html>, for the most up-to-date meeting agenda. Additional meetings may be announced in the future. Comments will be received through April 13, 2009.

Time and Place: The meetings on March 16, 19, 23, and 24, 2009 will begin at 10 a.m. and will take place at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. The meetings on March 17 and 18, 2009, will be field hearings. The location and time of the field hearings on March 17 and 18 will be announced on <http://www.ntia.doc.gov/broadbandgrants> and on <http://www.rurdev.usda.gov/index.html>. Webcast and/or transcripts of all of the public meetings will be made available on NTIA's Web site.

Times and locations are subject to change. Any changes will be announced on the NTIA Web site <http://www.ntia.doc.gov/broadbandgrants> or the RUS Web site <http://www.rurdev.usda.gov/index.html>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: For further information regarding the meetings, contact Barbara Brown at (202) 482-4374 or bbrown@ntia.doc.gov; Mary Campanola, USDA at (202) 720-8822 or mary.campanola@usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 6001 of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Recovery Act) requires the National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA), in consultation with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC), to establish the Broadband Technology Opportunities Program (BTOP). The purposes of the BTOP include accelerating broadband deployment in unserved and underserved areas and ensuring that strategic institutions that are likely to create jobs or provide significant public benefits have broadband connections. The Recovery Act also establishes authority for the RUS to make grants and loans for the deployment and construction of broadband systems. The purpose of the additional RUS broadband authority is to improve access to broadband areas without service or that lack sufficient access to

high-speed broadband service to facilitate economic development. In order to facilitate the coordinated development of these programs, NTIA and RUS will host a series of public meetings related to the NTIA's and RUS' broadband Recovery Act activities beginning on March 16, 2009. These meetings are in addition to the Joint Meeting to be held on March 10, 2009 at the Department of Commerce.¹ FCC representatives will participate in the public meetings related to the FCC's mission. The public meetings will be organized around key program themes, including but not limited to the definitions to be adopted, the role of the states in the grants process, the relationship of BTOP to the RUS loan and grant program and other Recovery Act programs, the grant selection criteria, the role of for-profit providers as potential grant recipients, and other topics.

Matters To Be Considered: Information is being sought on the following topics. Aspects of some of these topics will be discussed at the public meetings. Interested parties are invited to attend the meetings and to submit comments for the record on these topics to assist NTIA in establishing and administering BTOP and RUS in implementing its expanded authority. Comments addressing specific agency questions may be used by either agency in formulating its respective programs. Comments will be received through April 13, 2009.

NTIA

1. *The Purposes of the Grant Program:* Section 6001 of the Recovery Act establishes five purposes for the BTOP grant program.²

¹ Joint Notice of Public Meeting, 38 FR 8914 (Feb. 27, 2009).

² Section 6001(b) states that the purposes of the program are to—

(1) Provide access to broadband service to consumers residing in unserved areas of the United States;

(2) provide improved access to broadband service to consumers residing in underserved areas of the United States;

(3) provide broadband education, awareness, training, access, equipment, and support to—

(A) Schools, libraries, medical and healthcare providers, community colleges, and other institutions of higher education, and other community support organizations and entities to facilitate greater use of broadband service by or through these organizations;

(B) organizations and agencies that provide outreach, access, equipment, and support services to facilitate greater use of broadband service by low-income, unemployed, aged, and otherwise vulnerable populations; and

(C) job-creating strategic facilities located within a State-designated economic zone, Economic Development District designated by the Department of Commerce, Renewal Community or

a. Should a certain percentage of grant funds be apportioned to each category?

b. Should applicants be encouraged to address more than one purpose?

c. How should the BTOP leverage or respond to the other broadband-related portions of the Recovery Act, including the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) grants and loans program as well as the portions of the Recovery Act that address smart grids, health information technology, education, and transportation infrastructure?

2. *The Role of the States:* The Recovery Act states that NTIA may consult the States (including the District of Columbia, territories, and possessions) with respect to various aspects of the BTOP.³ The Recovery Act also requires that, to the extent practical, the BTOP award at least one grant to every State.⁴

a. How should the grant program consider State priorities in awarding grants?

b. What is the appropriate role for States in selecting projects for funding?

c. How should NTIA resolve differences among groups or constituencies within a State in establishing priorities for funding?

d. How should NTIA ensure that projects proposed by States are well-executed and produce worthwhile and measurable results?

3. *Eligible Grant Recipients:* The Recovery Act establishes entities that are eligible for a grant under the program.⁵ The Recovery Act requires

Empowerment Zone designated by the Department of Housing and Urban Development, or Enterprise Community designated by the Department of Agriculture;

(4) improve access to, and use, of broadband service by public safety agencies; and

(5) stimulate the demand for broadband, economic growth, and job creation.

³ Section 6001(c) states that the Assistant Secretary may consult a State, the District of Columbia, or territory or possession of the United States with respect to—

(1) The identification of areas described in subsection (b)(1) or (2) located in that State; and
(2) the allocation of grant funds within that State for projects in or affecting the State.

⁴ Section 6001(h)(1).

⁵ Section 6001(e) states that eligible applicants shall—

(1)(A) Be a State or political subdivision thereof, the District of Columbia, a territory or possession of the United States, an Indian tribe (as defined in section 4 of the Indian Self-Determination and Education Assistance Act (25 U.S.C. 450(b)) or native Hawaiian organization;

(B) a nonprofit—

(i) foundation,
(ii) corporation,
(iii) institution, or
(iv) association; or

(C) any other entity, including a broadband service or infrastructure provider, that the Assistant

Continued

NTIA to determine by rule whether it is in the public interest that entities other than those listed in Section 6001(e)(1)(A) and (B) should be eligible for grant awards. What standard should NTIA apply to determine whether it is in the public interest that entities other than those described in Section 6001(e)(1)(A) and (B) should be eligible for grant awards?

4. *Establishing Selection Criteria for Grant Awards:* The Recovery Act establishes several considerations for awarding grants under the BTOP.⁶ In addition to these considerations, NTIA may consider other priorities in selecting competitive grants.

a. What factors should NTIA consider in establishing selection criteria for grant awards? How can NTIA determine that a Federal funding need exists and that private investment is not displaced? How should the long-term feasibility of the investment be judged?

b. What should the weighting of these criteria be in determining consideration for grant and loan awards?

c. How should the BTOP prioritize proposals that serve underserved or unserved areas? Should the BTOP consider USDA broadband grant awards and loans in establishing these priorities?

d. Should priority be given to proposals that leverage other Recovery Act projects?

e. Should priority be given to proposals that address several purposes, serve several of the populations identified in the Recovery Act, or provide service to different types of areas?

f. What factors should be given priority in determining whether proposals will encourage sustainable adoption of broadband service?

Secretary finds by rule to be in the public interest. In establishing such rule, the Assistant Secretary shall to the extent practicable promote the purposes of this section in a technologically neutral manner

* * *

⁶ Section 6001(h) states that NTIA, in awarding grants, shall, to the extent practical—

(2) Consider whether an application to deploy infrastructure in an area—

a. Will, if approved, increase the affordability of, and subscribership to, service to the greatest population of users in the area;

b. will, if approved, provide the greatest broadband speed possible to the greatest population of users in the area;

c. will, if approved, enhance service for health care delivery, education, or children to the greatest population of users in the area; and

d. will, if approved, not result in unjust enrichment as a result of support for non-recurring costs through another Federal program for service in the area;

(3) consider whether the applicant is a socially and economically disadvantaged small business concern as defined under section 8(a) of the Small Business Act (15 U.S.C. 637).

g. Should the fact that different technologies can provide different service characteristics, such as speed and use of dedicated or shared links, be considered given the statute's direction that, to the extent practicable, the purposes of the statute should be promoted in a technologically neutral fashion?

h. What role, if any, should retail price play in the grant program?

5. *Grant Mechanics:* The Recovery Act requires all agencies to distribute funds efficiently and fund projects that would not receive investment otherwise.

a. What mechanisms for distributing stimulus funds should be used by NTIA and USDA in addition to traditional grant and loan programs?

b. How would these mechanisms address shortcomings, if any, in traditional grant or loan mechanisms in the context of the Recovery Act?

6. *Grants for Expanding Public Computer Center Capacity:* The Recovery Act directs that not less than \$200,000,000 of the BTOP shall be awarded for grants that expand public computer center capacity, including at community colleges and public libraries.

a. What selection criteria should be applied to ensure the success of this aspect of the program?

b. What additional institutions other than community colleges and public libraries should be considered as eligible recipients under this program?

7. *Grants for Innovative Programs to Encourage Sustainable Adoption of Broadband Service:* The Recovery Act directs that not less than \$250,000,000 of the BTOP shall be awarded for grants for innovative programs to encourage sustainable adoption of broadband services.

a. What selection criteria should be applied to ensure the success of this program?

b. What measures should be used to determine whether such innovative programs have succeeded in creating sustainable adoption of broadband services?

8. *Broadband Mapping:* The Recovery Act directs NTIA to establish a comprehensive nationwide inventory map of existing broadband service capability and availability in the United States that depicts the geographic extent to which broadband service capability is deployed and available from a commercial provider or public provider throughout each State.⁷

a. What uses should such a map be capable of serving?

b. What specific information should the broadband map contain, and should the map provide different types of information to different users (e.g., consumers versus governmental entities)?

c. At what level of geographic or other granularity should the broadband map provide information on broadband service?

d. What other factors should NTIA take into consideration in fulfilling the requirements of the Broadband Data Improvement Act, Public Law 110–385 (2008)?

e. Are there State or other mapping programs that provide models for the statewide inventory grants?

f. Specifically what information should states collect as conditions of receiving statewide inventory grants?

g. What technical specifications should be required of State grantees to ensure that statewide inventory maps can be efficiently rolled up into a searchable national broadband database to be made available on NTIA's Web site no later than February 2011?

h. Should other conditions attach to statewide inventory grants?

i. What information, other than statewide inventory information, should populate the comprehensive nationwide map?

j. The Recovery Act and the Broadband Data Improvement Act (BDIA) imposes duties on both NTIA and FCC concerning the collection of broadband data. Given the statutory requirements of the Recovery Act and the BDIA, how should NTIA and FCC best work together to meet these requirements?

9. *Financial Contributions by Grant Applicants:* The Recovery Act requires that the Federal share of funding for any proposal may not exceed 80 percent of the total grant.⁸ The Recovery Act also requires that applicants demonstrate that their proposals would not have been implemented during the grant period without Federal assistance.⁹ The Recovery Act allows for an increase in the Federal share beyond 80 percent if the applicant petitions NTIA and demonstrates financial need.

a. What factors should an applicant show to establish the "financial need" necessary to receive more than 80 percent of a project's cost in grant funds?

b. What factors should the NTIA apply in deciding that a particular proposal should receive less than an 80 percent Federal share?

⁸ Section 6001(f).

⁹ Section 6001(e)(3).

⁷ Section 6001(l).

c. What showing should be necessary to demonstrate that the proposal would not have been implemented without Federal assistance?

10. *Timely Completion of Proposals:* The Recovery Act states that NTIA shall establish the BTOP as expeditiously as practicable, ensure that all awards are made before the end of fiscal year 2010, and seek assurances from grantees that projects supported by the programs will be substantially completed within two (2) years following an award.¹⁰ The Recovery Act also requires that grant recipients report quarterly on the recipient's use of grant funds and the grant recipient's progress in fulfilling the objectives of the grant proposal.¹¹ The Recovery Act permits NTIA to de-obligate awards to grant recipients that demonstrate an insufficient level of performance, or wasteful or fraudulent spending (as defined by NTIA in advance), and award these funds to new or existing applicants.¹²

a. What is the most efficient, effective, and fair way to carry out the requirement that the BTOP be established expeditiously and that awards be made before the end of fiscal year 2010?

b. What elements should be included in the application to ensure the projects can be completed within two (2) years (e.g., timelines, milestones, letters of agreement with partners)?

11. *Reporting and Deobligation:* The Recovery Act also requires that grant recipients report quarterly on the recipient's use of grant funds and progress in fulfilling the objectives of the grant proposal.¹³ The Recovery Act permits NTIA to de-obligate funds for grant awards that demonstrate an insufficient level of performance, or wasteful or fraudulent spending (as defined by NTIA in advance), and award these funds to new or existing applicants.¹⁴

a. How should NTIA define wasteful or fraudulent spending for purposes of the grant program?

b. How should NTIA determine that performance is at an "insufficient level?"

c. If such spending is detected, what actions should NTIA take to ensure effective use of investments made and remaining funding?

12. *Coordination with USDA's Broadband Grant Program:* The Recovery Act directs USDA's Rural Development Office to distribute \$2.5

billion dollars in loans, loan guarantees, and grants for broadband deployment. The stated focus of the USDA's program is economic development in rural areas. NTIA has broad authority in its grant program to award grants throughout the United States. Although the two programs have different statutory structures, the programs have many similar purposes, namely the promotion of economic development based on deployment of broadband service and technologies.

a. What specific programmatic elements should both agencies adopt to ensure that grant funds are utilized in the most effective and efficient manner?

b. In cases where proposals encompass both rural and non-rural areas, what programmatic elements should the agencies establish to ensure that worthy projects are funded by one or both programs in the most cost effective manner without unjustly enriching the applicant(s)?

13. *Definitions:* The Conference Report on the Recovery Act states that NTIA should consult with the FCC on defining the terms "unserved area," "underserved area," and "broadband."¹⁵ The Recovery Act also requires that NTIA shall, in coordination with the FCC, publish nondiscrimination and network interconnection obligations that shall be contractual conditions of grant awards, including, at a minimum, adherence to the principles contained in the FCC's broadband policy statement (FCC 05-15, adopted August 5, 2005).¹⁶

a. For purposes of the BTOP, how should NTIA, in consultation with the FCC, define the terms "unserved area" and "underserved area?"

b. How should the BTOP define "broadband service?"

(1) Should the BTOP establish threshold transmission speeds for purposes of analyzing whether an area is "unserved" or "underserved" and prioritizing grant awards? Should thresholds be rigid or flexible?

(2) Should the BTOP establish different threshold speeds for different technology platforms?

(3) What should any such threshold speed(s) be, and how should they be measured and evaluated (e.g., advertised speed, average speed, typical speed, maximum speed)?

(4) Should the threshold speeds be symmetrical or asymmetrical?

(5) How should the BTOP consider the impacts of the use of shared facilities by service providers and of network congestion?

c. How should the BTOP define the nondiscrimination and network interconnection obligations that will be contractual conditions of grants awarded under Section 6001?

(1) In defining nondiscrimination obligations, what elements of network management techniques to be used by grantees, if any, should be described and permitted as a condition of any grant?

(2) Should the network interconnection obligation be based on existing statutory schemes? If not, what should the interconnection obligation be?

(3) Should there be different nondiscrimination and network interconnection standards for different technology platforms?

(4) Should failure to abide by whatever obligations are established result in de-obligation of fund awards?

(5) In the case of infrastructure paid for in whole or part by grant funds, should the obligations extend beyond the life of the grant and attach for the useable life of the infrastructure?

d. Are there other terms in this section of the Recovery Act, such as "community anchor institutions," that NTIA should define to ensure the success of the grant program? If so, what are those terms and how should those terms be defined, given the stated purposes of the Recovery Act?

e. What role, if any, should retail price play in these definitions?

14. *Measuring the Success of the BTOP:* The Recovery Act permits NTIA to establish additional reporting and information requirements for any recipient of grant program funds.

a. What measurements can be used to determine whether an individual proposal has successfully complied with the statutory obligations and project timelines?

b. Should applicants be required to report on a set of common data elements so that the relative success of individual proposals may be measured? If so, what should those elements be?

15. Please provide comment on any other issues that NTIA should consider in creating BTOP within the confines of the statutory structure established by the Recovery Act.

RUS

The provisions regarding the RUS Recovery Act broadband grant and loan activities are found in Division A, title I under the heading Rural Utilities Service, Distance Learning, Telemedicine and Broadband Program of the Recovery Act.¹⁷

¹⁰ Section 6001(d).

¹¹ Section 6001(i)(1).

¹² Section 6001(i)(4).

¹³ Section 6001(i)(1).

¹⁴ Section 6001(i)(4).

¹⁵ H.R. Rep. No. 111-16, at 776 (2009) (Conf. Rep.).

¹⁶ Section 6001(j).

¹⁷ The text of this authority is as follows:

1. What are the most effective ways RUS could offer broadband funds to ensure that rural residents that lack access to broadband will receive it?

For a number of years, RUS has struggled to find an effective way to use the Agency's current broadband loan program to provide broadband access to rural residents that lack such access. RUS believes that the authority to provide grants as well as loans will give it the tools necessary to achieve that goal. RUS is looking for suggestions as to the best ways to:

- a. Bundle loan and grant funding options to ensure such access is provided in the projects funded under the Recovery Act to areas that could not traditionally afford the investment;
- b. Promote leveraging of Recovery Act funding with private investment that ensures project viability and future sustainability; and
- c. Ensure that Recovery Funding is targeted to unserved areas that stand to

DISTANCE LEARNING, TELEMEDICINE, AND BROADBAND PROGRAM For an additional amount for the cost of broadband loans and loan guarantees, as authorized by the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 (7 U.S.C. 901 *et seq.*) and for grants (including for technical assistance), \$2,500,000,000: Provided, That the cost of direct and guaranteed loans shall be as defined in section 502 of the Congressional Budget Act of 1974: Provided further, That, notwithstanding title VI of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936, this amount is available for grants, loans and loan guarantees for broadband infrastructure in any area of the United States: Provided further, That at least 75 percent of the area to be served by a project receiving funds from such grants, loans or loan guarantees shall be in a rural area without sufficient access to high speed broadband service to facilitate rural economic development, as determined by the Secretary of Agriculture: Provided further, That priority for awarding such funds shall be given to project applications for broadband systems that will deliver end users a choice of more than one service provider: Provided further, That priority for awarding funds made available under this paragraph shall be given to projects that provide service to the highest proportion of rural residents that do not have access to broadband service: Provided further, That priority shall be given for project applications from borrowers or former borrowers under title II of the Rural Electrification Act of 1936 and for project applications that include such borrowers or former borrowers: Provided further, That priority for awarding such funds shall be given to project applications that demonstrate that, if the application is approved, all project elements will be fully funded: Provided further, That priority for awarding such funds shall be given to project applications for activities that can be completed if the requested funds are provided: Provided further, That priority for awarding such funds shall be given to activities that can commence promptly following approval: Provided further, That no area of a project funded with amounts made available under this paragraph may receive funding to provide broadband service under the Broadband Technology Opportunities Program: Provided further, That the Secretary shall submit a report on planned spending and actual obligations describing the use of these funds not later than 90 days after the date of enactment of this Act, and quarterly thereafter until all funds are obligated, to the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

benefit the most from this funding opportunity.

2. In what ways can RUS and NTIA best align their Recovery Act broadband activities to make the most efficient and effective use of the Recovery Act broadband funds?

In the Recovery Act, Congress provided funding and authorities to both RUS and the NTIA to expand the development of broadband throughout the country. Taking into account the authorities and limitations provided in the Recovery Act, RUS is looking for suggestions as to how both agencies can conduct their Recovery Act broadband activities so as to foster effective broadband development. For instance:

- (a) RUS is charged with ensuring that 75 percent of the area is rural and without sufficient access needed for economic development. How should this definition be reconciled with the NTIA definitions of "unserved" and "underserved?"
- (b) How should the agencies structure their eligibility requirements and other programmatic elements to ensure that applicants that desire to seek funding from both agencies (i) do not receive duplicate resources and (ii) are not hampered in their ability to apply for funds from both agencies?

3. How should RUS evaluate whether a particular level of broadband access and service is needed to facilitate economic development?

Seventy-five percent of an area to be funded under the Recovery Act must be in an area that USDA determines lacks sufficient "high speed broadband service to facilitate rural economic development." RUS is seeking suggestions as to the factors it should use to make such determinations.

- (a) How should RUS define "rural economic development?" What factors should be considered, in terms of job growth, sustainability, and other economic and socio-economic benefits?
- (b) What speeds are needed to facilitate "economic development?" What does "high speed broadband service" mean?

(c) What factors should be considered, when creating economic development incentives, in constructing facilities in areas outside the seventy-five percent area that is rural (*i.e.*, within an area that is less than 25 percent rural)?

4. In further evaluating projects, RUS must consider the priorities listed below. What value should be assigned to those factors in selecting applications? What additional priorities should be considered by RUS?

Priorities have been assigned to projects that will: (1) Give end-users a choice of Internet service providers, (2)

serve the highest proportion of rural residents that lack access to broadband service, (3) be projects of current and former RUS borrowers, and (4) be fully funded and ready to start once they receive funding under the Recovery Act.

5. What benchmarks should RUS use to determine the success of its Recovery Act broadband activities?

The Recovery Act gives RUS new tools to expand the availability of broadband in rural America. RUS is seeking suggestions regarding how it can measure the effectiveness of its funding programs under the Recovery Act. Factors to consider include, but are not limited to:

- a. Businesses and residences with "first-time" access.
- b. Critical facilities provided new and/or improved service:
 - i. Educational institutions.
 - ii. Healthcare providers.
 - iii. Public service/safety.
- c. Businesses created or saved.
- d. Job retention and/or creation.
- e. Decline in unemployment rates.
- f. State, local, community support.

Status: Interested parties are invited to attend the public meetings and to submit written comments. Written comments that exceed five pages should include a one-page executive summary. Submissions containing ten (10) or more pages of text must include a table of contents and an executive summary. NTIA will coordinate the reception of written comments for both RUS and NTIA programs. Interested parties are permitted to file comments electronically via e-mail to BTOP@ntia.doc.gov. Parties are strongly encouraged to make electronic submissions of documents containing ten (10) or more pages. Comments provided via e-mail may be submitted in one or more of the formats specified below. Comments may be filed with NTIA through April 13, 2009.

Paper comments should be sent to: Broadband Technology Opportunities Program, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 4812, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230. Please note that all material sent via the U. S. Postal Service (including "Overnight" or "Express Mail") is subject to delivery delays of up to two weeks due to mail security procedures. All written comments received will be posted on the NTIA Web site at <http://www.ntia.doc.gov/broadbandgrants>.

Paper submissions should also include a CD or DVD in HTML, ASCII, Word or WordPerfect format (please specify version). CDs or DVDs should be labeled with the name and organizational affiliation of the filer, and

the name of the word processing program used to create the document.

Because of space limitation, attendance at the meeting will be determined on a first-come, first-served basis. The meeting will be physically accessible to people with disabilities. Individuals requiring special services, such as sign language interpretation or other ancillary aids, are asked to indicate this to Barbara Brown, bbrown@ntia.doc.gov at least two (2) days prior to the meeting. Members of the public will have an opportunity to provide comment at the meetings, time permitting.

Dated: Monday, March 9, 2009.

Bernadette McGuire-Rivera,

Associate Administrator, Office of Telecommunications and Information Applications.

David P. Grahm,

Associate General Counsel, Rural Development.

[FR Doc. E9-5411 Filed 3-9-09; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 3510-60-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Utilities Service

Associated Electric Cooperative Incorporated: Notice of Availability of an Environmental Assessment

AGENCY: Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of availability of an Environmental Assessment for public review.

SUMMARY: The Rural Utilities Service, an Agency delivering the U.S. Department of Agriculture's Rural Development Utilities Programs, hereinafter referred to as Rural Development and/or Agency, has prepared an Environmental Assessment (EA) to meet its responsibilities under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and 7 CFR 1794 related to possible financial assistance to Associated Electric Cooperative Incorporated (AECI) for the construction of a new 540-megawatt (MW) gas-fired combustion combined-cycle generation unit at the existing Chouteau Power Plant in Mayes County, Oklahoma. The proposed new unit is needed to provide additional electric generating capacity that would allow AECI to meet its projected electrical peaking demand in 2011–2016. AECI is also proposing to construct a new substation approximately two miles east of the existing plant, a 161-kilovolt (kV) transmission line from the existing plant to the new substation, and a single circuit 345-kV line from the new substation to the nearby Grand River

Dam Authority (GRDA) Coal-Fired Power Plant I, Mayes County, Oklahoma. The proposed new transmission facilities are needed to provide an outlet for the additional electric power that would be generated at the Chouteau Power Plant as a result of the installation of the proposed new combustion turbine (CT). AECI is requesting financial assistance from the Agency for the proposed action.

DATES: Written comments on this Notice must be received on or before April 13, 2009.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To obtain copies of the EA or for further information, contact: Stephanie Strength, Environmental Protection Specialist, USDA, Rural Development, Utilities Programs, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 2244, Stop 1571, Washington, DC 20250-1571, or e-mail stephanie.strength@wdc.usda.gov.

A copy of the EA may be viewed online at the Agency's Web site: <http://www.usda.gov/rus/water/ees/ea.htm> and at AECI's headquarters office located at 211 South Golden, Springfield, Missouri 65801-4775 and at the Pryor Public Library, 505 E Graham, Pryor, OK 74361, (918) 825-0777. Comments may be submitted to Ms. Strength at the address provided in this Notice.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Associated Electric Cooperative, Inc. proposes to construct a new 540-MW gas-fired combustion combined-cycle generation unit at the existing Chouteau Power Plant in Mayes County, Oklahoma with an in-service date of early 2011. The existing plant includes a 522 MW combined cycle generation unit. The proposed 540 MW generating plant will be connected to a new 161/345-kV substation that will serve both the existing and proposed generating facilities. This substation will be located approximately two miles east of the Chouteau Power Plant on 16.7 acres. A single circuit 161-kV transmission line would be constructed from the existing Chouteau Power Plant to the new 345/161-kV substation and a single circuit 345-kV line will be constructed from the new substation to the existing Grand River Dam Authority (GRDA) Coal-Fired Power Plant.

The proposed CTs would employ an industrial frame advanced technology CT equipped with dry low-nitrogen oxide combustors. The CT would operate on natural gas as a fuel source. The construction of the proposal is tentatively scheduled to begin in 2009 and the estimated duration of construction would be 2 years.

A Notice of Intent to Prepare an EA and Hold a Scoping Meeting was published in the **Federal Register** at 73FR51439, on September 3, 2008, *The Paper* on September 8, 2008, and *The Daily Times* on September 7, 2008. A public meeting was held on September 16, 2008, at the Mid America Expo Center, Mid America Industrial Park in Pryor, Oklahoma 74361. A summary of public comments can be found at the Agency Web site listed in this Notice.

As part of its broad environmental review process, the Agency must take into account the effect of the proposal on historic properties in accordance with section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and its implementing regulation, "Protection of Historic Properties" (36 CFR part 800). Pursuant to 36 CFR 800.2(d)(3), the Agency is using its procedures for public involvement under NEPA to meet its responsibilities to solicit and consider the views of the public during section 106 review. Accordingly, comments submitted in response to scoping will inform Agency decisionmaking in section 106 review. Any party wishing to participate more directly with the Agency as a "consulting party" in section 106 review may submit a written request to do so to the Agency contact provided in this notice.

Alternatives considered by Rural Development and AECI included for the CTs were (a) no action, (b) alternate sources of power, (c) load management, (d) renewable energy sources, (e) non-renewable energy sources, and (f) alternate sites. The alternatives considered for the transmission facilities were (a) no action and (b) alternate routes. An environmental report that describes the proposal in detail and discusses its anticipated environmental impacts has been prepared by AECI. Rural Development has reviewed and accepted the document as its EA of the proposal. The EA is available for public review at the addresses provided in this Notice.

Questions and comments should be sent to Rural Development at the mailing or e-mail addresses provided in this Notice. Rural Development should receive comments on the EA in writing by April 13, 2009 to ensure that they are considered in its environmental impact determination.

Should Rural Development determine, based on the EA of the proposal, that the impacts of the construction and operation of the proposal would not have a significant environmental impact, it will prepare a Finding of No Significant Impact. Public notification of a Finding of No Significant Impact would be published