DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Mine Safety and Health Administration

30 CFR Part 72

RIN 1219–AB18

Determination of Concentration of Respirable Coal Mine Dust; Correction

AGENCY: Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA), Labor.

ACTION: Proposed rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects typographical errors which appeared in the preamble to the joint proposed rule that announced that the Secretary of Labor and the Secretary of Health and Human Services (the Secretaries) would find in accordance with sections 101 (30 U.S.C. 811) and 202(f)(2) (30 U.S.C. 842(f)(2)) of the Federal Mine Safety and Health Act of 1977 that the average concentration of respirable dust to which each miner in the active workings of a coal mine is exposed can be accurately measured over a single shift. No corrections are being made to the regulatory text.

The joint proposal published in the **Federal Register** on July 7, 2000, would rescind a previous 1972 finding by the Secretaries on the validity of such single-shift sampling.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carol J. Jones, Director, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances, MSHA; 703–235–1910.

Corrections

The proposed rule as published by the **Federal Register** contains typographical errors. This document provides information so that a reader may correct those errors. Please note: if you received a copy of the proposed rule from MSHA in the mail, some of the corrections have already been made. These are marked with an *.

In the proposed rule addressing determination of concentration of respirable coal mine dust, published in the **Federal Register** on July 7, 2000 (65 FR 42068), make the following corrections:

1. On page 42068, column one, line 42, change ''1997'' to read ''1998''.

2. On page 42069, column three, line 53, change ''1999'' to read ''proposed''.

3. On page 42079, column two, line 31, change ''1n'' to read ''ln''.

4. On page 42094, column two, line 13 change ''µ'' to read ''µg''.

5. On page 42094, column two, line 59, change ''µg'' to read ''1,400 µg''.

6. On page 42094, column three, line 17, change ''µ'' to read ''µm''.

7. On page 42096, column one, line 14, change ''Appendix B'' to read ''Appendix C''.

8. On page 42097, column three, line 51, change ''Appendix C'' to read ''Appendix D''.

9. On page 42098, column one, lines 11, 20 and 27, change ''Appendix B'' to read '' Appendix C''.

10. On page 42101, column three, line 40, change ''December 1999'' to read ''June 2000.''

11.* On page 42112, column one, line 44, replace ''Q_e'' with ''σ_e''.

12.* On page 42113, column 2, line 3, replace, ''will is'' with ''is''.

13. On page 42113, column three, line 19, and Table C–1, column one, line 23, replace ''Tyvek,'' with ''Tyvek®''.

14.* On page 42118, column one, line 21, replace ''of $_e$'' with ''of σ_e''.

15.* On page 42119, column one, line 73, replace ''equal to ''2)'' with ''equal to $\sqrt{2}$)''.

Dated: July 20, 2000.

Carol J. Jones,

Director, Office of Standards, Regulations and Variances.

[FR Doc. 00–18813 Filed 7–21–00; 12:45 pm]

BILLING CODE 4510–43–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[MD042–3051b; FRL–6838–2]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Maryland; Approval of Revisions to COMAR 26.11.12 Control of Batch Type Hot-Dip Galvanizing Installations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the State of Maryland for the purpose of establishing operational flexibility to meet visible emission limits for batch type hot-dip galvanizing installations. In the Final Rules section of this **Federal Register**, EPA is approving the State's SIP submittal as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial submittal and anticipates no adverse comments. A more detailed description of the state submittal and EPA's evaluation are included in a Technical Support Document (TSD) prepared in support of this rulemaking action. A copy of the TSD is available, upon request, from the EPA Regional Office listed in the **ADDRESSES** section of this document. If no adverse comments are received in response to this action, no further activity is contemplated. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by August 24, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be addressed to Ms. Makeba A. Morris, Chief, Technical Assessment Branch, Mailcode 3AP22, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air Protection Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 1650 Arch Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103; and the Maryland Department of the Environment, 2500 Broening Highway, Baltimore, Maryland, 21224.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ruth E. Knapp, (215) 814–2191, at the EPA Region III address above, or by e-mail at knapp.ruth@epamail.epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information, regarding the revisions to Maryland's regulation on batch type hot-dip galvanizing installations, please see the information provided in the direct final action, with the same title, that is located in the ''Rules and Regulations'' section of this **Federal Register** publication.

Dated: June 30, 2000.

Bradley M. Campbell,

Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 00–18529 Filed 7–24–00; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 73

[DA 00–1569, MM Docket No. 00–127, RM–9894]

Digital Television Broadcast Service; Jamestown, North Dakota

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commission requests comments on a petition filed by Red River Broadcast Company, licensee of