

(f) As of December 31, 2002, the number of white (not of Hispanic origin), black (not of Hispanic origin), Hispanic or Latino, American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian or Pacific Islander, or additional categories in their information system;

(g) As December 31, 2002, the number of adult probationers under their jurisdiction who were sentenced for a felony, misdemeanor, or other offense type;

(h) As of December 31, 2002, the number of adult probationers who had as their most serious offense a drug law violation, driving while intoxicated, other traffic offense, or domestic violence offense.

(i) Whether the probation authority supervised any probations held in local jails, prisons, or an INS holding facility, and the number of adult probationers held in each on December 31, 2002;

(j) As of December 31, 2002, the number of adult probationers under their jurisdiction who had entered probation with a direct sentence to probation, a split sentence to probation, a suspended sentence to incarceration, or a suspended imposition of sentence;

(k) As of December 31, 2002, the number of adult probationers under their jurisdiction who were active, inactive, absconders, or supervised out of State; and

(l) Whether the probation authority operated an intensive supervision program, a program involving electronic monitoring, or had any probationers enrolled in a program that approximates a bootcamp, and the number of adult probationers in each of the programs as of December 31, 2002.

(m) Whether the probation authority contracted out to a private agency for supervision, and the number of probationers supervised by a private agency that here included in the total population of December 31, 2002.

For the CJ-8A form, 150 reporters (from local authorities) responsible for keeping records on probationers will be asked to provide information for the following categories:

(a) As of January 1, 2002 and December 31, 2002, the number of adult probationers under their jurisdiction;

(b) The number of adults entering probation and discharged from probation during 2002;

(c) As of December 31, 2002, the number of male and female probationers under their jurisdiction; and

(d) As of December 31, 2002, the number of adult probationers under their jurisdiction who were sentenced for a felony, misdemeanor, or other offense type.

The Bureau of Justice Statistics uses this information in published reports and for the U.S. Congress, Executive Office of the President, practitioners, researchers, students, the media, and others interested in criminal justice statistics.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond. There are five hundred and thirty-seven respondents, each taking an average 1.17 hours to respond.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: Six hundred and fifty six annual burden hours are associated with this information collection.

If additional information is required contact: Mrs. Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: March 29, 2002.

**Brenda E. Dyer,**

*Department Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.*

[FR Doc. 02-8257 Filed 4-5-02; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4410-18-M**

## **NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS ADMINISTRATION**

### **Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request**

**AGENCY:** National Archives and Records Administration (NARA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** NARA is giving public notice that the agency proposes to request extension of a currently approved information collection used when veterans or other authorized individuals request information from or copies of documents in military service records. The public is invited to comment on the proposed information collection pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995.

**DATES:** Written comments must be received on or before June 7, 2002, to be assured of consideration.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments should be sent to: Paperwork Reduction Act Comments (NHP), Room 4400, National Archives and Records Administration, 8601 Adelphi Rd, College Park, MD 20740-6001; or faxed to 301-713-6913; or electronically mailed to [tamee.fechhelm@nara.gov](mailto:tamee.fechhelm@nara.gov).

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Requests for additional information or copies of the proposed information collections and supporting statements should be directed to Tamee Fechhelm at telephone number 301-713-6730, or fax number 301-713-6913.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13), NARA invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed information collections. The comments and suggestions should address one or more of the following points: (a) Whether the proposed collection information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of NARA; (b) the accuracy of NARA's estimate of the burden of the proposed information collections; (c) ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and (d) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on respondents, including the use of information technology. The comments that are submitted will be summarized and included in the NARA request for Office of Management and Budget (OMB) approval. All comments will become a matter of public record. In this notice, NARA is soliciting comments concerning the following information collection:

*Title:* Request Pertaining to Military Records.

*OMB number:* 3095-0029.

*Agency form number:* SF 180.

*Type of review:* Regular.

*Affected public:* Veterans, their authorized representatives, state and local governments, and businesses.

*Estimated number of respondents:* 552,500.

*Estimated time per response:* 5 minutes.

*Frequency of response:* On occasion (when respondent wishes to request information from a military personnel record).

*Estimated total annual burden hours:* 46,042 hours.

*Abstract:* In accordance with rules issued by the Department of Defense (DOD) and Department of Transportation (DOT, US Coast Guard), the National Personnel Records Center (NPRC) of the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA) administers military service records of veterans after discharge, retirement, and death. When veterans and other authorized individuals request information from or copies of documents in military service records, they must provide in forms or in letters certain information about the veteran

and the nature of the request. Federal agencies, military departments, veterans, veterans organizations, and the general public use Standard Forms (SF) 180, Request Pertaining to Military Records, in order to obtain information from military service records stored at NPRC. The authority for this information collection is contained in 36 CFR 1228.162.

Dated: April 2, 2002.

**L. Reynolds Cahoon,**  
*Assistant Archivist for Human Resources and Information Services.*

[FR Doc. 02-8360 Filed 4-5-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7515-01-U

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-397]

### Energy Northwest; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity for a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF-21, issued to Energy Northwest (the licensee), for operation of the Columbia Generating Station located in Benton County, Washington.

The proposed amendment would change Technical Specification (TS) Surveillance Requirement (SR) 3.6.1.3.6 to add a modifying footnote to the verification requirements for main steam isolation valve (MSIV) isolation times to specify that the isolation time of each MSIV includes circuit response time and to require verification that isolation of all of the main steam lines can be completed within the limits specified in SR 3.6.1.3.6.

On March 21, 2002, Energy Northwest requested enforcement discretion from compliance with Required Action A of Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) 3.6.1.3 because two inboard MSIVs were declared inoperable due to failure to meet SR 3.6.1.3.6. Compliance with the LCO action would have required isolation of two main steam lines necessitating a plant shutdown. The SR for MSIVs was previously thought to be met and each MSIV operable. The discovery that circuit response time should not be included in MSIV isolation time regarding the three-second time limit portion of SR 3.6.1.3.6, resulted in two MSIVs being technically inoperable. The staff issued the Notice of Enforcement Discretion

(NOED) on March 26, 2002. The exigent technical specification amendment request will preclude the need for continued enforcement discretion.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

Pursuant to 10 CFR 50.91(a)(6) for amendments to be granted under exigent circumstances, the NRC staff must determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

The proposed change does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The MSIV closure transient is discussed in FSAR [Final Safety Analysis Report] section 15.2.4. The sequence of events for this transient is given in FSAR Table 15.2-5 that assumes a time of 3.0 sec for all MSIVs to be closed. A review was performed of the Cycle 16 analysis, which modeled the four sets of MSIVs (two valves per steam line) collectively as a single orifice that transitions from full open to full closed in 3 seconds (includes valve motion time only). The overpressurization event occurs as a result of the pressure wave reflected back to the reactor pressure vessel by rapid MSIV closure. When analyzing the specific closure times from the last MSIV isolation time surveillances, performed on February 18 and February 22, 2002, it was determined that although two steam lines would be isolated in less than 3 seconds, the two remaining steam lines would be isolated in greater than 3 seconds. Averaging of the limiting (fastest) time for each of the four main steam lines yields an average valve motion time of 3.12 seconds. This average time is within the bounds of the analysis assumptions. There is no effect on the probability of a previously evaluated accident because two main steam lines isolating at the slightly faster time does not alter any event sequence considered in the accident analysis.

Therefore, this request for amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of the MSIV closure accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of

accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed amendment will not change the design function or operation of the MSIVs involved. There are no credible new failure mechanisms, malfunctions, or accident initiators associated with this change that are not considered in the design and licensing bases. The safety function of the MSIVs is to mitigate release of radioactive material. The proposed amendment does not create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated.

The proposed change does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

### Qualitative Risk Assessment

Analysis by Columbia Generating Station has determined that the current MSIV isolation times will not result in exceeding MCPR [minimum critical power ratio] or ASME vessel protection limits. Therefore, there is no adverse effect on any station equipment. Accordingly, implementing the requested amendment to Technical Specifications would not affect the baseline core damage probability.

Since the average of the measured limiting (fastest) isolation times for the MSIVs remain bounded by the Cycle 16 Licensing analysis there is no condition that would present a challenge to thermal limits, and thus, fuel failures. Also, since margin to the ASME overpressure limit is still maintained, protection of the RPV is not diminished. Therefore, there can be no increased risk to the public health and safety.

Other relevant analyses indicate that for closure times of 2 seconds or greater the impact on MCPR and vessel pressure is insignificant and will not challenge safety limits. The measured valve motion times of 2.74 seconds and 2.88 seconds are well above this value. Further, the average MSIV valve motion time of 3.12 seconds shows that the overall plant response with the current configuration is well within the bounds of the analysis. Therefore, this amendment request does not involve a significant reduction in the margin of safety.

The NRC staff has reviewed the licensee's analysis and, based on this review, it appears that the three standards of 10 CFR 50.92(c) are satisfied. Therefore, the NRC staff proposes to determine that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 14 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 14-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period, such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or