

Proposed Rules

Federal Register

Vol. 74, No. 125

Wednesday, July 1, 2009

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

39 CFR Part 3050

[Docket No. RM2009–5; Order No. 229]

Periodic Reporting Rules

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule; availability of rulemaking petition.

SUMMARY: Under a new law, the Postal Service must file an annual compliance report with the Postal Regulatory Commission on costs, revenues, rates and quality of service associated with its products. It has filed a petition with the Commission to consider a change in the analytical methods approved for use in periodic reporting. This document provides an opportunity for the public to comment on potential changes in periodic reporting rules.

DATES: Comments are due by July 15, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Stephen L. Sharfman, General Counsel, 202–789–6820 and stephen.sharfman@prc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 22, 2009, the Postal Service filed a petition to initiate an informal rulemaking proceeding to consider a change in the analytical methods approved for use in periodic reporting.¹ The Postal Service proposes to reduce the size of the sample that it uses to collect Origin-Destination Information System/Revenue Pieces and Weight (ODIS/RPW) data by 20 percent starting in the first quarter of FY 2010. The Petition explains that the Postal Service intends to eliminate 25,600 tests, thereby saving approximately \$6 million annually. It asserts that the reduction in

accuracy of the data collected is small and should be acceptable in view of the expense that it would avoid. In support of this assertion, the Petition includes two tables. Table 1 shows the estimated impact of the proposed reduction in sample size on the coefficients of variation (CVs) for volume and for revenue for the major categories of single-piece mail. Table 2 shows the estimated impact on the CVs for unit volume variable costs for those same categories of single-piece mail. The attachment to the Postal Service's Petition explains its proposal in more detail, including its background, objective, rationale, and estimated impact.

It is Ordered:

1. Petition of the United States Postal Service Requesting Initiation of a Proceeding to Consider Proposed Change in Analytic Principles (Proposal One), filed June 22, 2009, is granted.
2. The Commission establishes Docket No. RM2009–5 to consider the matters raised by the Postal Service's Petition.
3. Interested persons may submit initial comments on or before July 15, 2009.
4. The Commission will determine the need for reply comments after review of the initial comments.
5. Diane Monaco is designated to serve as the Public Representative representing the interests of the general public in this proceeding.
6. The Secretary shall arrange for publication of this Notice in the **Federal Register**.

Authority: 39 U.S.C. 3652.

By the Commission.

Steven W. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. E9–15499 Filed 6–30–09; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710–FW–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 271

[EPA–R02–RCRA–2009–0346; FRL–8916–6]

New York: Final Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program Revisions

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: New York State has applied to EPA for final authorization of changes to its hazardous waste program under the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, commonly referred to as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). EPA proposes to grant final authorization to New York for these changes, with limited exceptions, which are described in the “Rules and Regulations” section of this **Federal Register** notice. In that section, EPA is authorizing the changes by an immediate final rule. EPA did not make a proposal prior to the immediate final rule because we believe this action is not controversial and do not expect comments that oppose it. We have explained the reasons for this authorization in the preamble to the immediate final rule. Unless we receive written comments which oppose this authorization during the comment period, the immediate final rule will become effective on the date it establishes, and we will not take further action on this proposal. If we receive comments that oppose this action, we will either withdraw the immediate final rule, or the portion of the immediate final rule that is the subject of the comments. Only the remaining portion of the rule will take effect. We will then respond to those public comments opposing this authorization in a second final authorization notice. This second final notice may or may not include changes based on comments received during the public notice comment period. You may not have another opportunity for comment. If you want to comment on this action, you must do so at this time.

DATES: Send your written comments by July 31, 2009.

ADDRESSES: Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA–R02–RCRA–2009–0346 by one of the following methods:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments.

- *E-mail:* infurna.michael@epa.gov.

- *Fax:* (212) 637–4437.

- *Mail:* Send written comments to Michael Infurna, Division of Environmental Planning and Protection, EPA, Region 2, 290 Broadway, 22nd Floor, New York, NY 10007.

- *Hand Delivery or Courier:* Deliver your comments to Michael Infurna, Division of Environmental Planning and

¹ Petition of the United States Postal Service Requesting Initiation of a Proceeding To Consider Proposed Change in Analytic Principles (Proposal One), June 22, 2009 (Petition).