

pipeline in Sumter County, Alabama, between MP 106.41 and MP 115.74 (Phase II).

Loop 4: 5.99 miles of pipeline in Dallas and Autauga Counties, Alabama, between MP 185.16 and 191.15 (Phase I) and 6.71 miles of pipeline in Autauga County, Alabama, between MP 191.15 and 197.87 (Phase II). Also, the 12-inch pipeline authorized to be abandoned and removed to facilitate the installation of the new pipeline will change to 5.99 miles between MP 185.16 and 191.15 (Phase I) and 4.09 miles between MP 193.16 and MP 194.77; MP 195.09 and MP 197.27; and MP 197.57 and MP 197.87 (Phase II).

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to R. David Hendrickson, Assistant Secretary, Southern Natural Gas Company, P.O. Box 2563, Birmingham, Alabama 35202-2563, call (205) 325-7114.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before June 15, 2001, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Persons who wish to comment only on the environmental review of this project should submit an original and two copies of their comments to the Secretary of the Commission. Environmental commenters will be

placed on the Commission's environmental mailing list, will receive copies of the environmental documents, and will be notified of meetings associated with the Commission's environmental review process. Environmental commenters will not be required to serve copies of filed documents on all other parties. However, the non-party commentors will not receive copies of all documents filed by other parties or issued by the Commission (except for the mailing of environmental documents issued by the Commission) and will not have the right to seek court review of the Commission's final order.

The Commission may issue a preliminary determination on non-environmental issues prior to the completion of its review of the environmental aspects of the project. This preliminary determination typically considers such issues as the need for the project and its economic effect on existing customers of the applicant, on other pipelines in the area, and on landowners and communities. For example, the Commission considers the extent to which the applicant may need to exercise eminent domain to obtain rights-of-way for the proposed project and balances that against the non-environmental benefits to be provided by the project. Therefore, if a person has comments on community and landowner impacts from this proposal, it is important either to file comments or to intervene as early in the process as possible.

Comments, interventions and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm>.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-13730 Filed 5-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. CP01-368-000]

Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation; Notice of Application

May 25, 2001.

Take notice that on May 18, 2001, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation (Transco), P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251, in Docket No. CP01-368-000 filed an application pursuant to Section 7(b) of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) and the Commission's Rules and Regulations, for permission and approval for Transco to abandon certain pipeline facilities located in offshore and onshore Louisiana, which are part of the Central Louisiana Gathering System, by transfer to Williams Gas Processing-Gulf Coast Company, L.P. (WGP), an affiliate of Transco, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection. The filing may be viewed on the web at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/online/rims.htm> (call 202-208-2222 for assistance). WGP is concurrently filing a Petition requesting that the Commission declare the subject facilities exempt gathering pursuant to Section 1(b) of the Natural Gas Act.

Transco states that Commission authorization for abandonment of the subject gathering facilities to WGP is in the public convenience and necessity because the proposed abandonment will facilitate Transco's transition to providing unbundled services by eliminating facilities unnecessary to Transco's redefined role without detriment to those jurisdictional services that Transco provides to its existing customers. Transco states that abandonment will allow WGP to own and manage those facilities which perform a gathering function as a separate, stand-alone company focused on providing gathering services with its resources dedicated to improving operational efficiencies and ensuring market responsiveness for its customer base.

Any questions regarding the application should be directed to Gisela B. Cherches, Senior Attorney, Transcontinental Gas Pipe Line Corporation, P.O. Box 1396, Houston, Texas 77251, call (713) 215-2397.

There are two ways to become involved in the Commission's review of this project. First, any person wishing to obtain legal status by becoming a party to the proceedings for this project should, on or before June 15, 2001, file

with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, a motion to intervene in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). A person obtaining party status will be placed on the service list maintained by the Secretary of the Commission and will receive copies of all documents filed by the applicant and by all other parties. A party must submit 14 copies of filings made with the Commission and must mail a copy to the applicant and to every other party in the proceeding. Only parties to the proceeding can ask for court review of Commission orders in the proceeding.

However, a person does not have to intervene in order to have comments considered. The second way to participate is by filing with the Secretary of the Commission, as soon as possible, an original and two copies of comments in support of or in opposition to this project. The Commission will consider these comments in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but the filing of a comment alone will not serve to make the filer a party to the proceeding. The Commission's rules require that persons filing comments in opposition to the project provide copies of their protests only to the party or parties directly involved in the protest.

Comments, interventions and protests may be filed electronically via the internet in lieu of paper. See, 18 CFR 385.2001(a)(1)(iii) and the instructions on the Commission's web site at <http://www.ferc.fed.us/efi/doorbell.htm>.

If the Commission decides to set the application for a formal hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, the Commission will issue another notice describing that process. At the end of the Commission's review process, a final Commission order approving or denying a certificate will be issued.

David P. Boergers,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 01-13756 Filed 5-31-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. EG01-215-000-000, et al.]

ARE Generation Company, L.L.C., et al. Electric Rate and Corporate Regulation Filings

May 23, 2001.

Take notice that the following filings have been made with the Commission:

1. ARE Generation Company, L.L.C.

[Docket No. EG01-215-000]

Take notice that on May 21, 2001, ARE Generation Company, L.L.C. (AGC) tendered for filing with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission), an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status, pursuant to Section 32(a)(1) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935, as amended, (PUHCA), 15 U.S.C. § 79z-5a(a)(1) (1994), and Subchapter T, Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

AGC is a Delaware limited liability company that owns and operates a 40 megawatt gas-fired cogeneration facility located in Anschutz, Wyoming. AGC states that it will be engaged directly, or indirectly through one or more affiliates as defined in Section 2(a)(11)(B) of PUHCA, and exclusively in the business of owning or operating or both owning and operating an eligible facility, and selling electric energy at wholesale.

Comment date: June 13, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

2. Cold Springs Creek, LLC

[Docket No. EG01-216-000]

Take notice that on May 21, 2001, Cold Springs Creek, LLC (Applicant), an Idaho limited liability company, whose address is 130 Riley Creek Park Drive, P.O. Box 220 Lacede, Idaho 83841, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant intends to lease and operate a facility comprised of five (5), continuously rated 1.6-megawatt generator sets (non-road engines) fired on diesel fuel with a maximum total output of 8-megawatts (the Facility). The Facility is located in Lacede, Idaho. Electric energy produced by the Facility will be sold by Applicant to the

wholesale power market in the Northwestern United States.

Comment date: June 13, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

3. GenPower EW Frankfort, LLC

[Docket No. EG01-217-000]

Take notice that on May 21, 2001, GenPower EW Frankfort, LLC (Applicant), a Delaware limited liability company, whose address is 1040 Great Plain Avenue, Needham, MA, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for determination of exempt wholesale generator status pursuant to Part 365 of the Commission's regulations.

Applicant intends to construct an approximate 320 MW natural gas-fired independent power production facility in West Frankfort, Franklin County, Illinois (the Facility). The Facility is currently under development and will be owned by Applicant. Electric energy produced by the Facility will be sold by Applicant to the wholesale power market in the north central United States.

Comment date: June 13, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice. The Commission will limit its consideration of comments to those that concern the adequacy or accuracy of the application.

4. El Paso Electric Company, Public Service Company of New Mexico, Arizona Public Service Company, Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District

[Docket No. ER01-2091-000, Docket No. NJ01-7-000]

Take notice that on May 18, 2001, El Paso Electric Company, Public Service Company of New Mexico, Arizona Public Service Company, and the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District, tendered for filing revisions to their Open Access Transmission Tariffs to treat the multiple generating units that are connected to the Palo Verde/Hassayampa Common Bus Market Hub as a single point of receipt.

Comment date: June 8, 2001, in accordance with Standard Paragraph E at the end of this notice.

5. Florida Power & Light Company

[Docket No. ER01-1236-002]

Take notice that on May 18, 2001, Florida Power & Light Company (FPL) tendered for filing a revision to the