

consumers use the filter (*e.g.*, for drinking, cooking, or making infant-fed formula), whether the customers are using and maintain the filters correctly (*e.g.*, washing, replacing the filters per manufacturer's instructions), as well as demographic information to inform filter adoption rate by neighborhood or demographic group so Denver Water's health equity and environmental justice principles set forth in their variance request can be evaluated.

*Form numbers:* 6700–009.

*Respondents/affected entities:* 2,000 people.

*Respondent's obligation to respond:* Voluntary.

*Estimated number of respondents:* 2,000.

*Frequency of response:* Annually for three years.

*Total estimated burden:* 1,284 hours per year.

*Total estimated cost:* \$100,886 per year.

#### Filter Adoption Survey

1. Do you always, or most of the time, use your pitcher provided by Denver Water for drinking water?

- Yes.
- No—I use unfiltered tap water.
- No—I use bottled water or a different type of filtration system certified to remove lead in accordance with NSF/ANSI 53 standards (*e.g.*, fridge, under the sink filter, sink-mounted filter).

2. Do you always, or most of the time, use your pitcher when you are cooking foods where water is a base ingredient (examples: making rice, beans, soup)?

- Yes
- No
- 2a. If your answer to No. 2 above is no, why are you not using the pitcher for cooking?
- Prefer to use unfiltered tap water.
- Prefer to use bottled water for cooking food.
- Prefer to use a different type of filtration system certified to remove lead in accordance with NSF/ANSI 53 standards (*e.g.*, fridge filter, under the sink filter, sink-mounted filter).
- Do not cook.

Other \_\_\_\_\_

3. Do you have a formula-fed infant (under 24 months of age) in your household?

- Yes
- No
- 3a. If yes, what water do you always use to mix the formula (select all that apply)?
- Not applicable (I don't feed formula to my infant, or use pre-mix/ready mix)
- Water from the pitcher filter

- Bottled water
- Water filtered by an alternative filter device (fridge filter, under the sink filter, sink-mounted filter or other filter) certified to remove lead in accordance with NSF/ANSI 53 standards
- Unfiltered tap water

4. Have you or will you be replacing the pitcher's filter with the Denver Water provided replacement filters as recommended by the manufacturer?

- Yes
- No
  - If no, why not? (please describe)

5. The filter manufacturer recommends hand-washing the pitcher with a mild detergent. Are you cleaning your pitcher as recommended by the manufacturer?

- Yes
- No

6. What would make you more likely to use the pitcher provided? (Check all that apply)

- .. Larger pitcher
- .. Lighter pitcher
- .. Pitcher that fits in the refrigerator
- .. Pitcher that takes less time to fill
- .. Pitcher that takes less effort to use
- .. Not interested in filtering drinking water
- .. Do not cook or use tap water for cooking
- .. Other, please specify: (fill in the blank)

The questions below are optional. Denver Water will only use your demographic information for research purposes and to better inform our outreach and communication activities.

7a. Are you of Hispanic, Latino, or of Spanish origin?

- Yes
- No

7b. How would you describe yourself? (Check all that apply)

- White
- Black or African American
- Native American or Alaska Native
- Asian
- Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
- Multi-racial
- Other (specify)
- I do not know
- Prefer not to say

8. What is the age of the youngest person in your household?

- Someone in the household is expecting
- Under 2 years old
- 2–6 years old
- 7–17 years old
- 18–24 years old
- 25–34 years old
- 35–44 years old

- 45–54 years old
- Over 55 years old
- Prefer not to say

9. What is the primary language of your household? (Check all that apply)

- English
- Spanish
- Other (specify)
- Prefer not to say

10. How much total combined money did all members of your household earn in 2018 (gross income)?

- ≤\$0–\$29,999
- \$30,000–49,999
- \$50,000–79,999
- \$80,000–99,999
- \$100,000 or more
- Prefer not to say

11. What is the highest level of school you have completed, or the highest degree you have received?

- Less than high school degree
- High school degree or equivalent (*e.g.*, GED)
- Some college but no degree
- Associate degree
- Bachelor's degree
- Graduate degree
- Prefer not to say

12. To which gender identity do you most identify?

- Female
- Male
- Other
- Prefer not to say

Dated: March 5, 2020.

**Sarah Bahrman,**

*Chief, Safe Drinking Water Branch.*

[FR Doc. 2020–05018 Filed 3–11–20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA–HQ–OPPT–2015–0435; FRL–10004–99]

#### Agency Information Collection Activities; Proposed Renewal of an Existing Collection (EPA ICR No. 0795.16 and OMB Control No. 2070–0030); Comment Request

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), this document announces that EPA is planning to submit an Information Collection Request (ICR) to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). The ICR, entitled: “Notification of Chemical Exports—TSCA Section 12(b)” and identified by EPA ICR No. 0795.16 and OMB Control No. 2070–0030, represents

the renewal of an existing ICR that is scheduled to expire on November 30, 2020. Before submitting the ICR to OMB for review and approval, EPA is soliciting comments on specific aspects of the proposed information collection that is summarized in this document. The ICR and accompanying material are available in the docket for public review and comment.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before May 11, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by docket identification (ID) number EPA-EPA-HQ-OPPT-2015-0435, by one of the following methods:

- **Federal eRulemaking Portal:** <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

- **Mail:** Document Control Office (7407M), Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001.

- **Hand Delivery:** To make special arrangements for hand delivery or delivery of boxed information, please follow the instructions at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets/contacts.html>.

Additional instructions on commenting or visiting the docket, along with more information about dockets generally, is available at <http://www.epa.gov/dockets>.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

*For technical information contact:* Harlan Weir, Chemical Control Division, Mail Code 7405M, Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Washington, DC 20460-0001; telephone number: (202) 564-9885; email address: [weir.harlan@epa.gov](mailto:weir.harlan@epa.gov).

*For general information contact:* The TSCA-Hotline, ABVI-Goodwill, 422 South Clinton Ave., Rochester, NY 14620; telephone number: (202) 554-1404; email address: [TSCA-Hotline@epa.gov](mailto:TSCA-Hotline@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. What information is EPA particularly interested in?

Pursuant to PRA section 3506(c)(2)(A) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)), EPA specifically solicits comments and information to enable it to:

1. Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the Agency, including whether the information will have practical utility.

2. Evaluate the accuracy of the Agency's estimates of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used.

3. Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected.

4. Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses. In particular, EPA is requesting comments from very small businesses (those that employ less than 25) on examples of specific additional efforts that EPA could make to reduce the paperwork burden for very small businesses affected by this collection.

##### II. What information collection activity or ICR does this action apply to?

*Title:* "Notification of Chemical Exports—TSCA Section 12(b)".

*EPA ICR number:* EPA ICR No. 0795.16.

*OMB control number:* OMB Control No. 2070-0030.

*ICR status:* This ICR is currently scheduled to expire on November 30, 2020. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information, unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations in title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), after appearing in the **Federal Register** when approved, are listed in 40 CFR part 9, are displayed either by publication in the **Federal Register** or by other appropriate means, such as on the related collection instrument or form, if applicable. The display of OMB control numbers for certain EPA regulations is consolidated in 40 CFR part 9.

*Abstract:* Section 12(b) of the Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA) requires any person who exports or intends to export a chemical substance or mixture that is regulated under TSCA sections 4, 5, 6 and/or 7 to notify EPA of such export or intent to export. This requirement is described in more detail in the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) at 40 CFR part 707, subpart D. Upon receipt of notification, EPA advises the government of the importing country of the U.S. regulatory action that required the notification with respect to that substance. EPA uses the information obtained from the submitter via this collection to advise the government of the importing country.

This information collection addresses the burden associated with industry reporting of export notifications. The respondent may claim all or part of a notice confidential. EPA will disclose information that is covered by a claim of confidentiality only to the extent permitted by, and in accordance with, the procedures in TSCA and 40 CFR part 2.

*Burden statement:* The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 0.62 hours per response. Burden is defined in 5 CFR 1320.3(b).

The ICR, which is available in the docket along with other related materials, provides a detailed explanation of the collection activities and the burden estimate that is only briefly summarized here:

##### *Respondents/Affected Entities:*

Entities potentially affected by this ICR are exporters of chemical substances or mixtures from the United States to foreign countries, which are mostly chemical companies classified under NAICS Codes 325 and 324.

*Estimated total number of potential respondents:* 198.

*Frequency of response:* On occasion.

*Estimated total average number of responses for each respondent:* 24.

*Estimated total annual burden hours:* 2,934 hours.

*Estimated total annual costs:*

\$230,198, includes no annualized capital investment or maintenance and operational costs.

##### III. Are there changes in the estimates from the last approval?

There is a decrease of 1,098 hours in the total estimated respondent burden compared with that identified in the ICR currently approved by OMB. This decrease reflects a net change with a large decrease in burden due to the anticipated use of e-reporting and a small increase in burden due to a greater number of submissions (decrease in number of firms responding, but increase in number of reports per firm), CBI substantiation, and an administrative adjustment (+7 hours). Based on 63 percent of exporters reporting electronically, there is a decrease in burden of approximately 811 hours relative to the case in which all submissions were paper-based.

##### IV. What is the next step in the process for this ICR?

EPA will consider the comments received and amend the ICR as appropriate. The final ICR package will then be submitted to OMB for review and approval pursuant to 5 CFR

1320.12. EPA will issue another **Federal Register** document pursuant to 5 CFR 1320.5(a)(1)(iv) to announce the submission of the ICR to OMB and the opportunity to submit additional comments to OMB. If you have any questions about this ICR or the approval process, please contact the technical person listed under **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT**.

**Authority:** 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

Dated: March 8, 2020.

**Alexandra Dapolito Dunn,**

*Assistant Administrator, Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention.*

[FR Doc. 2020-05078 Filed 3-11-20; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2020-0087; FRL-10006-42-OAR]

### Proposed Baseline Approval of the Contact-Handled Transuranic Waste Characterization Program Implemented at the Department of Energy's Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of availability; opening of a 45-day public comment period.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA or the Agency) is announcing the availability of, and soliciting public comments on, the proposed "baseline" approval of the contact-handled (CH) transuranic (TRU) debris waste characterization program implemented by the Central Characterization Program (CCP) at the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), in Livermore, California. On June 26, 2019, the DOE made a formal request for an EPA baseline inspection for LLNL CH TRU Waste Characterization Operations. The inspection supporting this proposed baseline approval took place on August 5-7, 2019, at LLNL and remotely. The EPA identified no findings or concerns and proposes to approve the LLNL CH TRU debris waste characterization program.

The EPA's report documenting the inspection results and proposed baseline approval is available for review in the public docket listed in the

**ADDRESSES** section of this document. Until the Agency finalizes its baseline approval decision, the DOE Carlsbad Field Office may not certify LLNL's waste characterization program and the

site may not ship transuranic waste to the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant for disposal.

**DATES:** Comments must be received on or before April 27, 2020.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit your comments, identified by Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OAR-2020-0087, to the *Federal eRulemaking Portal*: <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the online instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or withdrawn. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not electronically submit any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.*, on the web, cloud or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit: <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Edward Feltcorn (202)-343-9422) or Jerry Ellis (202)-564-2766), Radiation Protection Division, Center for Waste Management and Regulations, Mail Code 6608T, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, Washington, DC 20460; fax number: 202-343-2305; email addresses: [feltcorn.ed@epa.gov](mailto:feltcorn.ed@epa.gov) or [ellis.jerry@epa.gov](mailto:ellis.jerry@epa.gov).

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### I. General Information

###### A. What should I consider as I prepare my comments for EPA?

1. **Submitting CBI.** Do not submit this information to the EPA through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or email. Clearly mark the part or all of the information that you claim to be CBI. For CBI information in a disk or CD-ROM that you mail to the EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the files on the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information

claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket.

Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. **Tips for Preparing Your Comments.** When submitting comments, remember to:

- Identify the rulemaking by docket number EPA-HQ-OAR-2020-0087 and other identifying information (subject heading, **Federal Register** date and page number).

- Follow directions: The Agency may ask you to respond to specific questions or organize comments by referencing a Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) part or section number.

- Explain why you agree or disagree; suggest alternatives and substitute language for your requested changes.

- Describe any assumptions and provide any technical information and/or data that you used.

- If you estimate potential costs or burdens, explain how you arrived at your estimate in sufficient detail to allow for it to be reproduced.

- Provide specific examples to illustrate your concerns, and suggest alternatives.

- Explain your views as clearly as possible, avoiding the use of profanity or personal threats.

- Make sure to submit your comments by the comment period deadline identified.

## II. Background

The DOE operates the Waste Isolation Pilot Plant (WIPP) facility near Carlsbad in southeastern New Mexico as a deep geologic repository for disposal of defense-related TRU radioactive waste. TRU waste contains more than 100 nanocuries of alpha-emitting TRU isotopes, with half-lives greater than twenty years, per gram of waste. Much of the existing TRU waste, which may also be contaminated with hazardous chemicals, consists of items contaminated during the production of nuclear weapons, such as debris waste (rags, equipment, tools) and solid waste (sludges, soil).

Section 8(d)(2) of the WIPP Land Withdrawal Act (LWA) of 1992 provided that the EPA would certify whether the WIPP facility will comply with the Agency's final disposal regulations, later codified at 40 CFR part 191, subparts B and C. On May 13, 1998, the Agency announced its final compliance certification to the Secretary of Energy (published May 18, 1998; 63 FR 27354), certifying that the WIPP will comply with the disposal regulations. The EPA's certification of the WIPP was subject to various conditions, including