

2. *Alternative 2:* The Coast Guard would explore the acquisition of fewer OPCs after the completion of OPC-1 through OPC-4 (which are still under contract). Five, ten, or fifteen OPCs would be considered via a re-competition of the original OPC contract as replacements for a corresponding number of in-service MECs. The Coast Guard would then replace the remaining MECs on a one-for-one basis, using whatever replacement hulls the Coast Guard could obtain when deterioration or obsolescence requires decommissioning. The life cycle training and logistical costs of maintaining several unique hulls would exceed the corresponding costs of maintaining a class of 25 cutters that would be built specifically to conduct missions in proposed action areas. Costs and challenges are similar to what is described under Alternative 3.

3. *Alternative 3:* The Coast Guard would explore various forms of cutter purchase or lease, or inherit vessels from the Navy, as the need arises. This would mean that as a MEC reaches or surpasses the end of its economic and operational service life, that cutter would not necessarily be replaced with the same type of asset or by an asset with similar capabilities.

The challenges involved with one-for-one MEC replacements are best demonstrated by the 1999 acquisition of the U.S. Navy's USS EDENTON, a salvage and rescue ship. The Coast Guard recommissioned the ship as the USCGC ALEX HALEY. The ship was designed in the early 1970s and, except for replacing her aged diesel engines, no significant environmental improvements were made. This is typical of a one-off ship acquisition because there is little justification for the extensive or expensive non-recurring design engineering costs for specifications that would make the vessel capable of conducting missions assigned to MECs. Maintenance records maintained by the Surface Forces Logistics Center confirm the maintenance costs per operating hour for USCGC ALEX HALEY (\$2,345) are 62 percent higher than the equivalent costs for maintaining the average 270 ft (82 m) cutter (\$1,445), as is typical for a one-of-a-kind ship. One-for-one MEC replacement would cost far more per replacement hull because it eliminates any workforce savings associated with a ship with capabilities designed specifically to conduct Coast Guard missions in offshore areas. The purchase, lease, or inherit alternative includes the lack of an existing domestic commercial vessel capable of meeting available options to Purchase

and Build-to-Lease. One of the major challenges with this approach is that the Coast Guard would not have an integrated system of systems, thus assets would not be able to communicate in real time, they would operate at differing levels of efficiency (resulting in decreased efficiency throughout the system), and maintenance costs would be higher.

The Coast Guard also carried forward the No Action Alternative for detailed analysis in the Final PEIS/POEIS. While the No Action Alternative would not satisfy the purpose and need for the Proposed Action, this alternative was retained to provide a comparative against which to analyze the effects of the Action Alternatives as required under CEQ's NEPA regulation.

*Resource areas analyzed in the Final PEIS include:* air quality, ambient sound, biological resources and critical habitat, and socioeconomic resources.

*Stressors analyzed in the Final PEIS include:* acoustic stressors (fathometer and Doppler speed log noise, vessel noise, aircraft noise, and gunnery noise) and physical stressors (vessel movement, aircraft movement, and military expended materials).

Based on the analysis presented in the Final PEIS/POEIS, potentially adverse impacts could occur to biological resources (*i.e.*, from disturbance); however, practical mitigation measures presented in the Final PEIS/POEIS are expected to reduce any of these potential adverse effects. As a result, impacts to all resource areas would be less-than-significant (*i.e.*, negligible, minor, or moderate) adverse or beneficial, which may result in the Coast Guard making a finding of no significant impact in the ROD. However, these findings are not final until the Coast Guard executes a ROD.

A Notice of Availability and request for comments was published in the **Federal Register** Notice (86 FR 52162; September 20, 2021) to notify the public of the 45 day public review period of the Draft PEIS/POEIS. The Coast Guard received comments from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and two comments from the public. The Coast Guard considered and addressed in the Final PEIS/POEIS comments received on the Draft PEIS during the comment period. Public comments did not result in the addition of substantive revisions to the Draft PEIS. Responses to comments are in Appendix I of the Final PEIS/POEIS. An electronic copy of the Final PEIS/POEIS can be obtained using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <https://www.regulations.gov> identified by docket number USCG-2021-0738, or by downloading from the project

website at <https://www.dcms.uscg.mil/Our-Organization/Assistant-Commandant-for-Engineering-Logistics-CG-4-/Program-Offices/Environmental-Management/Environmental-Planning-and-Historic-Preservation/>.

After publication of this NOA of the Final PEIS/POEIS, the Coast Guard will prepare and publish its ROD announcing which Alternative is environmentally preferred and which Alternative it selects for implementation (be it an Action Alternative or the No Action Alternative). Publication of the Final ROD will occur no sooner than 30 days after the publication of the Final PEIS/POEIS. This notice is issued under authority of NEPA, specifically in compliance with 42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(C) and CEQ implementing regulations in 40 CFR parts 1500 through 1508 and Executive Order 12114 titled "Environmental Effects Abroad of Major Federal Actions."

Dated: June 8, 2022.

**Andrew T. Pecora,**

*Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, OPC Program Manager (CG-9322).*

[FR Doc. 2022-12782 Filed 6-13-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9110-04-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

### U.S. Customs and Border Protection

[CBP Dec. 22-12]

#### Tuna Tariff-Rate Quota for Calendar Year 2022 Tuna Classifiable Under Subheading 1604.14.22, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS)

**AGENCY:** U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Department of Homeland Security.

**ACTION:** Announcement of the quota quantity of tuna in airtight containers for Calendar Year 2022.

**SUMMARY:** Each year, the tariff-rate quota for tuna described in subheading 1604.14.22, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS), is calculated as a percentage of the tuna in airtight containers entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the preceding calendar year. This document sets forth the tariff-rate quota for Calendar Year 2022.

**DATES:** The 2022 tariff-rate quota is applicable to tuna in airtight containers entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the period January 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Julia Peterson, Chief, Quota and Agricultural Branch, Interagency Collaboration Division, Trade Policy and Programs, Office of Trade, U.S. Customs and Border Protection, Washington, DC 20229-1155, at (202) 384-8905 or by email at [HQUOTA@cbp.dhs.gov](mailto:HQUOTA@cbp.dhs.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

It has been determined that 14,672,350 kilograms of tuna in airtight containers may be entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during Calendar Year 2022, at the rate of 6.0 percent *ad valorem* under subheading 1604.14.22, Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS). Any such tuna which is entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption during the current calendar year in excess of this quota will be dutiable at the rate of 12.5 percent *ad valorem* under subheading 1604.14.30, HTSUS.

Dated: June 8, 2022.

**AnnMarie R. Highsmith,**

*Executive Assistant Commissioner, Office of Trade.*

[FR Doc. 2022-12723 Filed 6-13-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 9111-14-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

[Docket No. FR-7050-N-24]

**30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: HECM Counseling Client Survey, OMB Control No.: 2502-0585**

**AGENCY:** Office of Policy Development and Research, Chief Data Officer, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** HUD is seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD is requesting comment from all interested parties on the proposed collection of information. The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.

**DATES:** *Comments Due Date:* July 14, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to [OIRA\\_submission@omb.eop.gov](mailto:OIRA_submission@omb.eop.gov) or [www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain](http://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAMain). Find this particular

information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Colette Pollard, Reports Management Officer, REE, Department of Housing and Urban Development, 451 7th Street SW, Washington, DC 20410; email Colette Pollard at [Colette.Pollard@hud.gov](mailto:Colette.Pollard@hud.gov) or telephone 202-402-3400. This is not a toll-free number. Persons with hearing or speech impairments may access this number through TTY by calling the toll-free Federal Relay Service at (800) 877-8339. Copies of available documents submitted to OMB may be obtained from Ms. Pollard.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This notice informs the public that HUD is seeking approval from OMB for the information collection described in Section A.

The **Federal Register** notice that solicited public comment on the information collection for a period of 30 days was published on March 15, 2022 at 87 FR 14552.

**A. Overview of Information Collection**

*Title of Information Collection:* HECM Counseling Survey.

*OMB Approval Number:* 2502-0585.

*OMB Expiration Date:* August 31, 2019.

*Type of Request:* Reinstatement, with change, of previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

*Form Number:* HUD-92911.

*Description of the need for the information and proposed use:* This collection expired on August 31, 2019. The Office of Housing Counseling does not use this form and wants to discontinue this collection however PRA Collection 2502-0585 must be reinstated before form OMB 83-D can be submitted to request that this collection be approved for discontinuation by OMB.

*Respondents:* Not-for-profit institutions.

*Estimated Number of Respondents:* 300.

*Estimated Number of Responses:* 300.

*Frequency of Response:* 1.

*Average Hours per Response:* .25.

*Total Estimated Burden:* 75 hours.

**B. Solicitation of Public Comment**

This notice is soliciting comments from members of the public and affected parties concerning the collection of information described in Section A on the following:

(1) Whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the

proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) The accuracy of the agency's estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information;

(3) Ways to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond; including through the use of appropriate automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

(5) ways to minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

HUD encourages interested parties to submit comment in response to these questions.

**C. Authority**

Section 3507 of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, 44 U.S.C. chapter 35.

**Colette Pollard,**

*Department Reports Management Officer, Office of Policy Development and Research, Chief Data Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2022-12750 Filed 6-13-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4210-67-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT**

[Docket No. FR-7050-N-24]

**30-Day Notice of Proposed Information Collection: Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA) Loan/Application Register, OMB Control No.: 2502-0539**

**AGENCY:** Office of Policy Development and Research, Chief Data Officer, HUD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** HUD is seeking approval from the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the information collection described below. In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act, HUD is requesting comment from all interested parties on the proposed collection of information. The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days of public comment.

**DATES:** *Comments Due Date:* July 14, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit comments regarding this proposal. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this