well as private organizations seeking to share data electronically with SSA. *Type of Request:* Revision of an OMB-approved information collection.

Modality of completion	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)	Average theoretical hourly cost amount (dollars)*	Total annual opportunity cost (dollars) **
State, local, and tribal governments Private sector organizations	139 74	1 1	45 45	104 56	* \$42.85 * \$42.85	** \$4,456 ** \$2,400
Totals	213			160		** \$6,856

^{*}We based this figure by averaging the average Management Analyst hourly salary, as reported by Bureau of Labor Statistics data (www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes131111.htm); the average Business and Financial Operations hourly salary (www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes130000.htm); and the average Epidemiologist hourly salary (www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes191041.htm).

**This figure does not represent actual costs that SSA is imposing on recipients of Social Security payments to complete this application; rath-

er, these are theoretical opportunity costs for the additional time respondents will spend to complete the application. There is no actual charge to respondents to complete the application.

10. Fee Agreement for Representation before the Social Security Administration—0960–0810. The Act requires individuals who represent a claimant before the agency and want to receive a fee for their services to obtain SSA's authorization of the fee. One way to obtain the authorization is to submit the fee agreement to the agency either in writing or through using Form SSA—1693, Fee Agreement for Representation

before the Social Security
Administration. Since representatives
currently use fee agreements which vary
in length, content, and complexity,
submission of a free-form fee agreement
may cause delays in SSA's review time.
Therefore, SSA encourages respondents
to use Form SSA-1693 to submit the
information either using the paper form
or the electronically submittable e1693
through SSA's website. SSA uses the

information from the SSA-1693 to review the request and authorize any fee to representatives who seek to charge and collect a fee from a claimant. The respondents are the representatives who help claimants through the application process, and the claimants who they represent.

Type of Request: Revision of an OMB-approved information collection.

Modality of completion	Number of respondents	Frequency of response	Average burden per response (minutes)	Estimated total annual burden (hours)	Average theoretical hourly cost amount (dollars) *	Total annual opportunity cost (dollars) **
SSA-1693	5,000	1	13	1,083	*\$50.47	** \$54,659

^{*}We based this figure on the averaged total of the average Lawyer's Legal Services wages, as reported by Bureau of Labor Statistics data (https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes231011.htm), and the average U.S. worker's hourly wages, as reported by Bureau of Labor Statistics data (https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes.nat.htm#00-0000)

(https://www.bls.gov/oes/current/oes_nat.htm#00-0000).

**This figure does not represent actual costs that SSA is imposing on recipients of Social Security payments to complete this application; rather, these are theoretical opportunity costs for the additional time respondents will spend to complete the application. There is no actual charge to respondents to complete the application.

Dated: August 17, 2021.

Naomi Sipple,

Reports Clearance Officer, Social Security Administration.

[FR Doc. 2021-17928 Filed 8-20-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4191-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 11508]

Notice of Public Meeting in Preparation for International Maritime Organization Meeting

The Department of State will conduct a public meeting at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, September 16, 2021, by way of teleconference. Members of the public may participate up to the capacity of the teleconference phone line, which can handle 500 participants. To access the teleconference line, participants should contact the meeting

coordinator, LCDR Jessica Anderson, by email at *jessica.p.anderson@uscg.mil.*

The primary purpose of the meeting is to prepare for the seventy-first session of the International Maritime Organization's (IMO) Technical Cooperation Committee (TC 71) to be held remotely from Monday, September 20, 2021 to Friday, September 24, 2021.

The agenda items to be considered at the public meeting mirror those to be considered at the IMO TC 71 meeting, and include:

- —Adoption of the agenda
- Work of other bodies and organizations
- —Integrated Technical Cooperation Programme: Annual report for 2020
- Resource mobilization and partnerships
- —The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development
- —Report of the evaluation of the ITCP activities for the period of 2016–2019

- Long-term strategy for the review and reform of IMO's technical cooperation
- —Regional presence and coordination
- —IMO Member State Audit Scheme
- Capacity-building: Strengthening the impact of women in the maritime sector
- —Global maritime training institutions—Application of the document on the
- Organization and method of work of the Technical Cooperation Committee
- —Work programme
- —Election of Chair and Vice-Chair for 2022
- —Any other business
- —Consideration of the report of the Committee on its seventy-first session

Please note: the IMO may, on short notice, adjust the TC 71 agenda to accommodate the constraints associated with the virtual meeting format. Any changes to the agenda will be reported to those who RSVP and those in attendance at the meeting.

Those who plan to participate may contact the meeting coordinator, LCDR Jessica Anderson, by email at Jessica.P.Anderson@uscg.mil, or in writing at 2703 Martin Luther King Jr. Ave. SE, Stop 7509, Washington, DC 20593–7509. Members of the public needing reasonable accommodation should advise LCDR Jessica Anderson not later than September 13, 2021. Requests made after that date will be considered, but might not be possible to fulfill.

Additional information regarding this and other IMO public meetings may be found at: https://www.dco.uscg.mil/IMO.

(Authority: 22 U.S.C. 2656 and 5 U.S.C. 552)

Emily A. Rose,

Coast Guard Liaison Officer, Office of Ocean and Polar Affairs, Department of State. IFR Doc. 2021–18000 Filed 8–20–21: 8:45 aml

[FK Doc. 2021–10000 Filed 0–20–21,

BILLING CODE 4710-05-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Adoption of the Missile Defense
Agency's Final Environmental Impact
Statement for Long Range
Discrimination Radar (LRDR)
Operations, Clear Air Force Station,
Alaska (CAFS), and Record of Decision
for Federal Aviation Administration
Actions To Accommodate Testing and
Operation of the LRDR at CAFS Under
the Missile Defense Agency's Modified
Operational Concept

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Department of Transportation.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the availability of the FAA's Adoption of the Missile Defense Agency's Final Environmental Impact Statement for Long Range Discrimination Radar (LRDR) Operations, Clear Air Force Station, Alaska (CAFS), and Record of Decision for Federal Aviation Administration Actions to Accommodate Testing and Operation of the LRDR at CAFS under the Missile Defense Agency's Modified Operational Concept ("the Adoption/ROD"). The Adoption/ROD documents: (1) The FAA's adoption of the Missile Defense Agency's (MDA) Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for Long Range Discrimination Radar (LRDR) Operations, Clear Air Force Station (CAFS), Alaska; and (2) the FAA's decision to establish additional restricted areas to protect aviation from

high-intensity radiated fields (HIRF) generated during the LRDR testing and operation, implement temporary flight restrictions (TFR) until the restricted areas are in effect, and make changes to federal airways and instrument flight procedures to accommodate the new restricted areas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Paula Miller, Airspace Policy and Regulations Group, Office of Airspace Services, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–7378.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The MDA prepared an EIS to evaluate the potential environmental impacts associated with the MDA's proposed modification of operational requirements and procedures for the LRDR located at CAFS, Alaska.¹ The change in the LRDR operation procedures would create a hazard in areas of the National Airspace System where the HIRF from the LRDR operations would exceed FAA certification standards for aircraft electrical and electronic systems. The EIS also evaluated the potential environmental impacts of the following actions proposed by the FAA to address this hazard: (1) Establishment of six additional restricted areas in the vicinity of CAFS; (2) implementation of TFRs until the restricted areas are in effect; and (3) changes to federal airways and instrument flight procedures to accommodate the new restricted areas. As a cooperating agency on the EIS, the FAA coordinated closely with the MDA and actively participated in the preparation of the EIS. In accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures, and regulations and guidance of the Council on Environmental Quality, the FAA conducted an independent evaluation and analysis of the EIS and adopted it for the purpose of making a decision on its proposed actions. The FAA's adoption and decision are documented in the Adoption/ROD.

Notice of Availability

The Adoption/ROD is available on the FAA's website at https://www.faa.gov/air_traffic/environmental_issues/media/alaska_eis.pdf and upon request by contacting Paula Miller at: Airspace

Policy and Regulations Group, Office of Airspace Services, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20591; telephone: (202) 267–7378.

Right of Appeal

The FAA's Adoption/ROD constitutes a final order of the FAA Administrator and is subject to exclusive judicial review under 49 U.S.C. 46110 by the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia or the U.S. Circuit Court of Appeals for the circuit in which the person contesting the decision resides or has its principal place of business. Any party having substantial interest in this order may apply for review of the decision by filing a petition for review in the appropriate U.S. Court of Appeals no later than 60 days after the order is issued in accordance with the provisions of 49 U.S.C. 46110. Any party seeking to stay implementation of the Adoption/ROD must file an application with the FAA prior to seeking judicial relief as provided in Rule 18(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

Issued in Des Moines, Washington, on August 17, 2021.

B.G. Chew,

Acting Group Manager, Operations Support Group, Western Service Center.

[FR Doc. 2021–17962 Filed 8–20–21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Railroad Administration

[Docket No. FRA-2021-0006-N-10]

Proposed Agency Information Collection Activities; Comment Request

AGENCY: Federal Railroad Administration (FRA), Department of Transportation (DOT).

ACTION: Notice of information collection; request for comment.

SUMMARY: Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA) and its implementing regulations, FRA seeks approval of the Information Collection Request (ICR) abstracted below. Before submitting this ICR to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for approval, FRA is soliciting public comment on specific aspects of the activities identified in the ICR.

DATES: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before October 22, 2021.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations for the proposed ICR

¹ The Draft EIS and the Final EIS are available on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's EIS database at https://cdxnodengn.epa.gov/cdx-enepa-Il/public/action/eis/search/search#results and on MDA's website at https://www.mda.mil/system/lrdr (accessed June 30, 2021).