

Environmental Review

This proposal will be subject to an environmental analysis in accordance with FAA Order 1050.1F, "Environmental Impacts: Policies and Procedures" prior to any FAA final regulatory action.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 71

Airspace, Incorporation by reference, Navigation (air)

The Proposed Amendment

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 71 as follows:

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF CLASS A, B, C, D, AND E AIRSPACE AREAS; AIR TRAFFIC SERVICE ROUTES; AND REPORTING POINTS

■ 1. The authority citation for 14 CFR part 71 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(f), 106(g); 40103, 40113, 40120; E.O. 10854, 24 FR 9565, 3 CFR, 1959–1963 Comp., p. 389.

§ 71.1 [Amended]

■ 2. The incorporation by reference in 14 CFR 71.1 of FAA Order 7400.11A, Airspace Designations and Reporting Points, dated August 3, 2016, and effective September 15, 2016, is amended as follows:

Paragraph 6005 Class E Airspace Areas Extending Upward From 700 Feet or More Above the Surface of the Earth.

* * * * *

ASW LA E5 Grand Chenier, LA [New]

Little Pecan Island Airport, LA
(Lat. 29°47'59" N., long. 092°48'13" W.)

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6-mile radius of Little Pecan Island Airport.

Issued in Fort Worth, TX, on November 30, 2016.

Walter Tweedy,

*Acting Manager, Operations Support Group,
ATO Central Service Center.*

[FR Doc. 2016–29430 Filed 12–8–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910–13–P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

20 CFR Part 295

RIN 3220–AB69

Payments Pursuant to Court Decree or Court-Approved Property Settlement

AGENCY: Railroad Retirement Board.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Railroad Retirement Board (Board) proposes to amend its

regulations addressing who may receive a portion of an employee annuity due to a former spouse of a railroad annuitant under a court decree of divorce or court-approved property settlement, but which was unpaid at the time of the former spouse's death. The current regulation states that the Board will follow the priority order provided for employee annuities unpaid at death in Section 234.1 of the Board's regulations. The proper section pertaining to employee annuities due but unpaid at death is located in Section 234.31 of the Board's regulations. This amendment is necessary to insert the correct section reference.

DATES: Submit comments on or before February 7, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments, identified by 3220–AB69, by any of the following methods:

1. Internet—Send comments via email to SecretarytotheBoard@rrb.gov
2. Fax—(312) 751–7102.
3. Mail—Secretary to the Board, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 N. Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois, 60611–2092.

Do not submit the same comments multiple times or by more than one method. Regardless of which method you choose, please state that your comments refer to RIN number 3220–AB69.

Caution: You should be careful to include in your comments only information that you wish to make publicly available as comments are made public without change, with any personal information provided. The Board strongly urges you not to include in your comments any personal information, such as Social Security numbers or medical information.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Marguerite P. Dadabo, Assistant General Counsel, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, IL 60611–2092, (312) 751–4945, TTD (312) 751–4701.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background Information

The Railroad Retirement Act (RRA) provides monthly annuities for railroad employees based on age and years of service in the railroad industry. Section 14(b)(2) of the RRA [45 U.S.C. 231m(b)(2)] provides that portions of an employee annuity calculated under sections 2(b), 3(b), 3(f), and 3(h) of the RRA [45 U.S.C. 231a(b), 231b(b), 231c(f), and 231c(h)] may be characterized as community property and subject to distribution in accordance with a court decree of divorce, annulment, or legal separation or the terms of any court-

approved property settlement incident to any such court decree. The current version of Board regulations at Title 20 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 295, sections 295.1–7 implement this provision.

The current version of section 295.5(d) of the Board's regulations explains that payments to a spouse or former spouse pursuant to a court order will not be made to the heirs, legatees, creditors, or assignees of a deceased spouse or former spouse. Any annuity amounts due to the spouse or former spouse but unpaid at the time of the spouse or former spouse's death will be made in accordance with the Board's regulations governing payments of employee annuities due but unpaid at the death of the employee. At the time section 295.5(d) was published in the **Federal Register**, the Board regulations governing employee annuities due but unpaid at death were found in section 234.1 of the Board's regulations. Part 234 of the Board's regulations has since been amended and the section governing employee annuities due but unpaid at death is now designated as section 234.31 of the Board's regulations.

Proposed Changes

We propose to amend section 295.5(d) of the Board's regulations to provide the correct cross-reference to the section of the Board's regulations governing employee annuities due but unpaid at death. This change is not intended to be substantive.

Clarity of This Proposed Rule

Executive Order 12866, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563, requires each agency to write all rules in plain language. In addition to your substantive comments on this proposed rule, we invite your comments on how to make it easier to understand. For example:

- Are the requirements for the rule clearly stated?
- Have we organized the material to meet your needs?
- What else could we do to make the rule easier to understand?
- Does the rule contain technical language or jargon that is not clear?
- Would a different format make the rule easier to understand?

When will we start to use this rule?

We will not use this rule until we evaluate public comments and publish a final rule in the **Federal Register**. All final rules we issue include an effective date. We will continue to use our current rules until that date. If we publish a final rule, we will include a

summary of relevant comments we received, if any, and responses to them. We will also include an explanation of how we will apply the new rule.

Regulatory Procedures

Executive Order 12866, as Supplemented by Executive Order 13563

The Board, with the concurrence of the Office of Management and Budget, has determined that this is not a significant regulatory action under Executive Order 12866, as supplemented by Executive Order 13563. Therefore, no regulatory impact analysis is required.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The Board certifies that this proposed rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because it affects individuals only. Therefore, a regulatory flexibility analysis is not required under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, as amended.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This Proposed Rule imposes no reporting or recordkeeping requirements subject to OMB clearance.

List of Subjects in 20 CFR Part 295

Railroad retirement.

For the reasons set out in the preamble, the Railroad Retirement Board proposes to amend title 20, chapter II, subchapter B, part 295 of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

PART 295—PAYMENTS PURSUANT TO COURT DECREE OR COURT-APPROVED SETTLEMENT

■ 1. The authority citation for part 295 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 45 U.S.C. 231f; 45 U.S.C. 231m.

§ 295.5 [Amended]

■ 2. Amend § 295.5 to revise paragraph (d) to read as follows:

§ 295.5 Limitations.

* * * * *

(d) *Payees.* Payment of an amount awarded to a spouse or former spouse by a court decree or property settlement will be made only to the spouse or former spouse except where the Board determines that another person shall be recognized to act on behalf of the spouse or former spouse as provided in Part 266 of the chapter, relating to incompetence. Payment will not be made to the heirs, legatees, creditors or assignees of a spouse or former spouse, except that where an amount is payable to a spouse or former spouse pursuant to this part,

but is unpaid at the death of that spouse or former spouse, the unpaid amount may be paid in accordance with § 234.31 of this chapter, pertaining to employee annuities unpaid at death.

* * * * *

Dated: December 6, 2016.

By Authority of the Board.

Martha P. Rico,

Secretary to the Board.

[FR Doc. 2016–29496 Filed 12–8–16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Indian Affairs

25 CFR Part 140

[178A2100DD/AAKC001030/
A0A501010.999900 253G]

RIN 1076–AF30

Traders With Indians

AGENCY: Bureau of Indian Affairs, Interior.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking; solicitation of comments.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Interior (Department) is considering whether to propose an administrative rule that would comprehensively update 25 CFR part 140 (Licensed Indian Traders) in an effort to modernize the implementation of the Indian Trader statutes consistent with the Federal policies of Tribal self-determination and self-governance. The current regulations were promulgated in 1957 and have not been comprehensively updated since 1965. The purpose of this advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) is to solicit public comments on whether and how the Department should update 25 CFR part 140, including how the Indian Trader regulations might be updated to govern who trades on Indian land and how the regulations can better promote Tribal self-determination regarding trade on Indian lands. In this ANPRM, the Department also announces dates and locations for Tribal consultations and public meetings to consider this issue.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before April 10, 2017.

ADDRESSES: You may submit comments by any of the following methods:

Federal rulemaking portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. The rule is listed under the agency name “Bureau of Indian Affairs.” The rule has been assigned Docket ID: BIA–2016–0007.

Mail or hand delivery: Elizabeth K. Appel, Director, Office of Regulatory Affairs & Collaborative Action, Indian Affairs, U.S. Department of the Interior, 1849 C St. NW., Mail Stop 3642–MIB, Washington, DC 20240.

Please see the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document for information on Tribal consultation sessions.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Elizabeth Appel, Director, Office of Regulatory Affairs and Collaborative Action, Office of the Assistant Secretary—Indian Affairs; telephone (202) 273–4680, elizabeth.appel@bia.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Public Comment

The Department is considering whether to propose an administrative rule that would comprehensively update 25 CFR part 140 (Licensed Indian Traders) in an effort to modernize the implementation of the Indian Trader statutes consistent with the Federal policies of Tribal self-determination and self-governance. We are interested in hearing from federally recognized tribes. We also welcome comments and information from states and their agencies and from the public.

To be most useful, and most likely to inform decisions on the content of a potential administrative rule, comments should:

- Be specific;
- Be substantive;
- Explain the reasoning behind the comments; and
- Address the issues outlined in the ANPRM.

For the purpose of this ANPRM, we are seeking input solely on questions related to a potential administrative rule on whether and how the Department of the Interior should update 25 CFR part 140, including how the Indian Trader regulations might be updated to govern who trades on Indian land in a manner more consistent with Tribal self-governance and self-determination.

We are seeking comments solely on following questions:

1. Should the Federal government address trade occurring in Indian Country through an updated 25 CFR part 140, and why?
2. Are there certain components of the existing rule that should be kept, and if so, why?
3. How can revisions to the existing rule ensure that persons who conduct trade are reputable and that there are mechanisms in place to address traders who violate Federal or Tribal law?
4. How do Tribes currently regulate trade in Indian Country and how might