

you mail to EPA, mark the outside of the disk or CD-ROM as CBI and then identify electronically within the disk or CD-ROM the specific information that is claimed as CBI. In addition to one complete version of the comment that includes information claimed as CBI, a copy of the comment that does not contain the information claimed as CBI must be submitted for inclusion in the public docket. Information so marked will not be disclosed except in accordance with procedures set forth in 40 CFR part 2.

2. *Tips for preparing your comments.* When preparing and submitting your comments, see the commenting tips at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets/comments.html>.

3. *Environmental justice.* EPA seeks to achieve environmental justice, the fair treatment and meaningful involvement of any group, including minority and/or low-income populations, in the development, implementation, and enforcement of environmental laws, regulations, and policies. To help address potential environmental justice issues, the Agency seeks information on any groups or segments of the

population who, as a result of their location, cultural practices, or other factors, may have atypical or disproportionately high and adverse human health impacts or environmental effects from exposure to the pesticides discussed in this document, compared to the general population.

## II. Background

Registration review is EPA's periodic review of pesticide registrations to ensure that each pesticide continues to satisfy the statutory standard for registration, that is, the pesticide can perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on human health or the environment. As part of the registration review process, the Agency has completed proposed interim decisions for spirodiclofen. Through this program, EPA is ensuring that each pesticide's registration is based on current scientific and other knowledge, including its effects on human health and the environment.

## III. Authority

EPA is conducting its registration review of spirodiclofen pursuant to section 3(g) of the Federal Insecticide,

Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) and the Procedural Regulations for Registration Review at 40 CFR part 155, subpart C. Section 3(g) of FIFRA provides, among other things, that the registrations of pesticides are to be reviewed every 15 years. Under FIFRA, a pesticide product may be registered or remain registered only if it meets the statutory standard for registration given in FIFRA section 3(c)(5) (7 U.S.C. 136a(c)(5)). When used in accordance with widespread and commonly recognized practice, the pesticide product must perform its intended function without unreasonable adverse effects on the environment; that is, without any unreasonable risk to man or the environment, or a human dietary risk from residues that result from the use of a pesticide in or on food.

## IV. What action is the Agency taking?

Pursuant to 40 CFR 155.58, this notice announces the availability of EPA's proposed interim decision for spirodiclofen as shown in the following table and opens a 60-day public comment period on the proposed interim registration review decision.

TABLE—PROPOSED INTERIM DECISION BEING MADE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

Registration review case name and number	Docket ID No.	Chemical review manager and contact information
Spirodiclofen (Case 7443) .....	EPA-HQ-OPP-2014-0262	Veronica Dutch, <a href="mailto:dutch.veronica@epa.gov">dutch.veronica@epa.gov</a> , (202) 566-2352.

The registration review docket for a pesticide includes earlier documents related to the registration review case. For example, the review opened with a Preliminary Work Plan, for public comment. A Final Work Plan was placed in the docket following public comment on the Preliminary Work Plan.

The documents in the docket describe EPA's rationales for conducting additional risk assessments for the registration review of spirodiclofen, as well as the Agency's subsequent risk findings and consideration of possible risk mitigation measures. This proposed interim registration review decision is supported by the rationale included in those documents. Following public comment, the Agency will issue an interim or final registration review decision for spirodiclofen.

The registration review final rule at 40 CFR 155.58(a) provides for a minimum 60-day public comment period on all proposed interim registration review decisions. This comment period is intended to provide an opportunity for public input and a mechanism for initiating any necessary amendments to

the proposed interim decision. All comments should be submitted using the methods in **ADDRESSES** and must be received by EPA on or before the closing date. These comments will become part of the docket for spirodiclofen. Comments received after the close of the comment period will be marked "late." EPA is not required to consider these late comments.

The Agency will carefully consider all comments received by the closing date and may provide a "Response to Comments Memorandum" in the docket. The interim registration review decision will explain the effect that any comments had on the interim decision and provide the Agency's response to significant comments.

Background on the registration review program is provided at: <https://www.epa.gov/pesticide-reevaluation>. (Authority: 7 U.S.C. 136 *et seq.*)

Dated: March 30, 2022.

**Mary Elissa Reaves,**  
Director, Pesticide Re-Evaluation Division,  
Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 2022-07076 Filed 4-4-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560-50-P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2021-0669; FRL-9116-02-OAR]

### Phasedown of Hydrofluorocarbons: Notice of 2022 Set-Aside Pool Allowance Allocations for Production and Consumption of Regulated Substances Under the American Innovation and Manufacturing Act of 2020

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is providing notice that on March 31, 2022, the Agency issued hydrofluorocarbon allowances to applicants that met the applicable

criteria from the set-aside pool established in EPA's 2021 final rule titled *Phasedown of*

*Hydrofluorocarbons: Establishing the Allowance Allocation and Trading Program under the American Innovation and Manufacturing Act*. In accordance with this final rule, the Agency redistributed allowances remaining in the set-aside pool to entities that received general pool production and consumption allowances on October 1, 2021. Both the set-aside allocation and the general pool reallocation were announced on the Agency's website on March 31, 2022, and entities were notified either by letter or electronic mail of the allocation decisions. The Agency also provided notice to certain companies on March 31, 2022, that the Agency intends to retire an identified set of those companies' allowances in accordance with the administrative consequences provisions established in the final rule.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Andy Chang, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Stratospheric Protection Division, telephone number: 202-564-6658; email address: [chang.andy@epa.gov](mailto:chang.andy@epa.gov). You may also visit

EPA's website at <https://www.epa.gov/climate-hfcs-reduction> for further information.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** In EPA's rulemaking titled *Phasedown of Hydrofluorocarbons: Establishing the Allowance Allocation and Trading Program under the American Innovation and Manufacturing Act* (86 FR 55116, Oct. 5, 2021), EPA established a set-aside pool of allowances and codified at 40 CFR 84.15 criteria related to eligibility for the set-aside pool allowances, and how EPA would determine the level of allowances to allocate to each eligible entity. On March 31, 2022, EPA issued allowance allocations consistent with this section and posted the updated list of allowance holders on its website at <https://www.epa.gov/climate-hfcs-reduction>. The set-aside pool was established for three general categories of applicants: Application-specific end users (40 CFR 84.15(b)(1)), entities that imported regulated substances<sup>1</sup> in 2020 that were not required to report under 40 CFR part 98 (*i.e.*, the Greenhouse Gas Reporting Program (GHGRP)) and were not issued allowances as of October 1, 2021 (40

CFR 84.15(c)(1)), and new market entrants (40 CFR 84.15(c)(2)).

Under 40 CFR 84.15, application-specific allowances from the set-aside pool are subject to the same conditions for such allowances in 40 CFR 84.13. These allowances are drawn from both the production and consumption set-aside allowance pools, and EPA is issuing application-specific allowances from the set-aside pool to applicants that qualify as end users in the applications established by the American Innovation and Manufacturing (AIM) Act. The following applications were eligible for application-specific allowances under the set-aside pool: Propellants in metered dose inhalers (MDI), defense sprays, structural composite preformed polyurethane foam for marine use and trailer use, etching of semiconductor material or wafers and the cleaning of chemical vapor deposition chambers within the semiconductor manufacturing sector, and onboard aerospace fire suppression.

Consistent with the provisions in 40 CFR 84.15, EPA has allocated set-aside pool application-specific allowances to the entities listed in Table 1.

TABLE 1—SET-ASIDE APPLICATION-SPECIFIC ALLOWANCES FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2022

Applicant	Application	Number of application-specific allowances issued (MTEVe)
Armstrong Pharmaceuticals .....	Metered Dose Inhalers .....	111,059.3
AstraZeneca Pharmaceuticals .....	Metered Dose Inhalers .....	2,122.7
Aurobindo Pharma USA .....	Metered Dose Inhalers .....	71,177.4
Invagen Pharmaceuticals .....	Metered Dose Inhalers .....	28,121.3
Odin Pharmaceuticals .....	Metered Dose Inhalers .....	9,473.8
Wabash National Corporation .....	Structural Composite Foam .....	36,686.6
IBM Corporation .....	Semiconductors .....	1,440.0
NXP Semiconductor .....	Semiconductors .....	5,040.8
The Research Foundation for The State University of New York OBO SUNY Polytechnic Institute.	Semiconductors .....	1,245.4
SkyWater Technology .....	Semiconductors .....	15,689.3
Skyworks Solutions .....	Semiconductors .....	6,978.5
Proteng Distribution .....	Onboard aerospace fire suppression .....	12,075.0
Total .....	.....	301,110.1

EPA received three applications by the deadline of December 6, 2021, for allowances under the second set-aside category. Under the provisions at 40 CFR 84.15(c)(1), in order to be eligible for this category an applicant had to:

(1) Import regulated substances in 2020;

(2) not be required to report under 40 CFR part 98 (*i.e.*, the GHGRP); and

(3) not receive allowances from EPA on October 1, 2021.

All three applicants, MEK Chemical Corporation, Siemens Industry, and Wegochem International, were denied allowances because they are ineligible under 40 CFR 84.15(c)(1). The entities were required to report to the GHGRP under 40 CFR part 98.

Under the third set-aside category, for new market entrants, 45 entities

submitted applications by the deadline of December 6, 2021. EPA is denying applications from seven entities, CAILLECH LLC, ChemPenn, LLC, ComStar International Inc., ISOSTU LLC, J&J AC Supply Inc, Kim Stilwell, and Peter Williams DBA New Era Group, because they are ineligible under 40 CFR 84.15(c)(2). The applicants were ineligible for at least one of the following reasons:

<sup>1</sup> *Regulated substance* means a hydrofluorocarbon listed in the table contained in subsection (c)(1) of the AIM Act and a substance included as a

regulated substance by the Administrator under the authority granted in subsection (c)(3). The list of

regulated substances is available at Appendix A to 40 CFR part 84.

(1) Did not submit complete applications,  
(2) were not newly importing regulated substances, or

(3) shared corporate or common ownership, corporate affiliation in the past five years, or familial relations with entities receiving allowances on October 1, 2021.

Consistent with the provisions in 40 CFR 84.15, EPA has allocated allowances for new market entrants to the entities listed in Table 2.

TABLE 2—SET-ASIDE NEW MARKET ENTRANT ALLOWANCES FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2022

Applicant name	Number of consumption allowances issued (MTEVe)
Ability Refrigerants .....	200,000.0
A.C.S. Reclamation & Recovery (Absolute Chiller Services) .....	200,000.0
ACT Commodities .....	77.8
Advance Auto Parts .....	190,699.1
AFK & Co .....	193,335.9
AFS Cooling .....	200,000.0
AllCool Refrigerant Reclaim .....	200,000.0
American Air Components .....	200,000.0
Automart Distributors DBA Refrigerant Plus .....	200,000.0
CC Packaging .....	194,000.0
Certified Refrigerant Services .....	200,000.0
Chemp Technology .....	200,000.0
Creative Solution .....	200,000.0
Cross World Group .....	200,000.0
EDX Industry .....	200,000.0
Fireside Holdings DBA American Refrigerants .....	199,978.5
Freskoa USA .....	200,000.0
Golden Refrigerant .....	200,000.0
Hungry Bear .....	200,000.0
Kidde-Fenwal .....	200,000.0
Lina Trade .....	200,000.0
Meraki Group .....	200,000.0
Metalcraft .....	161,000.0
North American Refrigerants .....	200,000.0
O23 Energy Plus .....	200,000.0
Perfect Score Too DBA Perfect Cycle .....	37,876.0
Reclamation Technologies .....	200,000.0
RTR Suppliers .....	198,000.0
Saalok .....	200,000.0
Sciarra Laboratories .....	8,700.0
SDS Refrigerant Services .....	200,000.0
Summit Refrigerants .....	200,000.0
SynAgile Corporation .....	1,125.1
TradeQuim .....	200,000.0
Tyco Fire Products .....	200,000.0
USA United Suppliers of America DBA USA Refrigerants .....	200,000.0
USSC Acquisition Corp .....	131,451.0
Wesco HMB .....	200,000.0
Total .....	6,716,243.4

EPA notes the restrictions in 40 CFR 84.15(e)(3) that new market entrants are allocated up to 0.2 MMTEVe (200,000 MTEVe) for calendar year 2022.

Accordingly, entities that requested more than 200,000 MTEVe as a new market were allocated the regulatory

maximum of 200,000 MTEVe. And, in accordance with 40 CFR 84.15(f)(1) set-aside allowances allocated to new market entrants cannot be transferred.

After making the allocations noted in Tables 1 and 2, there were 2,198,889.9 production allowances and 482,646.5 consumption allowances remaining in

the set-aside pool. In accordance with 40 CFR 84.15(e)(4), those allowances have been distributed to the October 1, 2021, general pool allowance holders on a pro rata basis. EPA has made this pro rata distribution as shown in Tables 3 and 4.

TABLE 3—SET-ASIDE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCES DISTRIBUTED PURSUANT TO 40 CFR 84.15(e)(4)

Entity	Number of production allowances issued (MTEVe)
Arkema .....	265,221.2
Chemours .....	491,227.0
Honeywell International .....	1,114,441.9

TABLE 3—SET-ASIDE PRODUCTION ALLOWANCES DISTRIBUTED PURSUANT TO 40 CFR 84.15(e)(4)—Continued

Entity	Number of production allowances issued (MTEVe)
Iofina Chemical .....	11.4
Mexichem Fluor DBA Koura .....	327,988.4

TABLE 4—SET-ASIDE CONSUMPTION ALLOWANCES DISTRIBUTED PURSUANT TO 40 CFR 84.15(e)(4)

Entity	Number of consumption allowances issued (MTEVe) <sup>1</sup>
A-Gas .....	5,926.5
Advanced Specialty Gases .....	526.9
Air Liquide USA .....	920.6
Altair Partners .....	5,390.0
Arkema .....	57,387.2
Artsen .....	1,897.6
AutoZone Parts .....	4,592.1
AW Product Sales & Marketing .....	359.2
Bluon .....	61.8
Chemours .....	61,647.9
Combs Gas .....	2,378.4
ComStar International .....	690.8
Daikin America .....	5,763.4
Electronic Fluorocarbons .....	192.6
First Continental International .....	1,421.7
FluoroFusion Specialty Chemicals .....	4,713.8
GlaxoSmithKline .....	990.5
Harp USA .....	1,413.8
Honeywell International .....	152,348.3
Hudson Technologies .....	5,518.1
ICool USA .....	6,291.7
IGas Holdings .....	47,912.0
Iofina Chemical .....	2.3
Lenz Sales & Distribution .....	2,050.4
Linde .....	983.4
Mexichem Fluor DBA Koura .....	47,053.8
Mondy Global .....	588.6
National Refrigerants .....	36,577.3
Nature Gas Import and Export .....	1,513.6
Refrigerants, Inc .....	49.0
RMS of Georgia .....	2,994.0
Showa Chemicals of America .....	135.7
Solvay Fluorides .....	2,035.9
Technical Chemical .....	1,798.9
Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling .....	0.0
Tulstar Products .....	1,355.7
Walmart .....	4,211.6
Waysmos USA .....	1,171.7
Weitron .....	11,705.0
Wilhelmsen Ships Service .....	74.6

<sup>1</sup> Numbers may not sum due to rounding.

This allocation of set-aside allowances should not be construed to limit the ability of EPA to apply administrative consequences under 40 CFR 84.35, or to limit the ability of the United States to exercise any authority to pursue enforcement action under the AIM Act and 40 CFR part 84, or under other federal laws or regulations.

For example, if future information reveals an entity provided false, inaccurate, or misleading information or did not disclose financial or familial

relationships between a new entrant and another allowance holder, EPA may pursue administrative consequences and refer the entity for any and all appropriate enforcement actions.

On March 31, 2022, EPA also provided notice to three entities of the Agency's intent to take administrative consequences in accordance with 40 CFR 84.35 and retire an identified set of those companies' allowances. Using this authority, EPA can retire, revoke, or withhold the allocation of allowances,

or ban a company from receiving, transferring, or conferring allowances.

#### Judicial Review

The AIM Act provides that certain sections of the Clean Air Act (CAA) "shall apply to" the AIM Act and "any rule, rulemaking, or regulation promulgated by the Administrator of [EPA] pursuant to [the AIM Act] as though [the AIM Act] were expressly included in title VI of [the CAA]." Id. § 7675(k)(1)(C). Among the applicable

sections of the CAA is section 307, id. § 7607, which includes provisions on judicial review. Section 307(b)(1) provides, in part, that petitions for review must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit: (i) When the agency action consists of “nationally applicable regulations promulgated, or final actions taken, by the Administrator,” or (ii) when such action is locally or regionally applicable, but “such action is based on a determination of nationwide scope or effect and if in taking such action the Administrator finds and publishes that such action is based on such a determination.” For locally or regionally applicable final actions, the CAA reserves to the EPA complete discretion whether to invoke the exception in (ii).

This final action is “nationally applicable” within the meaning of CAA section 307(b)(1). In the alternative, to the extent a court finds this final action to be locally or regionally applicable, the Administrator is exercising the complete discretion afforded to him under the CAA to make and publish a finding that this action is based on a determination of “nationwide scope or effect” within the meaning of CAA section 307(b)(1).<sup>2</sup> This final action consisted of the Agency issuing hydrofluorocarbon allowances to applicants that met the applicable criteria from the set-aside pool and redistributing allowances remaining in the set-aside pool to entities that received general pool production and consumption allowances on October 1, 2021. The applicants and entities are located throughout the country in varying judicial circuits.<sup>3</sup> This final action is based on a common core of factual findings concerning the eligibility of applicants to the set-aside pool. For these reasons, this final action is nationally applicable or, alternatively, the Administrator is exercising the complete discretion afforded to him by the CAA and hereby finds that this final action is based on a determination of nationwide scope or effect for purposes

of CAA section 307(b)(1) and is hereby publishing that finding in the **Federal Register**.

Under section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit within 60 days from the date this final action is published in the **Federal Register**. Filing a petition for reconsideration by the Administrator of this final action does not affect the finality of the action for the purposes of judicial review, nor does it extend the time within which a petition for judicial review must be filed and shall not postpone the effectiveness of such rule or action.

**Hans Christopher Grundler,**

*Director, Office of Atmospheric Programs.*

[FR Doc. 2022–07152 Filed 4–4–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225), and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The public portions of the applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, if any, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank(s) indicated below and at the offices of the Board of Governors. This information may also be obtained on an expedited basis, upon request, by contacting the appropriate Federal Reserve Bank and from the Board’s Freedom of Information Office at <https://www.federalreserve.gov/foia/request.htm>. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors, Ann E. Misback, Secretary of the Board, 20th Street and Constitution Avenue NW, Washington DC 20551–0001, not later than May 5, 2022.

*A. Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago* (Colette A. Fried, Assistant Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690–1414:

1. *Bank First Corporation, Manitowoc, Wisconsin*; to acquire Denmark Bancshares, Inc., and thereby indirectly acquire Denmark State Bank, both of Denmark, Wisconsin.

*A. Federal Reserve Bank of St. Louis* (Holly A. Rieser, Manager) P.O. Box 442, St. Louis, Missouri 63166–2034.

Comments can also be sent electronically to

[Comments.applications@stls.frb.org](mailto:Comments.applications@stls.frb.org):

1. *Omni Bank Group, Inc., Little Rock, Arkansas*; to become a bank holding company by acquiring Community State Bank, Bradley, Arkansas.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, March 31, 2022.

**Michele Taylor Fennell,**

*Deputy Associate Secretary of the Board.*

[FR Doc. 2022–07164 Filed 4–4–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

## FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

### Agency Information Collection Activities: Announcement of Board Approval Under Delegated Authority and Submission to OMB

**AGENCY:** Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.

**SUMMARY:** The Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (Board) is adopting a proposal to extend for three years, without revision, the Procurement Solicitation Package (FR 1400; OMB No. 7100–0180).

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Federal Reserve Board Clearance Officer—Nuha Elmaghrabi—Office of the Chief Data Officer, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, DC 20551, (202) 452–3829.

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Desk Officer for the Federal Reserve Board, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, Room 10235, 725 17th Street NW, Washington, DC 20503, or by fax to (202) 395–6974.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 15, 1984, OMB delegated to the Board authority under the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) to approve and assign OMB control numbers to collections of information conducted or sponsored by the Board. Board-approved collections of information are incorporated into the official OMB inventory of currently approved collections of information. The OMB

<sup>2</sup> In deciding whether to invoke the exception by making and publishing a finding that this final action is based on a determination of nationwide scope or effect, the Administrator has also taken into account a number of policy considerations, including his judgment balancing the benefit of obtaining the D.C. Circuit’s authoritative centralized review versus allowing development of the issue in other contexts and the best use of Agency resources.

<sup>3</sup> In the report on the 1977 Amendments that revised section 307(b)(1) of the CAA, Congress noted that the Administrator’s determination that the “nationwide scope or effect” exception applies would be appropriate for any action that has a scope or effect beyond a single judicial circuit. See H.R. Rep. No. 95–294 at 323, 324, reprinted in 1977 U.S.C.A.N. 1402–03.