

**List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 13**

Surety bonds, Trusts and trustees, and Veterans.

**Signing Authority**

Denis McDonough, Secretary of Veterans Affairs, approved this document on May 5, 2022, and authorized the undersigned to sign and submit the document to the Office of the Federal Register for publication electronically as an official document of the Department of Veterans Affairs.

**Luvenia Potts,**

*Regulations Development Coordinator, Office of Regulation Policy & Management, Office of General Counsel, Department of Veterans Affairs.*

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the Department of Veterans Affairs amends 38 CFR part 13 as set forth below:

**PART 13—FIDUCIARY ACTIVITIES**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 13 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 38 U.S.C. 501, 5502, 5506–5510, 6101, 6106–6108, and as noted in specific sections.

*Source:* 83 FR 32738, July 13, 2018, unless otherwise noted.

■ 2. Amend § 13.230 by revising paragraph (c)(1) to read as follows:

**§ 13.230 Protection of beneficiary funds.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(c) \* \* \*. (1) The provisions of paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section do not apply to:

(i) A fiduciary that is a trust company or a bank with trust powers organized under the laws of the United States or a state;

(ii) A fiduciary who is the beneficiary's spouse;

(iii) A fiduciary in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, Guam, or another territory of the United States, or in the Republic of the Philippines, who has entered into a restricted withdrawal agreement in lieu of a surety bond;

(iv) A fiduciary that is also appointed by a court and has obtained a state-court bond, as referenced in 38 CFR 14.709, sufficient to cover both VA and non-VA funds; or

(v) A fiduciary that is also a state agency with existing, state-mandated liability insurance or a blanket bond sufficient to cover both VA and non-VA funds.

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2022–10388 Filed 5–13–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 8320–01–P**

**POSTAL SERVICE****39 CFR Part 241****Post Office Organization and Administration: Discontinuance of USPS-Operated Retail Facilities**

**AGENCY:** Postal Service™.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** The United States Postal Service® has revised its regulations concerning the Postal Service-Operated Retail Facilities Discontinuance Guide to conform to organizational changes.

**DATES:** Effective May 16, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Sal Faraglia, 202–494–3329, Post Office Operations and Processing Logistics and Integration.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The revision makes minor changes to § 241.3 to update the text with the correct job titles following organizational changes.

**List of Subjects in 39 CFR Part 241**

Organization and functions (Government agencies).

Accordingly, 39 CFR part 241 is amended as follows:

**PART 241—ESTABLISHMENT CLASSIFICATION, AND DISCONTINUANCE**

■ 1. The authority citation for part 241 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 39 U.S.C. 101, 401, 403, 404, 410, 1001.

■ 2. Amend § 241.3 by revising paragraphs (b)(2) and (d)(3) introductory text to read as follows:

**§ 241.3 Discontinuance of USPS-operated retail facilities.**

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(2) *ZIP Code assignment.* The ZIP Code for each address formerly served from the discontinued USPS-operated retail facility should be kept, wherever practical. In some cases, the ZIP Code originally assigned to the discontinued USPS-operated retail facility may be changed if the responsible District Manager receives approval from his or her Vice President of Area Delivery and Retail Operations before any proposal to discontinue the USPS-operated retail facility is posted.

\* \* \* \* \*

(d) \* \* \*

(3) *Other steps.* In addition to providing notice and inviting comment, the District Manager must take any other steps necessary to ensure that the persons served by affected USPS-operated retail facilities understand the

nature and implications of the proposed action. A community meeting must be held to provide outreach and gain public input after the proposal is posted, unless otherwise instructed by the responsible Headquarters Vice President or the applicable Vice President of Area Delivery and Retail Operations. Authorization to forgo a community meeting should issue only where exceptional circumstances make a community meeting infeasible, such as where the community no longer exists because of a natural disaster or because residents have moved elsewhere.

\* \* \* \* \*

**Joshua J. Hofer,**

*Attorney, Ethics & Legal Compliance.*

[FR Doc. 2022–10283 Filed 5–13–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

**ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY****40 CFR Part 170**

**[EPA–HQ–OPP–2017–0543; FRL–9803–01–OCSPP]**

**Pesticides; Agricultural Worker Protection Standard; Revision of the Application Exclusion Zone Requirements; Court Order; Stay of Effectiveness**

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Final rule; court-ordered stay of effectiveness.

**SUMMARY:** On December 28, 2020, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York issued an order in the case of *State of New York et al. v. United States Environmental Protection Agency*, which resulted in a stay of the effectiveness for an October 30, 2020 final rule (2020 AEZ Rule) amending certain provisions of EPA's Agricultural Worker Protection Standard (WPS) regulations under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) related to the application exclusion zone (AEZ). Subsequent orders have extended this stay of the effectiveness. Although the text of the Code of Federal Regulations reflects the amendments to the AEZ provisions under the 2020 AEZ Rule, the district court's stay orders have prevented those amendments from going into effect. Accordingly, the regulatory text prior to the amendments provides the operative regulatory language during the current stay and any future extensions of the stay.

**DATES:** As of February 15, 2022, the effectiveness of the final rule published