

process for making powder preforms that is covered by claims 1, 4, 5, and 8 of U.S. Letters Patent 5,620,489 ("the '489 patent"), owned by UAS and exclusively licensed to 3M. The complaint further alleged that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

Complainants moved to terminate the investigation with respect to Kinik Corp. after they concluded that Kinik Corp. was not manufacturing or importing products that infringed the '489 patent. The ALJ granted this motion on June 19, 2001, in an initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 15) and the Commission determined not to review that ID. On August 8, 2001, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 19) that the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement was satisfied with respect to the claims at issue of the '489 patent, and the Commission determined not to review that ID.

An evidentiary hearing was held on October 10–17, 27, and 30, 2001. On February 8, 2002, the ALJ issued his final ID, in which he determined that respondent Kinik's accused DiaGrid abrasive products infringed claims 1, 4, 5, and 8 of the '489 patent and that the '489 patent was valid and enforceable. Based upon these findings, he found a violation of section 337.

The ALJ recommended issuance of a limited exclusion order barring importation of all Kinik abrasive products that infringe the '489 patent, which includes products produced using Kinik's DiaGrid process. He also recommended issuance of a cease and desist order against Kinik, and a bond during the Presidential review period in the amount of five percent of the entered value of the infringing Kinik products.

On February 21, 2002, Kinik petitioned for review of the ALJ's final ID. Kinik also appealed Order No. 40, issued by the ALJ on October 12, 2001. That order precluded Kinik from asserting 35 U.S.C. 271(g) as a non-infringement defense. On February 28, 2002, 3M and the Commission investigative attorney ("IA") filed oppositions to Kinik's petition for review and its appeal of Order No. 40.

On March 29, 2002, the Commission determined to affirm Order No. 40 and not to review the ALJ's final ID, and issued a notice to that effect. 67 FR 16116 (Apr. 4, 2002). The Commission also issued an opinion explaining its reasons for affirming Order No. 40.

Having determined that a violation of section 337 has occurred in this investigation, the Commission sought comments on and considered the issues

of the appropriate form of relief, whether the public interest precludes issuance of such relief, and the bond during the 60-day Presidential review period.

The Commission determined that the appropriate remedy consists of a limited exclusion order prohibiting the importation of the infringing abrasive products manufactured abroad by Kinik Company of Taipei, Taiwan, and a cease and desist order directed to Kinik prohibiting that company from selling or engaging in various other commercial activities relating to such products within the United States. The Commission further determined that the statutory public interest factors do not preclude the issuance of such relief. Finally, the Commission determined that during the Presidential review period importation and sales within the United States should be permitted pursuant to a bond requirement in the amount of five percent of the entered value of the infringing abrasive products.

This action is taken under the authority of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) and section 210.50 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.50).

Issued: May 9, 2002.

By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 02–12157 Filed 5–14–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Community Policing Services; Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comments Requested

ACTION: 30-Day notice of information collection under review: new collection, Tribal Resources Grant Program Equipment and Training Progress Report.

The Department of Justice (DOJ), Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS) has submitted the following information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995. The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. This proposed information collection was previously published in the **Federal Register** Volume 67, Number 25, page 5612 on

February 6, 2002, allowing for a 60-day comment period.

The purpose of this notice is to allow for an additional 30 days for public comment until June 14, 2002. This process is conducted in accordance with 5 CFR 1320.10.

Written comments and/or suggestions regarding the items contained in this notice, especially the estimated public burden and associated response time, should be directed to the Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention Department of Justice Desk Officer, Washington, DC 20503. Additionally, comments may be submitted to OMB via facsimile to (202)–395–7285.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information are encouraged. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agencies' estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) Enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) Minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Overview of This Information Collection

(1) *Type of Information Collection:* New Collection.

(2) *Title of the Form/Collection:* Tribal Resources Grant Program Equipment and Training Progress Report.

(3) *Agency form number, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection:* Form Number: None. U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Community Oriented Policing Services (COPS).

(4) *Affected public who will be asked or required to respond, as well as a brief abstract:*

Primary: Federally Recognized Tribal Governments.

Other: None.

Abstract: The information collected will be used by the COPS Office to determine grantee's progress toward grant implementation and for compliance monitoring efforts.

(5) *An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond:* There will be an estimated 200 responses, one for each respondent.

The estimated amount of time required for the average respondent to respond: The estimated time required for the average respondent to respond is 3 hours.

(6) *An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection:* There are approximately 600 annual burden hours associated with this collection.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. Brenda E. Dyer, Deputy Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 1600, Patrick Henry Building, 601 D Street, NW., Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: May 9, 2002.

Brenda E. Dyer,
Department Deputy Clearance Officer,
Department of Justice.
[FR Doc. 02-12082 Filed 5-14-02; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410-AT-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Office of the Secretary

Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request; Correction

May 8, 2002.

On Monday, May 6, 2002, the Department of Labor (DOL) published a notice in **Federal Register** (Vol. 67, No. 87, pages 30401 to 30402) announcing an opportunity to comment on an information collection request (ICR) that was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The notice announced an opportunity to comment on the ICR for OSHA's Hazard Communication Standard (OMB control number 1218-0072).

The corrections are as follows:

On page 30402, third column, the "Title" line is revised by inserting "1910." Between "CFR" and "1200;" and inserting "Parts" between "1200" and "1915 * * *"

On page 30402, first column, the "Description" paragraph is revised by

inserting "1910." Between "CFR" and "1200" and inserting "Parts" between "1200;" and "1915 * * *"

Ira L. Mills,

Departmental Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 02-12154 Filed 5-14-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-23-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Workforce Security Programs: Training and Employment Guidance Letter Interpreting Federal Law

The Employment and Training Administration interprets federal law requirements pertaining to unemployment compensation (UC) and public employment services (ES). These interpretations are issued in Training and Employment Guidance Letters (TEGLs) to the State Workforce Agencies. The TEGL described below is published in the **Federal Register** in order to inform the public.

TEGL 18-01

TEGL 18-01 advises states of the federal law requirements applicable to the \$8 billion Reed Act distribution made on March 13, 2002.

Like other Reed Act distributions, federal law governs how states may use this money. This \$8 billion Reed Act distribution is available for the payment of UC and the administration of the state's UC law and its ES offices.

While the use of the \$8 billion distribution is limited by many of the same requirements that apply to other Reed Act distributions, there are also differences. Using a question and answer format, Attachment I to TEGL 18-01 explains these differences and other amendments to federal law relating to the Reed Act, and answers questions that have been raised by the states concerning the distribution.

Dated: May 10, 2002.

Emily Stover DeRocco,

Assistant Secretary of Labor.

Employment and Training Administration, Advisory System, U.S. Department of Labor, Washington, DC 20210

CLASSIFICATION: Reed Act
CORRESPONDENCE SYMBOL: OWS/
OIS/DL
DATE: April 22, 2002

Training and Employment Guidance Letter No. 18-01

To: All State Workforce Liaisons; All
State Workforce Agencies; All State

Worker Adjustment Liaisons; All One-
Stop Center System Leads.

From: Emily Stover DeRocco, Assistant
Secretary.

Subject: Reed Act Distribution.

1. *Purpose.* To advise states of the federal law requirements applicable to the \$8 billion Reed Act distribution made on March 13, 2002.

2. *References.* Section 209 of the Temporary Extended Unemployment Compensation Act of 2002 (TEUCA), which is Title II of the Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002, Public Law No. 107-147, signed by the President on March 9, 2002; Title IX of the Social Security Act (SSA); the Federal Unemployment Tax Act (FUTA); and Unemployment Insurance Program Letter (UIPL) 39-97 (62 FR 63960 (December 3, 1997)), UIPL 39-97, Change 1 (January 16, 2002) and UIPL 20-02 (April 4, 2002).

3. *Background.* On March 13, 2002, an \$8 billion distribution was made to the states' accounts in the Unemployment Trust Fund. The TEUCA labeled this transfer a "Reed Act" distribution although it differs from traditional Reed Act distributions, most notably because it was a set dollar amount, made without regard to the statutory ceilings in the federal accounts. Each state was advised of its share of this distribution in UIPL 20-02.

Like other Reed Act distributions, federal law governs how states may use this money. This \$8 billion Reed Act distribution is available for the payment of unemployment compensation (UC) and the administration of the state's UC law and its public employment service (ES) offices.

RESCISSIONS: None.

EXPIRATION DATE: Continuing

While the use of this \$8 billion distribution is limited by many of the same requirements that apply to other Reed Act distributions, there are also differences. Using a question and answer format, Attachment I explains these differences and other amendments to federal law relating to the Reed Act, and answers questions that have arisen since the TEUCA became law. A separate advisory which discusses suggested uses for the \$8 billion Reed Act distribution is under development.

4. *Action.* State administrators should distribute this advisory to appropriate staff. States must adhere to the requirements of federal law that are contained in this advisory.

5. *Inquiries.* Questions should be addressed to your Regional Office.

6. *Attachments.*

I. Reed Act Distributions Under the
Temporary Extended Unemployment