

higher education), Nonprofits that do not have a 501(c)(3) status with the IRS (other than institutions of higher education), Private institutions of higher education, Public and State-controlled institutions of higher education, Public housing authorities or Indian housing authorities, Small businesses, Individuals, Special district governments, agricultural producer associations, farmer cooperatives, special service districts, or State governments.

For the following estimated total annual burden on respondents, the formula used to calculate the total burden hours is the estimated average time per response multiplied by the estimated total annual number of responses.

Estimate of Average Time to Respond: Public reporting burden for collecting information under this notice is estimated to average 45 minutes per response (0.75), including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.

Type of Respondents: Individual or Household, Private Sectors: Businesses or other for-profits; Farms, Not-for-profits institution, and State, Local and Tribal Government.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 4,000.

Estimated Average Number of Responses per Respondent: 2.

Estimated Total Annual Responses: 8,000.

Estimated Average Time per Response: 0.75 hour.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 6,000 hours.

FPAC is requesting comments on all aspects of this information collection to help us to:

- (1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;
- (2) Evaluate the accuracy of the agency's estimate of burden of the collection of information including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- (3) Evaluate the quality, utility, and clarity of the information collection; and
- (4) Minimize the burden of the information collection on those who respond through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

All comments received in response to this notice, including names and addresses where provided, will be anonymous. Comments will be summarized and included in the request for OMB approval.

USDA Non-Discrimination Policy

In accordance with Federal civil rights law and USDA civil rights regulations and policies, USDA, its Agencies, offices, and employees, and institutions participating in or administering USDA programs are prohibited from discriminating based on race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identity (including gender expression), sexual orientation, disability, age, marital status, family or parental status, income derived from a public assistance program, political beliefs, or reprisal or retaliation for prior civil rights activity, in any program or activity conducted or funded by USDA (not all bases apply to all programs). Remedies and complaint filing deadlines vary by program or incident.

Individuals who require alternative means of communication for program information (for example, braille, large print, audiotape, American Sign Language, etc.) should contact the responsible Agency or USDA TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and text telephone (TTY) or dial 711 for Telecommunications Relay Service (both voice and text telephone users can initiate this call from any telephone). Additionally, program information may be made available in languages other than English.

To file a program discrimination complaint, complete the USDA Program Discrimination Complaint Form, AD-3027, found online at <https://www.usda.gov/oascr/how-to-file-a-program-discrimination-complaint> and at any USDA office or write a letter addressed to USDA and provide in the letter all the information requested in the form. To request a copy of the complaint form, call (866) 632-9992. Submit your completed form or letter to USDA by mail to: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, DC 20250-9410 or email: OAC@usda.gov.

USDA is an equal opportunity provider, employer, and lender.

Scott Linsky,

Acting Deputy Chief Operating Officer, USDA Farm Production and Conservation Business Center.

[FR Doc. 2024-08854 Filed 4-24-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3411-E2-P

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forest Service

Newspapers for Publication of Legal Notices in the Eastern Region

AGENCY: Forest Service, Agriculture (USDA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: This notice lists the newspapers that will be used by the Ranger Districts, Forests, and Regional Office of the Eastern Region to publish legal notices required under Forest Service regulations. The intended effect of this action is to inform interested members of the public which newspapers the Forest Service will use to publish notices of proposed actions and notices of decisions. This will provide the public with constructive notice of Forest Service proposals and decisions, provide information on the procedures to comment, object, or appeal, and establish the date that the Forest Service will use to determine if comments, appeals, or objections were timely.

DATES: Publication of legal notices in the listed newspapers begins on the date of this publication. This list of newspapers will remain in effect until a new list is published in the **Federal Register**.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Matthew St. Pierre, Administrative Review Specialist, Eastern Region, 626 E Wisconsin Avenue, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202 and by email at matthew.stpierre@usda.gov or phone at 414-297-3600.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The administrative procedures at 36 CFR 218 and 219 require the Forest Service to publish notices in a newspaper of general circulation. The content of the notices is specified in 36 CFR 218 and 219. In general, the notices will identify: the decision or project by title or subject matter; the name and title of the official making the decision; how to obtain additional information; and where and how to file comments or appeals/objection. The date the notice is published will be used to establish the official date for the beginning of the comment, appeal, or objection period.

Eastern Region

Regional Forester Notices for Comments and Decisions and Objections affecting National Forest System lands in the Eastern Region, in the states of Illinois, Indiana, Ohio, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, Maine, Pennsylvania, Vermont, New York, West Virginia, and

Wisconsin: *The Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, Milwaukee, Wisconsin.

Pennsylvania

Allegheny National Forest

Allegheny Forest Supervisor Decisions: *Warren Times Observer*, Warren, Pennsylvania.

District Ranger Decisions for Bradford District: *Bradford Era*, Bradford, Pennsylvania.

District Ranger Decisions for Marienville District: *The Kane Republican*, Kane, Pennsylvania.

Wisconsin

Chequamegon-Nicolet National Forest

Chequamegon-Nicolet Forest Supervisor Decisions: *The Northwoods River News*, Rhinelander, Wisconsin.

District Ranger Decisions for Eagle River-Florence District and Lakewood-Laona District: *The Northwoods River News*, Rhinelander, Wisconsin.

District Ranger Decisions for Great Divide District and Washburn District: *The Ashland Daily Press*, Ashland, Wisconsin.

District Ranger Decisions for the Medford-Park Falls District: *The Star News*, Medford, Wisconsin.

Minnesota

Chippewa National Forest

Chippewa Forest Supervisor Decisions: *Bemidji Pioneer*, Bemidji, Minnesota.

District Ranger Decisions for the Blackduck District: *The Blackduck American*, Blackduck, Minnesota.

District Ranger Decisions for the Deer River District: *Grand Rapids Herald-Review*, Grand Rapids, Minnesota.

District Ranger Decisions for the Walker District: *The Pilot/Independent*, Walker, Minnesota.

Superior National Forest

Superior Forest Supervisor Decisions: *Duluth News-Tribune*, Duluth, Minnesota.

District Ranger Decisions for the Gunflint District: *Cook County News-Herald*, Grand Marais, Minnesota.

District Ranger Decisions for the Kawishiwi District: *Ely Echo*, Ely, Minnesota.

District Ranger Decisions for the LaCroix District and Laurentian District: *Mesabi Tribune*, Virginia, Minnesota.

District Ranger Decisions for the Tofte District: *Duluth News-Tribune*, Duluth, Minnesota.

Vermont

Green Mountain National Forest

Green Mountain Forest Supervisor Decisions: *The Rutland Herald*, Rutland, Vermont.

District Ranger Decisions for the Manchester District, Middlebury District, and Rochester District: *The Rutland Herald*, Rutland, Vermont.

New York

Finger Lakes National Forest

Finger Lakes Forest Supervisor Decisions: *The Ithaca Journal*, Ithaca, New York.

District Ranger Decisions for the Hector District: *The Ithaca Journal*, Ithaca, New York.

Michigan

Hiawatha National Forest

Hiawatha Forest Supervisor Decisions: *The Daily Press*, Escanaba, Michigan.

District Ranger Decisions for the Rapid River District and Manistique District: *The Daily Press*, Escanaba, Michigan.

District Ranger Decisions for the Munising District: *The Mining Journal*, Marquette, Michigan.

District Ranger Decisions for the St. Ignace District and Sault Ste. Marie District: *The Sault News*, Sault Ste. Marie, Michigan.

Huron-Manistee National Forest

Huron-Manistee Forest Supervisor Decisions: *Cadillac News*, Cadillac, Michigan.

District Ranger Decisions for the Baldwin-White Cloud Districts: *Lake County Star*, Baldwin, Michigan.

District Ranger Decisions for the Cadillac-Manistee Districts: *Manistee News Advocate*, Manistee, Michigan.

District Ranger Decisions for the Mio District: *Oscoda County Herald*, Mio, Michigan.

District Ranger Decisions for the Huron Shores District: *Oscoda Press*, Oscoda, Michigan.

Ottawa National Forest

Ottawa Forest Supervisor Decisions: *The Daily Mining Gazette*, Houghton, Michigan.

District Ranger Decisions for the Bergland District, Bessemer District, Kenton District, Iron River District, Ontonagon District, and Watersmeet Districts: *The Daily Mining Gazette*, Houghton, Michigan.

Indiana

Hoosier National Forest

Hoosier Forest Supervisor Decisions: *Times-Mail*, Bedford, Indiana.

District Ranger Decisions for the Brownstone District: *Times-Mail*, Bedford, Indiana.

District Ranger Decisions for the Tell City District: *The Perry County News*, Tell City, Indiana.

Missouri

Mark Twain National Forest

Mark Twain Forest Supervisor Decisions: *The Phelps County Focus*, Rolla, Missouri.

District Ranger Decisions for the Ava/Cassville/Willow Springs District: *Springfield News-Leader*, Springfield, Missouri.

District Ranger Decisions for the Cedar Creek District: *Fulton Sun*, Fulton, Missouri.

District Ranger Decisions for the Eleven Point District: *Prospect News*, Doniphan, Missouri.

District Ranger Decisions for the Rolla District and Houston District: *Houston Herald*, Houston, Missouri.

District Ranger Decisions for the Poplar Bluff District: *Daily American Republic*, Poplar Bluff, Missouri.

District Ranger Decisions for the Potosi District: *The Independent-Journal*, Potosi, Missouri.

District Ranger Decisions for the Fredericktown District: *The Democrat-News*, Fredericktown, Missouri.

District Ranger Decisions for the Salem District: *The Salem News*, Salem, Missouri.

Illinois

Midewin National Tallgrass Prairie

Midewin Prairie Supervisor Decisions: *The Herald News*, Joliet, Illinois.

Shawnee National Forest

Shawnee Forest Supervisor Decisions: *Southern Illinoisan*, Carbondale, Illinois.

District Ranger Decisions for the Hidden Springs District and Mississippi Bluffs District: *Southern Illinoisan*, Carbondale, Illinois.

West Virginia

Monongahela National Forest

Monongahela Forest Supervisor Decisions: *The Inter-Mountain*, Elkins, West Virginia.

District Ranger Decisions for the Cheat-Potomac District: *The Grant County Press*, Petersburg, West Virginia.

District Ranger Decisions for the Gauley District: *The Nicholas Chronicle*, Summersville, West Virginia.

District Ranger Decisions for the Greenbrier District and the Marlinton-White Sulphur District: *The Pocahontas Times*, Marlinton, West Virginia.

Ohio*Wayne National Forest*

Wayne Forest Supervisor Decisions: *Athens Messenger*, Athens, Ohio.

District Ranger Decisions for the Athens District: *Athens Messenger*, Athens, Ohio.

District Ranger Decisions for the Ironton District: *The Ironton Tribune*, Ironton, Ohio.

New Hampshire and Maine*White Mountain National Forest*

White Mountain Forest Supervisor Decisions affecting National Forest System lands in New Hampshire: *The New Hampshire Union Leader*, Manchester, New Hampshire.

White Mountain Forest Supervisor Decisions affecting National Forest System lands in Maine: *Lewiston Sun-Journal*, Lewiston, Maine.

District Ranger Decisions for the Androscoggin District and Saco District: *The New Hampshire Union Leader*, Manchester, New Hampshire.

District Ranger Decisions for the Androscoggin District and Saco District affecting National Forest System lands in Maine: *Lewiston Sun-Journal*, Lewiston, Maine.

District Ranger Decisions for the Pemigewasset District: *The New Hampshire Union Leader*, Manchester, New Hampshire.

Troy Heithecker,

Associate Deputy Chief, National Forest System.

[FR Doc. 2024-08785 Filed 4-24-24; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3411-15-P

AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION**Request for a Revision of a Currently Approved Collection; ABMC Web Survey Amendments**

AGENCY: American Battle Monuments Commission (ABMC).

ACTION: Notice of information collection, request for comment.

SUMMARY: This The American Battle Monuments Commission, in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA) of 1995, invites the general public and other Federal agencies to comment on proposed, and continuing information collections, which helps us assess the impact of our information collection requirements and minimize the public's reporting burden. The purpose of this notice is to allow for 60 days of public comment on the proposed revision to the Visitor Web

Survey prior to the submission of these information collection request (ICR) to OMB for approval.

DATES: To ensure consideration, comments regarding this proposed information collection must be received on or before May 22, 2024.

ADDRESSES: Interested persons are invited to submit written comments by email to byrnesa@abmc.gov. Please reference Visitor Web Survey in the subject line of your comments. You may also submit comments, identified by Docket Number ABMC-2024-0001, to the Federal e-Rulemaking Portal: <http://www.regulations.gov>. All comments received are part of the public record. No comments will be posted to <http://www.regulations.gov> for public viewing until after the comment period has closed. Comments will generally be posted without change. All Personally Identifiable Information (for example, name and address) voluntarily submitted by the commenter may be publicly accessible. Do not submit confidential information or otherwise sensitive or protected information. You may submit attachments to electronic comments in Microsoft Word, Excel, or Adobe PDF file formats.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Ashleigh Byrnes, Deputy Chief of Public Affairs, 703-584-1564, byrnesa@abmc.gov; (Alternate) Lin-Lin Jennifer Li, Chief Information Officer, 703-584-1530, lij@abmc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: Clearance for the Electronic Survey Collection of Demographic Data on Agency Website Usage and Service Delivery.

Type of Request: Regular submission, Request for a Revision of a Currently Approved Collection.

Abstract: In an effort to improve online visitor experience and usage habits, the American Battle Monuments Commission (ABMC) is conducting a web survey (survey) on abmc.gov. The existing survey collects information to track visitor feedback to enhance web design and content, increase data quality, and operate more efficiently. The survey solicits [or, "provides ABMC's"] information on visitation to ABMC's physical locations, functionality of the website, and overall experience.

The survey collected roughly 500 responses in 2023. The sample size increased to this point due to survey look and feel enhancements that launched on July 12, 2023. The visual appearance of the survey was realigned with the color palette and design of the abmc.gov site to make the survey more appealing to respondents. The official

sampling percentage for the survey did not change, but collection did improve as a result of these enhancements. Prior to that, the survey sample size was fairly consistent over time.

The survey is designed to allow for incorporation of new content based on previous visitor feedback and agency objectives. New questions pertaining to demographic information must be submitted to the OMB for approval.

The survey currently collects the following information from site visitors:

- Visitation habits to ABMC physical locations (cemeteries, memorials, monuments, markers).

- Visitation habits to abmc.gov.

- Purpose of use for visiting

abmc.gov.

- Applicable categories of interest associated with ABMC and abmc.gov (historical research, genealogy, media, education).

- Website functionality and usability.
- Overall user experience.

In accordance with federal guidance to advance diversity, equity, inclusion and accessibility, ABMC proposes to incorporate additional voluntary survey questions to collect data on select demographic characteristics for web visitors, including:

- Age range and sex.
- Ethnicity or race.
- Veteran status.
- Language.
- Disability and accessibility needs.

This feedback will provide insights into customer or stakeholder perceptions, experiences, and expectations, taking into account the diverse preferences and needs of the Agency's audience. If this information is not collected, vital feedback from customers and stakeholders on the Agency's services will be unavailable. Information gathered is intended to be used only internally for general service improvement and program management purposes and is not intended for release outside of the agency.

Method of Collection: The survey collection is conducted electronically using a web-based questionnaire, and randomly samples 50% of desktop website visitors who have visited 2 or more pages. Sampled visitors are presented with an invitation to take the survey, which they can accept or decline. The survey also uses cookies to ensure that repeat visitors are not continuously asked to take the survey. Currently, these cookies are set to offer the ABMC survey every 90 days. Visitors who have been to the site and have accepted, declined, or abandoned a survey will not be invited again for 90 days in that browser, on that device, unless they clear their cookies.