When the Commission contemplates some form of remedy, it must consider the effects of that remedy upon the public interest. The factors the Commission will consider in this investigation include the effect that an exclusion order would have on (1) the public health and welfare, (2) competitive conditions in the U.S. economy, (3) U.S. production of articles that are like or directly competitive with those that are subject to investigation, and (4) U.S. consumers. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving written submissions that address the aforementioned public interest factors in the context of this investigation.

If the Commission orders some form of remedy, the President has 60 days to approve or disapprove the Commission's action. During this period, the subject articles would be entitled to enter the United States under a bond, in an amount determined by the Commission and prescribed by the Secretary of the Treasury. The Commission is therefore interested in receiving submissions concerning the amount of the bond that should be imposed.

Written Submissions: The parties to the investigation, interested government agencies, and any other interested parties are encouraged to file written submissions on remedy, the public interest, and bonding. Complainant and the Commission's investigative attorneys are also requested to submit proposed orders for the Commission's consideration. The written submissions and proposed orders must be filed no later than close of business on November 12, 2004. Reply submissions, if any, must be filed no later than the close of business on November 19, 2004. No further submissions on these issues will be permitted unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

Persons filing written submissions must file with the Office of the Secretary the original document and 14 true copies thereof on or before the deadlines stated above. Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment unless the information has already been granted such treatment during the proceedings. All such requests should be directed to the Secretary of the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons that the Commission should grant such treatment. See section 201.6 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 201.6. Documents for which confidential treatment by the Commission is sought will be treated

accordingly. All nonconfidential written submissions will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Secretary.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in sections 210.16, 210.42, 210.43, 210.45 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.16, 210.42, 210.43, 210.45).

Issued: November 1, 2004. By order of the Commission.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 04–24695 Filed 11–4–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Inv. No. 337-TA-523]

In the Matter of Certain Optical Disk Controller Chips and Chipsets and Products Containing Same, Including DVD Players and PC Optical Storage Devices II; Notice of Commission Decision Not To Review an Initial Determination Granting a Motion To Amend the Complaint and Notice of Investigation To Add an Additional Respondent and Another Patent

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review the presiding administrative law judge's ("ALJ's") initial determination ("ID") (Order No. 5) granting a motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add Sunext Technology Co., Ltd. of Hsin-Chu City, Taiwan ("Sunext") as a respondent and certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,170,043 ("the '043 patent").

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Clara Kuehn, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-3012. Copies of the public version of the ALI's ID and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone 202-205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov).

The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on August 31, 2004, based on a complaint filed on behalf of MediaTek Corporation ("complainant") of Hsin-Chu City, Taiwan. 69 FR 53089 (Aug. 31, 2004). The complaint, as supplemented, alleged violations of section 337 in the importation into the United States, sale for importation, and sale within the United States after importation of certain optical disk controller chips and chipsets by reason of infringement of claims 1, 3-6, and 8-10 of U.S. Patent No. 5,970,031 and claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,229,773. Id. The notice of investigation named two respondents: Zoran Corporation of Sunnyvale, CA and Oak Technology, Inc. of Sunnyvale, CA (collectively "respondents"). Id.

On September 24, 2004, complainant moved pursuant to Commission rule 210.14(b) to amend the complaint and notice of investigation to add Sunext as a respondent and to add another patent, viz., claims 1–2, 5–6, 15–19, and 21–22 of the '043 patent to the scope of the investigation. On October 6, 2004, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response supporting the motion. On the same day, respondents filed a response opposing the motion.

On October 7, 2004, the ALJ issued an ID (Order No. 5) granting the motion to amend the complaint and notice of investigation.

No party petitioned for review of the ID.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: November 1, 2004.

Marilyn R. Abbott,

Secretary to the Commission.
[FR Doc. 04–24693 Filed 11–4–04; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7020–02–P