

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE****Rural Business-Cooperative Service****Maximum Loan Amount Available for B&I Guaranteed Loans in Fiscal Year 2011**

**AGENCY:** Rural Business-Cooperative Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** 7 CFR 4279.119(a)(1) allows the Rural Development Administrator, at the Administrator's discretion, to grant an exception to the \$10 million limit for Business and Industry (B&I) guaranteed loans of \$25 million or less under certain circumstances. Due to the limited program funds that will be available for Fiscal Year 2011 for the B&I Guaranteed Loan Program, the Administrator has decided not to grant exceptions to the \$10 million limit during FY 2011 in an effort to make guaranteed loan funds go farther and to provide financing assistance to as many projects as possible. Limiting guaranteed loans to \$10 million or less will allow the Agency to guarantee more loans and target smaller loans/projects impacting more small businesses and will assist the Agency to conserve scarce funding dollars at a time when there is unprecedented interest in the program. Any applications or pre-applications that have been received as of the date of publication of this notice will be given full consideration.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 20, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Brenda Griffin, e-mail [brenda.griffin@wdc.usda.gov](mailto:brenda.griffin@wdc.usda.gov), Rural Development, Business Programs, Business and Industry Division, STOP 3224, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250-3224, telephone (202) 720-6802.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This action has been reviewed and determined not to be a rule or regulation as defined in Executive Order 12866 as amended by Executive Order 13258.

Dated: March 28, 2011.

**Judith A. Canales,**

*Administrator, Rural Business-Cooperative Service.*

[FR Doc. 2011-9480 Filed 4-19-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3410-XY-P**

**BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS****Sunshine Act Meeting**

**DATE AND TIME:** Thursday, April 14, 2011; 3:45 p.m.-4 p.m.

**PLACE:** Radio Free Asia Headquarters, 2025 M St., NW., Washington, DC 20036.

**SUBJECT:** Notice of Closed Meeting of the Broadcasting Board of Governors.

**SUMMARY:** At the time and location listed above, the Broadcasting Board of Governors (BBG) determined to conduct a meeting closed to the public pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(6). The meeting was closed to protect privacy concerns during the consideration of potential appointees to serve as the Director of the Voice of America. Although discussion of the matter in an open setting was considered, the potential consequences of disclosing the identities and circumstances of individuals considered for appointment compelled the Board to close the meeting to public observation. The Board also determined that shorter than usual notice for a meeting was required by official agency business and the delayed availability of required information.

**Members Vote To Close the Meeting**

Walter Isaacson—Yes.

Victor Ashe—No.

Susan McCue—Yes.

Michael Meehan—Yes.

Dennis Mulhaupt—Yes.

Dana Perino—Yes.

S. Enders Wimbush—Yes.

**Attendance**

Walter Isaacson, BBG Chairman.

Victor Ashe, BBG Member.

Susan McCue, BBG Member.

Michael Meehan, BBG Member.

Dennis Mulhaupt, BBG Member.

Dana Perino, BBG Member.

S. Enders Wimbush, BBG Member.

Jeffrey Trimble, BBG Executive Director.

Richard Lobo, Director of the International Broadcasting Bureau.

Maryjean Buhler, BBG Chief Financial Officer.

Paul Kollmer-Dorsey, BBG Deputy General Counsel.

Lynne Weil, Senior Advisor to the Under Secretary for Public Diplomacy and Public Affairs.

Oanh Tran, BBG Special Projects Officer.

**CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:**

Persons interested in obtaining more information should contact Paul Kollmer-Dorsey at (202) 203-4545.

**Paul Kollmer-Dorsey,**

*Deputy General Counsel.*

[FR Doc. 2011-9714 Filed 4-18-11; 4:15 pm]

**BILLING CODE 8610-01-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****Meeting of the National Advisory Council on Innovation and Entrepreneurship**

**AGENCY:** U.S. Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of an open conference call.

**SUMMARY:** The National Advisory Council on Innovation and Entrepreneurship will hold a phone conference on Monday, May 3, 2011. The meeting will be conducted from 2 p.m. to 3 p.m. and will be opened to the public via listen only conference call. The Council was chartered on November 10, 2009, to advise the Secretary of Commerce on matters relating to innovation and entrepreneurship in the United States.

**DATES:** May 3, 2011.

*Time:* 2 p.m.-3 p.m. (EST)

**ADDRESSES:** Public participation via a listen in conference number can be reached at 888-942-9574, and passcode, 6315042.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** Agenda will be to discuss the Council's draft report on access to capital. Any member of the public may submit pertinent written comments concerning the Council's affairs at any time before and after the meeting. Comments may be submitted to Bilal Mahmood at the contact information indicated below.

Copies of Board meeting minutes will be available within 90 days of the meeting.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Bilal Mahmood, Office of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, Room 7019, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC, 20230, telephone: 202-482-3688, e-mail: [bmahmood@eda.doc.gov](mailto:bmahmood@eda.doc.gov). Please reference, "NACIE May 3, 2011" in the subject line of your e-mail.

Dated: April 14, 2011.

**Paul J. Corson,**

*Office of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, U.S. Department of Commerce.*

[FR Doc. 2011-9482 Filed 4-19-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-03-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****International Trade Administration**

[A-428-840]

**Lightweight Thermal Paper From Germany: Notice of Final Results of the First Antidumping Duty Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** On December 23, 2009, the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) published the notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review naming Mitsubishi HiTec Paper Flensburg GmbH, Mitsubishi HiTec Paper Bielefeld GmbH and Mitsubishi International Corporation (“collectively, Mitsubishi”) and Papierfabrik August Koehler AG (“Koehler”) as respondents. As a result of petitioner’s withdrawal of its request for review, we rescinded this review, in part, with respect to Mitsubishi.<sup>1</sup> On December 14, 2010, the Department published the preliminary results of the first administrative review for the antidumping duty order on lightweight thermal paper from Germany.<sup>2</sup> The review covers one manufacturer/exporter: Koehler. The period of review (POR) is November 20, 2008, through October 31, 2009.

As a result of our analysis of the comments received, the final results differ from the preliminary results for Koehler. The final weighted-average dumping margin for this company is listed below in the “Final Results of Review” section of this notice.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 20, 2011.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Stephanie Moore or George McMahon, AD/CVD Operations, Office 3, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; *telephone:* (202) 482–3692 and (202) 482–1167, respectively.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On August 16, 2010, the Department published the preliminary results of the first administrative review of the antidumping duty order on lightweight thermal paper from Germany. *See Preliminary Results.*

Petitioner submitted a case brief on January 27, 2011. Koehler submitted a rebuttal brief on February 4, 2011.<sup>3</sup>

##### Scope of the Order

The scope of this order includes certain lightweight thermal paper, which is thermal paper with a basis

weight of 70 grams per square meter (g/m<sup>2</sup>) (with a tolerance of  $\pm 4.0$  g/m<sup>2</sup>) or less; irrespective of dimensions;<sup>4</sup> with or without a base coat<sup>5</sup> on one or both sides; with thermal active coating(s)<sup>6</sup> on one or both sides that is a mixture of the dye and the developer that react and form an image when heat is applied; with or without a top coat;<sup>7</sup> and without an adhesive backing. Certain lightweight thermal paper is typically (but not exclusively) used in point-of-sale applications such as ATM receipts, credit card receipts, gas pump receipts, and retail store receipts. The merchandise subject to this order may be classified in the *Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States* (“HTSUS”) under subheadings 3703.10.60, 4811.59.20, 4811.90.8020, 4811.90.8040, 4811.90.9010, 4811.90.9090, 4820.10.20, and 4823.40.00.<sup>8</sup> Although HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and customs purposes, the written description of the scope of this order is dispositive.

##### Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs by parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, dated concurrent with this notice and which is hereby adopted by this notice. A list of the issues which parties have raised, and to which we have responded in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, is attached to this notice as an Appendix. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision

Memorandum can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn/>, and is on file in the Central Records Unit, main Commerce Building, Room 7046. The paper copy and electronic version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum are identical in content.

##### Changes From the Preliminary Results

As a result of the Department’s analysis of comments received, we have made a certain change to the calculations of the company-specific weighted-average margin for Koehler. Specifically, we have revised our treatment of the monthly rebates reported in the field REBATE1H. In the *Preliminary Results*, the Department preliminarily accepted these rebates. However, based upon further review of the information on the record and the comments received, we find that the monthly rebates (“monatsbonus”) reported by Koehler are not supported by record evidence. Accordingly, we have disallowed the monthly rebates reported in field REBATE1H, for these final results. *See* the Issues and Decision Memorandum, dated April 13, 2011.

##### Final Results of Review

We determine that the following weighted-average margins exist for the period November 20, 2008, through October 31, 2009:

Manufacturer/exporter	Weighted-average margin (percent)
Papierfabrik August Koehler AG .....	3.77

##### Duty Assessment

We have been enjoined from liquidating entries of the subject merchandise produced and exported by Koehler. Therefore, we do not intend to issue liquidation instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) for such entries covered by this administrative review, until the preliminary injunction issued on February 5, 2009, is lifted.

Upon lifting of the injunction, the Department shall determine and CBP shall assess antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), the Department calculates an assessment rate for each importer of the subject merchandise for each respondent. If any importer-specific assessment rates calculated in the final results are above *de minimis* (i.e., at or above 0.5 percent), the Department will issue appraisal instructions directly to CBP to assess antidumping duties on appropriate entries.

To determine whether the duty assessment rates covering the period

<sup>1</sup> *See Lightweight Thermal Paper from Germany: Notice of Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 11135 (March 10, 2010).

<sup>2</sup> *See Lightweight Thermal Paper From Germany: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 75 FR 77831 (December 14, 2010) (“*Preliminary Results*”).

<sup>3</sup> Koehler submitted a timely rebuttal brief on February 3, 2011; however, the Department rejected this brief because it contained new factual information.

<sup>4</sup> LWTP is typically produced in jumbo rolls that are slit to the specifications of the converting equipment and then converted into finished slit rolls. Both jumbo and converted rolls (as well as LWTP in any other form, presentation, or dimension) are covered by the scope of these orders.

<sup>5</sup> A base coat, when applied, is typically made of clay and/or latex and like materials and is intended to cover the rough surface of the paper substrate and to provide insulating value.

<sup>6</sup> A thermal active coating is typically made of sensitizer, dye, and co-reactant.

<sup>7</sup> A top coat, when applied, is typically made of polyvinyl acetone, polyvinyl alcohol, and/or like materials and is intended to provide environmental protection, an improved surface for press printing, and/or wear protection for the thermal print head.

<sup>8</sup> HTSUS subheading 4811.90.8000 was a classification used for LWTP until January 1, 2007. Effective that date, subheading 4811.90.8000 was replaced with 4811.90.8020 (for gift wrap, a non-subject product) and 4811.90.8040 (for “other” including LWTP). HTSUS subheading 4811.90.9000 was a classification for LWTP until July 1, 2005. Effective that date, subheading 4811.90.9000 was replaced with 4811.90.9010 (for tissue paper, a non-subject product) and 4811.90.9090 (for “other,” including LWTP). *See* Memorandum to the File, dated February 9, 2011, regarding the addition of HTSUS numbers: 4811.90.8020 and 4811.90.9010, per the request of the National Import Specialist of Customs and Border Protection.

were *de minimis*, in accordance with the requirement set forth in 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), for each respondent we calculated importer (or customer)-specific *ad valorem* rates by aggregating the dumping margins calculated for all U.S. sales to that importer or customer and dividing this amount by the total entered value of the sales to that importer (or customer). Where an importer (or customer)-specific *ad valorem* rate is greater than *de minimis*, and the respondent has reported reliable entered values, we apply the assessment rate to the entered value of the importer's/customer's entries during the review period. Where an importer (or customer)-specific *ad valorem* rate is greater than *de minimis* and we do not have reliable entered values, we calculate a per-unit assessment rate by aggregating the dumping duties due for all U.S. sales to each importer (or customer) and dividing this amount by the total quantity sold to that importer (or customer).

The Department clarified its "automatic assessment" regulation on May 6, 2003. See *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003). This clarification will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by the respondent for which it did not know its merchandise was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction. For a full discussion of this clarification, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

#### Cash Deposit Requirements

The following antidumping duty deposit rates will be effective upon publication of the final results of this administrative review for all shipments of lightweight thermal paper from Germany entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act): (1) If the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, but was covered in a previous review or the original less-than-fair-value (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate established for the most recent period; (2) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, a prior review, or the LTFV investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate

will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the subject merchandise; and (3) if neither the exporter nor the manufacturer is a firm covered by this review, a prior review, or the LTFV investigation, the cash deposit rate will be 6.50 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation. See *Antidumping Duty Orders: Lightweight Thermal Paper from Germany and the People's Republic of China*, 73 FR 70959 (November 24, 2008). These cash deposit requirements shall remain in effect until further notice.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping and/or countervailing duties occurred and the subsequent increase in antidumping duties by the amount of antidumping and/or countervailing duties reimbursed.

#### Notification Regarding APOs

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(5). Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: April 13, 2011.

**Ronald K. Lorentzen,**  
Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

#### Appendix I

##### List of Comments in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

*Comment 1:* Whether Koehler's Sales of the KT 48 F20 Product in Germany Constituted a Fictitious Market.

*Comment 2:* Whether Koehler's Home Market Sales of the KT 48 F20 Product Were Outside the Ordinary Course of Trade.

*Comment 3:* Whether the Department Should Disallow Certain Post-Sale Price Adjustments Reported in the REBATE1H Field.

*Comment 4:* Whether the Department Should Reallocate Monthly Rebates (REBATE1H) on a Customer-Specific Basis.

[FR Doc. 2011-9574 Filed 4-19-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

RIN 0648-XA377

#### New England Fishery Management Council; Public Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice; public meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The New England Fishery Management Council (Council) is scheduling a public meeting of its Scallop Advisory Panel in May, 2011 to consider actions affecting New England fisheries in the exclusive economic zone (EEZ). Recommendations from this group will be brought to the full Council for formal consideration and action, if appropriate.

**DATES:** This meeting will be held on Thursday, May 5, 2011 at 8 a.m.

**ADDRESSES:** This meeting will be held at the Radisson Hotel, 180 Water Street, Plymouth, MA 02360; telephone: (508) 747-4900; fax: (508) 747-8937.

*Council address:* New England Fishery Management Council, 50 Water Street, Mill 2, Newburyport, MA 01950.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Paul J. Howard, Executive Director, New England Fishery Management Council; telephone: (978) 465-0492.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The advisors will discuss recommendations for alternatives being developed in Framework 23 to the Scallop Fishery Management Plan (FMP). Framework 23 is considering alternatives to potentially require a turtle excluder dredge, revise the yellowtail flounder accountability measures (AMs) proposed in Amendment 15, and possibly modify the limited access general category management program for the Northern Gulf of Maine (NGOM) area. The action may also include measures to develop alternatives to modify the current vessel monitoring system (VMS) regulations to improve scallop fleet operations (e.g. how days-at-sea are charged and how a