

Environmental Assessment and FONSI for Improvements to the Mission Levee Protective System located in Hidalgo County, Texas is available. A notice of finding of no significant impact dated April 6, 2011, provided a thirty (30) day comment period before making the finding final. The Notice was published in the **Federal Register** on April 6, 2011 (**Federal Register** Notice, Vol. 76, No. 66, Page 19124).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Daniel Borunda, Natural Resources Specialist, Environmental Management Division, United States Section, International Boundary and Water Commission; 4171 N. Mesa, C-100; El Paso, Texas 79902. Telephone: (915) 832-4767; email: Daniel.Borunda@ibwc.gov.

Availability: Electronic copies of the Final EA and FONSI are available from the USBWC Home Page at <http://www.ibwc.state.gov>.

Dated: November 2, 2011.

Steven Fitten,

Legal Counsel.

[FR Doc. 2011-28855 Filed 11-7-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4710-01-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 731-TA-696 (Third Review)]

Pure Magnesium From China

Determination

On the basis of the record¹ developed in the subject five-year review, the United States International Trade Commission (Commission) determines, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1675(c)), that revocation of the antidumping duty order on pure magnesium from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of material injury to an industry in the United States within a reasonably foreseeable time.²

Background

The Commission instituted this review on June 1, 2011 (76 F.R. 31635) and determined on September 6, 2011 that it would conduct an expedited review (76 F.R. 60291, October 6, 2011).

The Commission transmitted its determination in this review to the Secretary of Commerce on October 31, 2011. The views of the Commission are

contained in USITC Publication 4274 (October 2011), entitled *Pure Magnesium from China: Investigation No. 731-TA-696 (Third Review)*.

Issued: November 2, 2011.

By order of the Commission.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2011-28848 Filed 11-7-11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-811]

Certain Integrated Solar Power Systems and Components Thereof: Notice of Institution of Investigation; Institution of Investigation Pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1337

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that a complaint was filed with the U.S. International Trade Commission on October 3, 2011, under section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. 1337, on behalf of Westinghouse Solar, Inc. of Campbell, California and Andalay Solar, Inc. of Campbell, California. Supplements to the complaint were filed on October 18, 2011 and October 19, 2011. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 based upon the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated solar power systems and components thereof by reason of infringement of certain claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,406,800 ("the '800 patent") and U.S. Patent No. 7,987,641 ("the '641 patent"). The complaint further alleges that an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337.

The complainants request that the Commission institute an investigation and, after the investigation, issue an exclusion order and cease and desist orders.

ADDRESSES: The complaint and supplements, except for any confidential information contained therein, are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Room 112, Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205-2000. Hearing impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by

contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at (202) 205-2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://www.edis.usitc.gov>.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone (202) 205-2560.

Authority: The authority for institution of this investigation is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and in section 210.10 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.10 (2011).

Scope of Investigation: Having considered the complaint, the U.S. International Trade Commission, on November 1, 2011, ORDERED THAT—

(1) Pursuant to subsection (b) of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, an investigation be instituted to determine whether there is a violation of subsection (a)(1)(B) of section 337 in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, or the sale within the United States after importation of certain integrated solar power systems and components thereof that infringe one or more of claims 6 and 10 of the '800 patent and claim 1 of the '641 patent, and whether an industry in the United States exists as required by subsection (a)(2) of section 337;

(2) Pursuant to Commission Rule 210.50(b)(1), 19 CFR 210.50(b)(1), the presiding administrative law judge shall take evidence or other information and hear arguments from the parties and other interested persons with respect to the public interest in this investigation, as appropriate, and provide the Commission with findings of fact and a recommended determination on this issue, and the administrative law judge will limit public interest discovery, appropriately, with particular consideration for third parties, and will ensure that such discovery will not delay the investigation or be used improperly;

(3) For the purpose of the investigation so instituted, the following are hereby named as parties upon which this notice of investigation shall be served:

(a) The complainants are:

¹ The record is defined in sec. 207.2(f) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR ' 207.2(f)).

² Commissioner Dean A. Pinkert did not participate in this review.

Westinghouse Solar, Inc., 1475 South Bascom Avenue, Suite 101, Campbell, CA 95008.

Andalay Solar, Inc., 1475 South Bascom Avenue, Suite 101, Campbell, CA 95008.

(b) The respondents are the following entities alleged to be in violation of section 337, and are the parties upon which the complaint is to be served:

Zep Solar, Inc., 161 Mitchell Boulevard, Suite 104, San Rafael, CA 94903.

Canadian Solar Inc., 650 Riverbend Drive, Suite B, Kitchener, Ontario, Canada N2K 3S2.

Canadian Solar (USA) Inc., 12657 Alcosta Boulevard, Suite 140, San Ramon, CA 94583.

(c) The Office of Unfair Import Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Suite 401, Washington, DC 20436; and

(4) For the investigation so instituted, the Chief Administrative Law Judge, U.S. International Trade Commission, shall designate the presiding Administrative Law Judge.

Responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation must be submitted by the named respondents in accordance with section 210.13 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 210.13. Pursuant to 19 CFR 201.16(d)–(e) and 210.13(a), such responses will be considered by the Commission if received not later than 20 days after the date of service by the Commission of the complaint and the notice of investigation. Extensions of time for submitting responses to the complaint and the notice of investigation will not be granted unless good cause therefor is shown.

Failure of a respondent to file a timely response to each allegation in the complaint and in this notice may be deemed to constitute a waiver of the right to appear and contest the allegations of the complaint and this notice, and to authorize the administrative law judge and the Commission, without further notice to the respondent, to find the facts to be as alleged in the complaint and this notice and to enter an initial determination and a final determination containing such findings, and may result in the issuance of an exclusion order or a cease and desist order or both directed against the respondent.

Issued: November 2, 2011.

By order of the Commission.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2011–28849 Filed 11–7–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337–TA–757]

Certain Game Devices, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Determination Not To Review An Initial Determination Granting Motion to Terminate Based Upon Withdrawal of the Complaint; Termination of the Investigation

AGENCY: U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has determined not to review an initial determination (“ID”) (Order No. 9) of the presiding administrative law judge (“ALJ”) granting a motion by Complainant to terminate the investigation based upon withdrawal of the complaint.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Panyin A. Hughes, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–3042. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server at <http://www.usitc.gov>. The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at <http://edis.usitc.gov>. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on January 28, 2011, based on a complaint filed by Microsoft Corporation of Redmond, Washington (“Microsoft”). 76 FR 5206 (Jan. 28, 2011). The complaint alleged violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain game devices, components thereof, and products containing the same by reason of infringement of certain claims of United States Patent No. 7,787,411. The complaint named the following entities as respondents:

Datel Design and Development Inc. of Clearwater, Florida; and Datel Design and Development Ltd., Datel Direct Ltd., Datel Holdings Ltd., and Datel Electronics Ltd. all of Staffordshire, United Kingdom (collectively, “Datel”).

On August 29, 2011, Microsoft filed a motion to terminate the investigation in its entirety based upon withdrawal of the complaint. On August 30, 2011, the Commission investigative attorney filed a response in support of the motion. On September 8, 2011, Respondent Datel filed a response in support of the motion and requested that the ALJ impose certain conditions on Microsoft.

On October 18, 2011, the ALJ issued the subject ID (Order No. 9) terminating the investigation. None of the parties petitioned for review of the ID. The Commission has determined not to review the ID. Accordingly, this investigation is terminated.

The authority for the Commission's determination is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337), and in section 210.42 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 210.42).

Issued: November 2, 2011.

By order of the Commission.

James R. Holbein,

Secretary to the Commission.

[FR Doc. 2011–28787 Filed 11–7–11; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–P

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Notice of Lodging of Consent Decree Under the Clean Air Act

Notice is hereby given that on November 1, 2011, a proposed Consent Decree in *United States v. Williams Four Corners, LLC*, Civil Action 1:11–cv–02846, was lodged with the United States District Court for the District of Colorado.

In this action the United States seeks civil penalties and injunctive relief for alleged violations of the Clean Air Act (“CAA”), 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*, at Four Corner's Ignacio Gas Plant (“Ignacio GP”) and Ute E Compressor Station (“Ute E”) located in La Plata County, Colorado, and situated within the exterior boundaries of the Southern Ute Indian Reservation. County, Utah. Specifically, the United States alleges that Four Corners failed to timely repair four leaks at its Ignacio GP in violation of the New Source Performance Standard at 40 CFR part 60, Subpart KKK, implementing Section 111 of the Clean Air Act (“CAA”), 42 U.S.C. 741, and violated Title V of the CAA and 40