Paul Loether@nps.gov. (c) To submit a written statement specific to, or request information about the proposed extension to the Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail listed below, or for information about the National Historic Trails Program or the National Trails System, contact Tokey Boswell, Acting Chief of Planning, Midwest Regional Office, National Park Service, 601 Riverfront Drive, Omaha, NE 68102, telephone (402) 661–1534, email *Tokey* Boswell@nps.gov. (d) To submit a written statement specific to, or request information about the proposed additions to the Oregon, California, Mormon Pioneer and Pony Express National Historic Trails listed below, or for information about the National Historic Trails Program or the National Trails System, contact Aaron Mahr Yáñez, Superintendent, National Trails Intermountain Region, National Park Service, P.O. Box 728, Santa Fe, NM 87504, telephone (505) 988-6736, email Aaron Maħr@nps.gov. (e) to submit a written statement specific to, or request information about, any National Natural Landmarks matter listed below, or for information about the National Natural Landmarks Program or National Natural Landmarks designation process and the effects of designation, contact Heather Eggleston, Acting Program Manager, National Natural Landmarks Program, National Park Service, 12795 W. Alameda Parkway, Lakewood, Colorado 80228, email Heather Eggleston@ nps.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Matters concerning the National Historic Landmarks Program, National Historic Trails Program, and National Natural Landmarks Program will be considered by the Board as follows:

# A. National Historic Landmarks (NHL) Program

NHL Program matters will be considered at the morning session of the business meeting on June 2, during which the Board may consider the following:

Nominations for New NHL Designations

Connecticut

- James Merrill House, Stonington, CT
- The Steward's House, Foreign Mission School, Cornwall, CT Florida
- Norman Film Manufacturing Company, Jacksonville, FL
- Indiana
  - Athenaeum (Das Deutsche Haus), Indianapolis, IN
- Michigan
  - Gaukler Pointe (Edsel and Eleanor

Ford House), Macomb County, MI Mississippi

 Mississippi State Capitol, Jackson, MS

New York

- St. Bartholomew's Church and Community House, New York, NY Ohio
- Zoar Historic District, Zoar, OH Wisconsin
- Man Mound, Sauk County, WI Wyoming
  - Ames Monument, Albany County, WY

Proposed Amendments to Existing Designations

#### Ohio

- James A. Garfield Home, Mentor, OH (updated documentation)
- William Howard Taft Home, Cincinnati, OH (updated documentation and name change)

# B. National Historic Trails (NHT) Program

NHT Program matters will be considered at the morning session of the business meeting on June 2, during which the Board may consider the following:

Proposed National Historic Trail Additions

- Proposed Lewis and Clark National Historic Trail Extension (National Historic Significance Recommendation)
- Proposed additions to the Oregon, California, Mormon Pioneer and Pony Express National Historic Trails, CA, CO, ID, IA, KS, MO, NE, NV, OK, OR, UT, WA, and WY (National Historic Significance Recommendation)

## C. National Natural Landmarks (NNL) Program

NNL Program matters will be considered at the afternoon session of the business meeting on June 2, during which the Board may consider the following:

Nominations for New NNL Designations

#### Arizona

- Silver Bell Mountains Desert Complex, Pima County, AZ Colorado
  - West Bijou Site, Arapahoe and Elbert Counties, CO

The board meeting will be open to the public. The order of the agenda may be changed, if necessary, to accommodate travel schedules or for other reasons. Space and facilities to accommodate the public are limited and attendees will be accommodated on a first-come basis. Anyone may file with the Board a

written statement concerning matters to be discussed. The Board also will permit attendees to address the Board, but may restrict the length of the presentations, as necessary to allow the Board to complete its agenda within the allotted time. Before including your address, telephone number, email address, or other personal identifying information in your comment, you should be aware that your entire comment—including your personal identifying information—may be made publicly available at any time. While you may ask us in your comment to withhold your personal identifying information from public review, we cannot guarantee that we will be able to do so.

Draft minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection about 12 weeks after the meeting in the 7th floor conference room at 1201 I Street NW., Washington, DC.

Dated: March 18, 2016.

#### Alma Ripps,

Chief, Office of Policy.

[FR Doc. 2016-06631 Filed 3-23-16; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-EE-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR**

#### **Bureau of Reclamation**

[RR01115000, 16XR0680A1, RX.R0336900.0019100]

#### Yakima River Basin Conservation Advisory Group Charter Renewal

**AGENCY:** Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

**ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: Following consultation with the General Services Administration, the Secretary of the Interior (Secretary) is renewing the charter for the Yakima River Basin Conservation Advisory Group (CAG). The purpose of the CAG is to provide recommendations to the Secretary and the State of Washington on the structure and implementation of the Yakima River Basin Water Conservation Program.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Timothy McCoy, Manager, Yakima River Basin Water Enhancement Project, telephone (509) 575–5848, extension 209.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The basin conservation program is structured to provide economic incentives with cooperative Federal, State, and local funding to stimulate the identification and implementation of structural and nonstructural cost-effective water conservation measures in the Yakima

River basin. Improvements in the efficiency of water delivery and use will result in improved streamflows for fish and wildlife and improve the reliability of water supplies for irrigation.

This notice is published in accordance with Section 9(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (Pub. L. 92–463, as amended). The certification of renewal is published below.

#### Certification

I hereby certify that Charter renewal of the Yakima River Basin Conservation Advisory Group is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department of the Interior.

#### Sally Jewell,

Secretary of the Interior.
[FR Doc. 2016–06646 Filed 3–23–16; 8:45 am]

# INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-929]

Certain Beverage Brewing Capsules, Components Thereof, and Products Containing the Same; Commission's Final Determination Finding No Violation of Section 337 by Solofill LLC or DongGuan Hai Rui Precision Mould Co., Ltd.; Issuance of a Limited Exclusion Order and Cease and Desist Orders to Defaulted Respondents; Termination of the Investigation

**AGENCY:** U.S. International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the U.S. International Trade Commission has found no violation of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337 ("section 337") by Solofill LLC and DongGuan Hai Rui Precision Mould Co., Ltd., and has issued a limited exclusion order and cease desist orders to the defaulted respondents Eko Brands, LLC, Evermuch Technology Co., Ltd., and Ever Much Company, Ltd. The investigation is terminated.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robert Needham, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 708–5468. Copies of non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are or will be available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S.

International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436, telephone (202) 205–2000. General information concerning the Commission may also be obtained by accessing its Internet server (http://www.usitc.gov). The public record for this investigation may be viewed on the Commission's electronic docket (EDIS) at http://edis.usitc.gov. Hearing-impaired persons are advised that information on this matter can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on (202) 205–1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Commission instituted this investigation on September 9, 2014, based on a complaint filed by Adrian Rivera of Whittier, California, and Adrian Rivera Maynez Enterprises, Inc., of Santa Fe Springs, California (together, "ARM"). 79 FR 53445–46. The complaint alleges violations of section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, 19 U.S.C. § 1337, in the importation into the United States, the sale for importation, and the sale within the United States after importation of certain beverage brewing capsules, components thereof, and products containing the same that infringe claims 5-8 and 18-20 of U.S. Patent No. 8,720,320 ("the '320 patent"). Id. at 53445. The Commission's notice of investigation named as respondents Solofill LLC of Houston, Texas ("Solofill"); DongGuan Hai Rui Precision Mould Co., Ltd. of Dong Guan City, China ("DongGuan"); Eko Brands, LLC ("Eko Brands"), of Woodinville, Washington; Evermuch Technology Co., Ltd. ("Evermuch Technology"), of Hong Kong, China; Ever Much Company Ltd. ("Evermuch Company") of Shenzhen, China; Melitta USA, Inc. ("Melitta"), of North Clearwater, Florida; LBP Mfg., Inc. of Cicero, Illinois and LBP Packaging (Shenzhen) Co. Ltd. of Shenzhen, China (together, "LBP"); Spark Innovators Corp. ("Spark"), of Fairfield, New Jersey; B. Marlboros International Ltd. (HK) ("B. Marlboros") of Hong Kong, China; and Amazon.com, Inc. ("Amazon") of Seattle, Washington. The Office of Unfair Import Investigations was also named as a party to the investigation. Id.

The Commission terminated the investigation with respect to Melitta, Spark, LBP, and B. Marlboros based on the entry of consent orders and terminated the investigation with respect to Amazon based on a settlement agreement. Notice (Dec. 18, 2014); Notice (Jan. 13, 2015); Notice (Mar. 27, 2015); Notice (Apr. 10, 2015). The Commission also found Eko Brands, Evermuch Technology, and Evermuch Company in default for failing to

respond to the complaint and notice of investigation. Notice (May 18, 2015). Accordingly, Solofill and DongGuan (together, "Respondents") are the only respondents actively participating in the investigation.

On September 4, 2015, the ALJ issued his final initial determination ("ID") finding no violation of section 337. The ID found that ARM had established every element for finding a violation of section 337 except for infringement. The ID found that Respondents were not liable for direct infringement because direct infringement required the combination of Respondents' products with a third-party single serve beverage brewer, and that Respondents were not liable for induced or contributory infringement because they did not have pre-suit knowledge of the '320 patent. The ID did find that Respondents products directly infringed claims 5-7, 18, and 20 of the '320 patent ("the asserted claims") when combined with a third-party single serve coffee brewer, that the asserted claims were not shown invalid by clear and convincing evidence, and that ARM satisfied both the technical and economic prongs of the domestic industry requirement. The ALJ also issued his recommendation on remedy and bonding along with his ID.

On September 21, 2015, ARM petitioned for review of the ID's findings that Respondents were not liable for induced and contributory infringement because of a lack of pre-suit knowledge, and Respondents petitioned for review of several of the ID's findings. On September 29, 2015, the parties opposed each other's petitions, and the Commission Investigative Attorney ("IA") opposed both petitions.

On November 9, 2015, the Commission determined to review the final ID in part. Specifically the Commission determined to review the following: (1) The ID's findings on the construction, infringement, and technical prong of the domestic industry requirement for the limitation "a needle-like structure, disposed below the base"; (2) the ID's findings on induced and contributory infringement; (3) the ID's findings that the asserted claims are not invalid for a lack of written description, as anticipated by Beaulieu and the APA, or as obvious; and (4) the ID's findings on the economic prong of the domestic industry requirement. The Commission determined not to review the remaining findings in the ID. The Commission also requested briefing from the parties on the issue of pre-suit knowledge, and briefing from the parties and the public on the issues of remedy, the public interest, and bonding. The Commission