Service ("NPS"), under the Park system Resources Protection Act, 16 U.S.C. 19jj.

The proposed settlement resolves claims against the Bella Vista Restaurant and its owners and insurers (collectively, "Bella Vista"). Bella Vista is located on Skyline Boulevard, in Woodside, California, adjacent to the Phleger Estate portion of Golden Gate National Recreation Area, a unit of the NPS. NPS alleges that in an "Incident" in approximately May of 1999, Bella Vista cut down and "topped" several redwood and other trees in NPS land on the Phleger Estate.

Under the proposed settlement agreement, Bella Vista will pay \$195,000 for costs and damages. In exchange, the NPS covenants not to sue Bella Vista for the Incident.

The Department of Justice will receive, for a period of thirty (30) days from the date of this publication, comments relating to the proposed settlement agreement. Comments should be addressed to the Assistant Attorney General, Environment and Natural Resources Division, PO Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044–7611, and should refer to *In Re: Bella Vista Restaurant*, DOJ Ref. # 90–5–1–1–08450.

During the public comment period, the proposed settlement agreement may be examined on the following Department of Justice Web site: www.usdoj.gov/enrd/open.html. A copy of the proposed settlement agreement may also be obtained by mail from the Consent Decree Library, PO Box 7611, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC 20044-7611, or by faxing or emailing a request to Tonia Fleetwood, tonia.fleetwood@usdoj.gov, Fax No. (202) 514-0097, phone confirmation number (202) 514–1547. In requesting a copy from the Consent Decree Library, please enclose a check in the amount of \$0.75 (25 cents per page reproduction cost) payable to the U.S. Treasury, to obtain a copy of the Consent Decree.

Ellen M. Mahan,

Assistant Section Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section, Environment and Natural Resources Division.

[FR Doc. 05–4637 Filed 3–9–05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410-15-M

MISSISSIPPI RIVER COMMISSION

Sunshine Act Meetings

AGENCY HOLDING THE MEETINGS:
Mississippi River Commission.
TIME AND DATE: 9 a.m., April 18, 2005.
PLACE: On board MISSISSIPPI V at City
Front, New Madrid, MO.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: (1)

Summary report by President of the Commission on national and regional issues affecting the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Commission programs and projects on the Mississippi River and its tributaries; (2) District Commander's overview of current project issues within the Vicksburg District; and (3) presentations by local organizations and members of the public giving views or comments on any issue affecting the programs or projects of the Commission and the Corps of Engineers.

TIME AND DATE: 9 a.m., April 19, 2005. PLACE: On board MISSISSIPPI V at Tunica River Park, Tunica, MS. STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: (1)

Summary report by President of the Commission on national and regional issues affecting the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Commission programs and projects on the Mississippi River and its tributaries; (2) District Commander's overview of current project issues within the Memphis District; and (3) presentations by local organizations and members of the public giving views or comments on any issue affecting the programs or projects of the Commission and the Corps of Engineers.

TIME AND DATE: 9 a.m., April 20, 2005. **PLACE:** On board MISSISSIPPI V at City Front, Vicksburg, MS.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: (1)

Summary report by President of the Commission on national and regional issues affecting the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Commission programs and projects on the Mississippi River and its tributaries; (2) District Commander's overview of current project issues within the Vicksburg District; and (3) presentations by local organizations and members of the public giving views or comments on any issue affecting the programs or projects of the Commission and the Corps of Engineers.

TIME AND DATE: 9 a.m., April 22, 2005. PLACE: On board MISSISSIPPI V at New Orleans District Dock, Foot of Prytania Street, New Orleans, LA.

STATUS: Open to the public.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: (1)

Summary report by President of the Commission on national and regional issues affecting the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and Commission programs and projects on the Mississippi River and its tributaries; (2) District Commander's overview of current project issues within the New Orleans District; and (3) presentations by local organizations and members of the public giving views or comments on any issue affecting the programs or projects of the Commission and the Corps of Engineers.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Mr. Stephen Gambrell, telephone 601–634–5766.

Brenda S. Bowen,

Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.
[FR Doc. 05–4818 Filed 3–8–05; 11:36 am]
BILLING CODE 3710–GX–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

- 1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.
- 2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 73—"Physical Protection of Plants and Materials."
- 3. *The form number if applicable:* Not Applicable.
- 4. How often the collection is required: On occasion, with the exception of the initial submittal of revised Security Plans, Safeguards Contingency Plans, and Security Training and Qualification Plans. Required reports are submitted and evaluated as events occur.
- 5. Who will be required or asked to report: Nuclear power reactor licensees, licensed under 10 CFR Part 50 or 52 who possess, use, import, export, transport, or deliver to a carrier for transport, special nuclear material.
- 6. An estimate of the number of responses: 78,478.
- 7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 384.

- 8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 524,820 hours (50,212 reporting [0.64 hours per response] and 474,608 recordkeeping [1,236 hours per recordkeeper]).
- 9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104–13 applies: Not applicable.

10. Abstract: NRC regulations in 10 CFR part 73 prescribe requirements for establishment and maintenance of a physical protection system with capabilities for protection of special nuclear material at fixed sites and in transit and of plants in which special nuclear material is used. The information in the reports and records is used by the NRC staff to ensure that the health and safety of the public is protected and that licensee possession and use of special nuclear material is in compliance with license and regulatory requirements.

A copy of the final supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F23, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide web site: http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer listed below by April 11, 2005. Comments received after this date will be considered if it is practical to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given to comments received after this date.

John A. Asalone, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0002), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington DC 20503.

Comments can also be e-mailed to *JohnA.Asalone@omb.eop.gov* or submitted by telephone at (202) 395–4687.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo. Shelton, 301–415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 3rd day of March 2005.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. **Brenda Jo. Shelton**,

 $\label{eq:NRCClearance} \textit{NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services}.$

[FR Doc. 05-4668 Filed 3-9-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[IA-01-030]

In the Matter of Jack J. Spurling; Order Prohibiting Involvement in NRC-Licensed Activities

FirstEnergy Nuclear Operating
Company (FENOC or Licensee) holds
License No. NPF-58 issued by the
Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC
or Commission) pursuant to 10 CFR part
50 on November 13, 1986. The license
authorizes the operation of the Perry
Nuclear Power Plant (Perry) in
accordance with the conditions
specified therein. The facility is located
on the Licensee's site near Painesville,
Ohio.

From November 8, 1999, to May 1, 2000, Jack J. Spurling was employed as the Site Superintendent for the Williams Power Corporation (Williams Power), a contractor of the Licensee at Perry.

The NRC Office of Investigations (OI) conducted an investigation to determine if an individual previously employed as a painter by Williams Power at Perry was laid off in violation of 10 CFR 50.7 on March 9, 2000, because the painter had participated in protected activities (OI Report No. 3-2000-025). Three painters employed by Williams Power met with a FENOC maintenance supervisor at Perry on March 8, 2000, to discuss their concerns about directions given by the Williams Power general foreman to omit steps, including preparing the surface prior to applying paint, required by a licensee painting procedure for the Perry Fuel Handling Building. As a result, the FENOC maintenance supervisor prepared a condition report on March 9, 2000. The FENOC maintenance supervisor made Mr. Spurling aware of the contents of the condition report and informed Mr. Spurling that the painters wanted to meet with the Perry Ombudsman to discuss their concerns. Mr. Spurling then arranged for the painters to meet with the Ombudsman. Subsequently, upon the painters' return to the Williams Power work area after their March 9, 2000, meeting with the Ombudsman, Mr. Spurling told the painters that they could volunteer for a layoff or be terminated. As a result, two painters volunteered for layoff and the third was forced to resign. Final payroll checks for the painters had been prepared by Mr. Spurling that morning before they met with the Ombudsman, indicating that the layoff was planned by Mr. Spurling in retaliation for the painters' contacts with the FENOC maintenance supervisor and the Perry Ombudsman.

The painters' contacts with the FENOC maintenance supervisor on March 8, 2000, and the Perry Ombudsman on March 9, 2000, to discuss their concerns about adherence to procedures by Williams Power were activities protected by 10 CFR 50.7. These protected activities were a contributing factor to the threats to the three painters to accept layoff or be terminated, to the layoff of two painters and to the constructive discharge (forced resignation) of the third painter. Therefore, a Notice of Violation was issued on this date to Williams Power Corporation (EA-082) and a Notice of Violation and Proposed Civil Penalty-\$55,000 was issued on this date to the licensee (EA-01-083), both for an apparent violation of 10 CFR 50.7, "Employee Protection."

that Williams Power provide copies of the termination paychecks which Mr. Spurling had prepared for the painters. Williams Power produced two checks dated March 9, 2000, and a third check dated March 10, 2000. During a sworn transcribed interview with OI on November 2, 2000, and at the predecisional enforcement conference (PEC) on September 26, 2001, Mr. Spurling denied that he had selected the three painters for layoff because they had contacted FENOC with their

During its investigation, OI requested

concerns. Mr. Spurling also denied that he had prepared any termination paychecks prior to asking the painters to volunteer for layoff, and denied that he had destroyed one of the paychecks.

Following the PEC of September 26, 2001, the Williams Power Assistant General Counsel questioned Mr. Spurling about the termination paychecks. Mr. Spurling admitted that he had prepared the termination

paychecks on March 9, 2000, prior to asking the painters to volunteer for layoff. Mr. Spurling also admitted that he destroyed a check when one of the painters did not volunteer for layoff. The Assistant General Counsel for Williams Power initiated an inquiry and determined from payroll records that a third check was prepared on March 9, 2000, and located a witness who had been contacted by Mr. Spurling and was told by Mr. Spurling to delete the third check from the payroll record.

In a second interview with OI on January 12, 2002, Mr. Spurling verified that he had prepared termination paychecks prior to asking the painters to volunteer for layoff and that he had destroyed a check when one of the painters did not volunteer for layoff. (OI Report No. 3–2000–025S.)

The Office of Investigations presented information to the U.S. Department of