raised at the draft environmental impact statement stage but that are not raised until after completion of the final environmental impact statement may be waived or dismissed by the courts. Wisconsin Heritages, Inc. v. Harris, 490 F.Supp. 1334, 1338 (E.D. Wis. 1980). As shown by these court rulings, it is very important that those interested in this proposed action participate by the close of the 45-day comment period so that substantive comments and objections are made available to the Forest Service at a time when it can meaningfully consider them and respond to them in the final environmental impact statement.

To assist the Forest Service in identifying and considering issues and concerns on the proposed action, comments on the draft environmental impact statement should be as specific as possible. It is also helpful if comments refer to specific pages or chapters of the draft statement. Comments may also address the adequacy of the draft environmental impact statement or the merits of the alternatives formulated and discussed in the statement. Reviewers may wish to refer to the Council on Environmental Quality Regulations for implementing the procedural provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act at 40 CFR 1503.3 in addressing these points.

Dated: November 27, 2001.

Rick Prausa,

Lewis and Clark Forest Supervisor. [FR Doc. 01–29841 Filed 11–30–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410–11–M

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Rural Utilities Service

South Texas Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Notice of Finding of No Significant Impact

AGENCY: Rural Utilities Service, USDA.

ACTION: Notice of finding of no significant impact.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that the Rural Utilities Service (RUS) has made a finding of no significant impact (FONSI) with respect to a request from South Texas Electric Cooperative (STEC) for assistance from RUS to finance the construction and operation of a 177 MW combined-cycle combustion turbine generation facility in Victoria County, Texas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Dennis E. Rankin, Environmental Protection Specialist, RUS, Engineering and Environmental Staff, Stop 1571, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20250–1571, telephone: (202) 720–1953 or e-mail: drankin@rus.usda.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: STEC is proposing to construct a combined-cycle combustion turbine generation plant at the existing Sam Rayburn Plant which is located in Nursery, Texas. The 177 MW capacity project would consist of three combustion turbine units connected to a single combined-cycle unit. Approximately 5.5 acres of the existing site will be needed for the proposed project. The existing plant infrastructure will be utilized for this new generation addition including existing gas lines, cooling water ponds and switchyard.

Copies of the Environmental Assessment and FONSI are available for review at, or can be obtained from, RUS at the address provided herein, or from Mr. John Packard, STEC, FM 447, Nursery, Texas 77976, telephone: (361) 575–6491.

Dated: November 26, 2001.

Blaine D. Stockton,

Assistant Administrator, Electric Program, Rural Utilities Service.

[FR Doc. 01-29877 Filed 11-30-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding, or Suspended Investigation; Opportunity To Request Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of opportunity to request administrative review of antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Background

Each year during the anniversary month of the publication of an antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspension of investigation, an interested party, as defined in section 771(9) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, may request, in accordance with section 351.213 (2001) of the Department of Commerce (the Department) Regulations, that the Department conduct an administrative review of that antidumping or countervailing duty order, finding, or suspended investigation.

Opportunity To Request a Review

Not later than the last day of December 2001, interested parties may request administrative review of the following orders, findings, or suspended investigations, with anniversary dates in December for the following periods:

	Period
Antidumping Duty Proceedings	
Brazil:	
Certain Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings, A–351–602 Silicomanganese, A–351–824 Chile: Certain Preserved Mushrooms,A–337–804	12/1/00-11/30/01
Silicomanganese, A-351-824	12/1/00-11/30/01
Chile: Certain Preserved Mushrooms, A-337-804	12/1/00-11/30/01
India: Stainless Steel Wire Rod, A–533–808	12/1/00-11/30/01
Japan:	
Drafting Machines and Parts Thereof, A–588–811 Polychloroprene Rubber, A–588–046 P.C. Steel Wire Strand, A–588–068	12/1/00-11/30/01
Polychloroprene Rubber, A-588-046	12/1/00-11/30/01
P.C. Steel Wire Strand, A-588-068	12/1/00-11/30/01
Vector Supercomputers, A–588–841 ¹	10/1/00-9/30/01
Mexico: Porcelain-on-Steel Cooking Ware, A-201-504	12/1/00-11/30/01
Republic of Korea: Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe, A-580-810	12/1/00-11/30/01
Taiwan:	
Carbon Steel Butt-Weld Pipe Fittings, A–583–605 Porcelain-On-Steel Cooking Ware, A–583–508 Welded ASTM A–312 Stainless Steel Pipe, A–583–815	12/1/00-11/30/01
Porcelain-On-Steel Cooking Ware, A-583-508	12/1/00-11/30/01
Welded ASTM A-312 Stainless Steel Pipe, A-583-815	12/1/00-11/30/01