YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC COMPANY YANKEE ROWE INDEPENDENT SPENT FUEL STORAGE INSTALLATION DOCKET NOS. 50–029 & 72–31—Continued

Document title	ADAMS Accession No.
Issuance of Temporary Exemptions from 10 CFR Part 73, Appendix B, Section I.E. for Yankee Rowe Independent Spent Fuel Storage Installation.	ML20162A128.

The NRC may post additional materials to the Federal rulemaking website at https://www.regulations.gov, under Docket ID NRC–2020–0110. The Federal rulemaking website allows you to receive alerts when changes or additions occur in a docket folder. To subscribe: (1) Navigate to the docket folder (NRC–2020–0110); (2) click the "Sign up for Email Alerts" link; and (3) enter your email address and select how frequently you would like to receive emails (daily, weekly, or monthly).

Dated: July 8, 2020.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

John B. McKirgan,

Chief, Storage and Transportation Licensing Branch, Division of Fuel Management, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards. [FR Doc. 2020–15046 Filed 7–10–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2020-196 and CP2020-221]

New Postal Products

AGENCY: Postal Regulatory Commission. **ACTION:** Notice.

SUMMARY: The Commission is noticing a recent Postal Service filing for the Commission's consideration concerning negotiated service agreements. This notice informs the public of the filing, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

DATES: Comments are due: July 15, 2020.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at http://www.prc.gov. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202–789–6820.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Table of Contents

I. IntroductionII. Docketed Proceeding(s)

I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (http://www.prc.gov). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. Docket No(s).: MC2020–196 and CP2020–221; Filing Title: USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 637 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; Filing Acceptance Date: July 7, 2020; Filing Authority: 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through 3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; Public Representative: Kenneth R. Moeller; Comments Due: July 15, 2020.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Erica A. Barker,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–15049 Filed 7–10–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-89235; File No. SR-CboeBYX-2020-020]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Add the Consolidated Audit Trail Industry Member Compliance Rules to the List of Minor Rule Violations in Rule 8.15

July 7, 2020.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on July 2, 2020, Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "BYX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons and approving the proposal on an accelerated basis.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "BYX") proposes to add

¹ See Docket No. RM2018–3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19–22 (Order No. 4679).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

^{2 17} CFR 240.19b-4.

the Consolidated Audit Trail ("CAT") industry member compliance rules ("CAT Compliance Rules") to the list of minor rule violations in Rule 8.15. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange's website (http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule_filings/byx/), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

In order to implement the National Market System Plan Governing the Consolidated Audit Trail (the "CAT NMS Plan" or "Plan") the Exchange codified the CAT Compliance Rules in Rules 4.5 through 4.16.3 The CAT NMS Plan was filed by the Plan Participants to comply with Rule 613 of Regulation NMS under the Exchange Act,4 and each Plan Participant accordingly has adopted the same compliance rules as the Exchange's Rules 4.5 through 4.16. The common compliance rules adopted by each Plan Participant are designed to require industry members to comply with the provisions of the CAT NMS Plan, which broadly calls for industry members to record and report timely and accurate customer, order, and trade information relating to activity in NMS Securities and OTC Equity Securities.

Rule 8.15 provides for disposition of certain violations through assessment of fines in lieu of conducting a formal disciplinary proceeding. Rule 8.15.01, specifically, sets forth the list of specific

BYX Rules under which a any Member, associated person of a Member, or registered or non-registered employee of a Member may be subject to a fine for violations of such Rules. The Exchange proposes to amend Rule 8.15.01 to add the CAT Compliance Rules in Rules 4.5 through 4.16 to the list of rules in Rule 8.15.01 eligible for disposition pursuant to a minor fine; specifically, under proposed Rule 8.15.01(h).5 Proposed Rule 8.15.01(h) provides that for failures to comply with the Consolidated Audit Trail Compliance Rule requirements of Rules 4.5 through 4.16, the Exchange may impose a minor rule violation fine of up to \$2,500. The Exchange may seek other disciplinary action for more serious violations.

The Exchange is coordinating with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") and other Plan Participants to promote harmonized and consistent enforcement of all the Plan Participants' CAT Compliance Rules. The Commission recently approved a Rule 17d-2 Plan under which the regulation of CAT Compliance Rules will be allocated among Plan Participants to reduce regulatory duplication for industry members that are members of more than one Participant ("common members").6 Under the Rule 17d-2 Plan, the regulation of CAT Compliance Rules with respect to common members that are members of FINRA is allocated to FINRA. Similarly, under the Rule 17d-2 Plan, responsibility for common members of multiple other Plan Participants and not a member of FINRA will be allocated among those other Plan Participants, including to the Exchange. For those non-common members who are allocated to BYX pursuant to the Rule 17d-2 Plan, the Exchange and FINRA have entered into a Regulatory Services Agreement ("RSA") pursuant to which FINRA will assist the Exchange with conducting surveillance, investigation, examination, and

enforcement activity in connection with the CAT Compliance Rules on the Exchange's behalf. The Exchange expects that the other exchanges will be entering into similar RSAs.

The Exchange notes that this proposal is based upon the FINRA filing to amend FINRA Rule 9217 in order to add FINRA's corresponding CAT Compliance Rules to FINRA's list of rules that are eligible for minor rule violation plan treatment.7 The Exchange also notes that the New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE") submitted a filing to amend its Minor Rule Violation Plan ("MRVP") to add its CAT Compliance Rules in a manner consistent with FINRA's proposal,8 and other Plan Participants intend to submit the same. Thus, in order to achieve consistency with FINRA and the other Plan Participants, the Exchange proposes to adopt fines up to \$2,500 in connection with minor rule fines for violations of the CAT Compliance Rules (Rules 4.5 through 4.16) in proposed Rule 8.15.01(h) under the Exchange's MRVP. In connection with FINRA's proposed amendment to FINRA Rule 9217 to make FINRA's CAT Compliance Rules MRVP eligible, FINRA has stated that it will apply the minor fines for CAT Compliance Rules in the same manner that FINRA has for its similar existing audit trail-related rules.9 Accordingly, in order to promote regulatory consistency, the Exchange plans to do the same. Specifically, application of a minor fine with respect to CAT Compliance Rule violations will be guided by the same factors that FINRA references in its filing. However, more formal disciplinary proceedings may be warranted instead of minor rule dispositions in certain circumstances such as where violations prevent regulatory users of the CAT from performing their regulatory functions. Where minor rule dispositions are appropriate, the following factors help guide the determination of fine amounts:

- Total number of reports that are not submitted or submitted late;
- The timeframe over which the violations occur;
 - Whether violations are batched;
- Whether the violations are the result of the actions of one individual or

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 79944 (February 2, 2017), 82 FR 9846 (February 8, 2017) (SR-BatsBYX–2017–02); and 80256 (March 15, 2017), 82 FR 14526 (March 21, 2017) (Order Approving Proposed Rule Changes To Adopt Consolidated Audit Trail Compliance Rules).

^{4 17} CFR 242.613.

⁵ FINRA's maximum fine for minor rule violations under FINRA Rule 9216(b) is \$2,500. The Exchange will apply an identical maximum fine amount for eligible violations of Rules 4.5 through 4.16 to achieve consistency with FINRA and also amend its minor rule violation plan ("MRVP") to include such fines. Like FINRA, the Exchange would be able to pursue a fine greater than \$2,500 for violations of Rules 4.5 through 4.16 in a regular disciplinary proceeding or a letter of consent under Chapter 8 as appropriate. Any fine imposed in excess of \$2,500 or not otherwise covered by Rule 19d-1(c)(2) of the Act would be subject to prompt notice to the Commission pursuant to Rule 19d-1 under the Act. As noted below, in assessing the appropriateness of a minor rule fine with respect to CAT Compliance Rules, the Exchange will be guided by the same factors that FINRA utilizes. See text accompanying notes 7-8 [sic], infra.

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88366 (March 12, 2020), 85 FR 15238 (March 17, 2020).

 $^{^7}See$ Securities Exchange Act Release No. 88870 (May 14, 2020), 85 FR 30768 (May 20, 2020) (SR–FINRA–2020–013).

⁸ See SR–NYSE–2020–51 (filed June 12, 2020).

⁹ See supra note 7; see also FINRA Notice to Members 04–19 (March 2004) available at https:// www.finra.org/rules-guidance/notices/04-19 (providing specific factors used to inform dispositions for violations of OATS reporting rules).

the result of faulty systems or procedures:

- · Whether the firm has taken remedial measures to correct the violations:
- Prior minor rule violations within the past 24 months;
- Collateral effects that the failure has on customers; and
- Collateral effects that the failure has on the Exchange's ability to perform its regulatory function. 10

Upon effectiveness of this rule change, the Exchange will publish a regulatory bulletin notifying its Members of the rule change and the specific factors that will be considered in connection with assessing minor rule fines described above.

For the foregoing reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will result in a coordinated, harmonized approach to CAT Compliance Rule enforcement across Plan Participants that will be consistent with the approach FINRA has taken with the CAT rules.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act. 11 Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5) 12 requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section $6(b)(5)^{13}$ requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

Minor rule fines provide a meaningful sanction for minor or technical violations of rules when the conduct at issue does not warrant stronger, immediately reportable disciplinary sanctions. The inclusion of a rule in the

with respect to the violation of any Rule included

Exchange's MRVP does not minimize the importance of compliance with the rule, nor does it preclude the Exchange from choosing to pursue violations of eligible rules through a letter of consent if the nature of the violations or prior disciplinary history warrants more significant sanctions. Rather, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will strengthen the Exchange's ability to carry out its oversight and enforcement responsibilities in cases where full disciplinary proceedings are unwarranted in view of the minor nature of the particular violation. The Exchange believes the option to impose a minor rule sanction gives the Exchange additional flexibility to administer its enforcement program in the most effective and efficient manner while still fully meeting the Exchange's remedial objectives in addressing violative conduct. 14 Specifically, the proposed rule change is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices because it will provide the Exchange the ability to issue a minor rule fine for violations of the CAT Compliance Rules in Rules 4.5 through 4.16 where a more formal disciplinary action may not be warranted or appropriate consistent with the approach of other Plan Participants for the same conduct.

In connection with the fine level specified in the proposed rule change, adding proposed Rule 8.15.01(h) to specifically provide that for violations of the CAT Compliance Rules in Rules 4.5 through 4.16 the Exchange may impose a fine not to exceed \$2,500 would further the goal of transparency within the Exchange's rules. Adopting the same cap as FINRA for minor rule fines in connection with the CAT Compliance Rules would also promote regulatory consistency across self-

regulatory organizations.

The Exchange further believes that the proposed amendment to Rule 8.15.01 is consistent with Section 6(b)(6) of the Act,15 which provides that members and persons associated with members shall be appropriately disciplined for violation of the provisions of the rules of the exchange, by expulsion, suspension, limitation of activities, functions, and operations, fine, censure, being suspended or barred from being

associated with a member, or any other fitting sanction. As noted, the proposed rule change would provide the Exchange the ability to sanction minor or technical violations of Rules 4.5 through 4.16 pursuant to the Exchange's

Finally, the Exchange also believes that the proposed change is designed to provide a fair procedure for the disciplining of members and persons associated with members, consistent with Sections 6(b)(7) and 6(d) of the Act. 16 Rule 8.15 does not preclude a Member, associated person of a Member, or registered or non-registered employee of a Member from contesting an alleged violation and receiving a hearing on the matter with the same procedural rights through a litigated disciplinary proceeding.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed rule change is not intended to address competitive issues but rather is concerned solely with making the CAT Compliance Rules in Rules 4.5 through 4.16 eligible for a minor rule fine disposition, thereby strengthening the Exchange's ability to carry out its oversight and enforcement functions and deter potential violative conduct. Also, as stated above, the proposed rule change is consistent with similar proposals recently filed by FINRA and NYSE, and other Plan Participants intend to submit the same.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

• Use the Commission's internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml); or

¹⁴ Pursuant to Rule 8.15(a) and (e), the Exchange has the discretion to impose a fine in lieu of commencing a disciplinary proceeding for a violation that is minor in nature. Rule 8.15(e) states specifically that nothing in Rule 8.15 requires the Exchange to impose a fine pursuant to Rule 8.15

in any such listing 15 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(6).

¹⁰ See id.

^{11 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

^{12 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5). ¹³ Id.

^{16 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(7) and 78f(d).

• Send an email to *rule-comments@ sec.gov*. Please include File Number SR-CboeBYX-2020-020 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

• Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549–1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeBYX-2020-020. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (http://www.sec.gov/ rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeBYX-2020-020 and should be submitted on or before August 3, 2020.

IV. Commission's Findings and Order Granting Accelerated Approval of Proposed Rule Change

The Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to a national securities exchange. ¹⁷ In particular, the Commission finds that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act, ¹⁸ which requires that

the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments and to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Commission also believes that the proposal is consistent with Sections 6(b)(1) and 6(b)(6) of the Act 19 which require that the rules of an exchange enforce compliance with, and provide appropriate discipline for, violations of Commission and Exchange rules. Finally, the Commission finds that the proposal is consistent with the public interest, the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act, as required by Rule 19d-1(c)(2) under the Act,²⁰ which governs minor rule violation plans.

As stated above, the Exchange proposes to add the CAT Compliance Rules to the list of minor rule violations in Rule 8.15 to be consistent with the approach FINRA has taken for minor violations of its corresponding CAT Compliance Rules.²¹ The Commission has already approved FINRA's treatment of CAT Compliance Rules violations when it approved the addition of CAT Compliance Rules to FINRA's MRVP.²² As noted in that order, and similarly herein, the Commission believes that Exchange's treatment of CAT Compliance Rules violations as part of its MRVP provides a reasonable means of addressing violations that do not rise to the level of requiring formal disciplinary proceedings, while providing greater flexibility in handling certain violations. However, the Commission expects that, as with FINRA, the Exchange will continue to conduct surveillance with due diligence and make determinations based on its findings, on a case-by-case basis, regarding whether a sanction under the rule is appropriate, or whether a violation requires formal disciplinary action. Accordingly, the Commission believes the proposal raises no novel or significant issues.

For the same reasons discussed above, the Commission finds good cause, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act,²³ for approving the proposed rule change prior to the thirtieth day after the date of publication of the notice of the filing thereof in the Federal **Register**. The proposal merely adds the CAT Compliance Rules to the Exchange's MRVP and harmonizes its application with FINRA's application of CAT Compliance Rules under its own MRVP. Accordingly, the Commission believes that a full notice-and-comment period is not necessary before approving the proposal.

V. Conclusion

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act ²⁴ and Rule 19d–1(c)(2) thereunder,²⁵ that the proposed rule change (SR-CboeBYX–2020–020) be, and hereby is, approved on an accelerated basis.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 26

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2020–14973 Filed 7–10–20; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-89241; File No. SR-NYSEAMER-2020-47]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; NYSE American LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Change To Modify the NYSE American Options Fee Schedule

July 7, 2020.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) ¹ of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") ² and Rule 19b–4 thereunder,³ notice is hereby given that, on June 25, 2020, NYSE American LLC ("NYSE American" or the "Exchange") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

¹⁷ In approving this proposed rule change, the Commission has considered the proposed rule's impact on efficiency, competition, and capital formation. *See* 15 U.S.C. 78c(f).

^{18 15} U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(1) and 78f(b)(6).

²⁰ 17 CFR 240.19d-1(c)(2).

²¹ As discussed above, the Exchange has entered into a Rule 17d–2 Plan and an RSA with FINRA with respect to the CAT Compliance Rules. The Commission notes that, unless relieved by the Commission of its responsibility, as may be the case under the Rule 17d–2 Plan, the Exchange continues to bear the responsibility for self-regulatory conduct and liability for self-regulatory failures, not the self-regulatory organization retained to perform regulatory functions on the Exchange's behalf pursuant to an RSA. See Securities Exchange Release No. 61419 (January 26, 2010), 75 FR 5157 (February 1, 2010) (SR–BATS–2009–031), note 93 and accompanying text.

²² See supra note 7.

²³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

²⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

²⁵ 17 CFR 240.19d–1(c)(2).

²⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

^{2 15} U.S.C. 78a

^{3 17} CFR 240.19b-4.