

## POLICY JUSTIFICATION

### Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office in the United States - Cooperative Logistics Supply Support Arrangement

The Taipei Economic and Cultural Representative Office in the United States has requested the possible establishment of a Cooperative Logistics Supply Support Arrangement (CLSSA) for spare parts in support of F-5E/F, C-130H, and F-16A/B aircraft, and for U.S. systems and sub-systems of the Indigenous Defense Fighter (IDF) aircraft. The estimated cost is \$288 million.

This sale is consistent with United States law and policy as expressed in Public Law 96-8.

These spare parts are required to assure that aircraft and aircraft systems previously procured from the United States are maintained in a mission capable status. The recipient will have no difficulty utilizing these additional spare parts.

The proposed sale of this equipment and support will not affect the basic military balance in the region.

Procurement of these items will be from the many contractors providing similar items to the U.S. armed forces. There are no offset agreements proposed in connection with this potential sale.

Implementation of this proposed sale will not require the assignment of any additional U.S. Government and contractor representatives to the recipient.

There will be no adverse impact on U.S. defense readiness as a result of this proposed sale.

[FR Doc. 01-28892 Filed 11-19-01; 8:45 am]  
BILLING CODE 5001-08-C

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

##### Office of the Secretary

##### Defense Science Board

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee meetings.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Science Board will meet in closed session on February 27-28, 2002; May 15-16, 2002; and October 23-24, 2002, at the Pentagon, Arlington, Virginia.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings, the Defense Science Board will discuss interim findings and recommendations resulting from ongoing Task Force activities. The Board will also discuss plans for future consideration of scientific and technical

aspects of specific strategies, tactics, and policies as they may affect the U.S. national defense posture and homeland security.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub. L. 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II), it has been determined that these Defense Science Board meetings concern matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) and that, accordingly, these meetings will be closed to the public.

Dated: November 9, 2001.

**L.M. Bynum,**

*Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.*

[FR Doc. 01-28894 Filed 11-19-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5001-08-M

#### DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

##### Office of the Secretary

##### Defense Science Board

**AGENCY:** Department of Defense.

**ACTION:** Notice of Advisory Committee Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** The Defense Science Board (DSB) Task Force on Vulnerability

Assessment will meet in closed session on November 13-14, 2001, at SAIC, 4001 Fairfax Drive, Suite 500, Arlington, VA; and on November 29-30, 2001, at Rockefeller University, New York City, NY. The Task Force review will focus on providing the Secretary of Defense an alternative analytic perspective for assessing potential terrorist attacks on the United States within the next 12 months.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology & Logistics on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At these meetings, the Defense Science Board Task Force will focus on "out-of-the-box" thinking and scenarios to assess vulnerabilities to human life, economy, military power, domestic political morale and stability, external political influence and prestige. The Task Force will provide illustrative scenarios and suggested methods for ongoing threat and vulnerability assessments, particularly during Operation Enduring Freedom.