

and difficult to quantify, they are expected to result in meaningful reductions in transaction costs and time burdens across affected offices.

This rule does not impose new costs on, or the government. To the contrary, it reduces compliance burdens within the Department by eliminating a Department-wide policy requirement that had extended procedural obligations beyond what the APA mandates. There are no anticipated economic costs (the value to the public and regulated entities of the notice-and-comment process is implied by their voluntary submission of comments), although, and the rule does not mandate any actions from external stakeholders. The Department has considered maintaining the current policy or replacing it with a narrower procedural commitment (e.g., limiting it to certain programs). However, such options would maintain procedural rigidity and potential confusion. The rescission offers a clearer legal and operational baseline. The Department has determined that net benefits are positive, consisting of cost savings, efficiency gains, and improved flexibility, with no material offsetting costs.

#### *B. Review Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act*

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) requires preparation of a final regulatory flexibility analysis (FRFA) for any rule that by law must be proposed for public comment, unless the agency certifies that the rule, if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This rule was not required to be proposed for public comment, so no FRFA was warranted.

#### *C. Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act*

This rescission imposes no new information or record-keeping requirements governed by the Paperwork Reduction Act. (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

#### *D. Review Under Executive Order 13132*

E.O. 13132, "Federalism," 64 FR 43255 (August 10, 1999), imposes certain requirements on Federal agencies formulating and implementing policies or regulations that preempt State law or that have federalism implications. The Executive order requires agencies to examine the constitutional and statutory authority supporting any action that would limit the policymaking discretion of the States and to carefully assess the necessity for such actions. The

Executive order also requires agencies to have an accountable process to ensure meaningful and timely input by State and local officials in the development of regulatory policies that have federalism implications.

DOL has examined this rescission and has determined that it would not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

#### *E. Review Under Executive Order 12630*

Pursuant to E.O. 12630, "Governmental Actions and Interference with Constitutionally Protected Property Rights," 53 FR 8859 (March 18, 1988), DOL has determined that this rescission would not result in any takings that might require compensation under the Fifth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution.

#### *F. Review Under the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 2001*

Section 515 of the Treasury and General Government Appropriations Act, 2001 (44 U.S.C. 3516, note) provides for Federal agencies to review most disseminations of information to the public under information quality guidelines established by each agency pursuant to general guidelines issued by OMB. OMB's guidelines were published at 67 FR 8452 (Feb. 22, 2002). DOL has reviewed this rescission under the OMB and has concluded that it is consistent with applicable policies in those guidelines.

#### *G. Review Under Additional Executive Orders and Presidential Memoranda*

DOL has examined this rescission and has determined that it is consistent with the policies and directives outlined in E.O. 14154, "Unleashing American Energy," E.O. 14192, "Unleashing Prosperity Through Deregulation," and Presidential Memorandum, "Delivering Emergency Price Relief for American Families and Defeating the Cost-of-Living Crisis." This rescission is expected to be an Executive Order 14192 deregulatory action. The Department has identified fewer than ten actions covered by Section 2.7 that might have been covered by this rescission over the last ten years. Each of the actions received little-to-no public comment.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, soliciting public comments delayed

<sup>1</sup> The Department did not identify any actions that received more than four public comments. Multiple actions received no public comments at all.

implementation of updated policies and procedures without producing the benefit of significant meaningful public input. Soliciting public comment also diverted Departmental resources away from other, potentially more impactful, projects.

#### *H. Congressional Notification*

As required by 5 U.S.C. 801, DOL will report to Congress on the promulgation of this rule before its effective date. The report will state that it has been determined that the rule is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2).

#### **List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 2**

Agency procedure; Public property; Grants; Contracts; Loans; Grants; Benefits.

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, DOL amends part 2 of title 29 of the Code of Federal Regulations, as set forth below:

### **PART 2—GENERAL REGULATIONS**

- 1. The authority citation for part 2 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 301; E.O. 13198, 66 FR 8497, 3 CFR, 2001 Comp., p. 750; E.O. 13279, 67 FR 77141, 3 CFR, 2002 Comp., p. 258; E.O. 13559, 75 FR 71319, 3 CFR, 2010 Comp., p. 273; E.O. 14015, 86 FR 10007, 3 CFR, 2021 Comp., p. 517.

#### **§2.7 [Removed and Reserved]**

- 2. Remove and reserve § 2.7.

Signed: June 26, 2025.

**Keith Sonderling,**

*Deputy Secretary of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 2025–12234 Filed 6–30–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 4510-FP-P**

### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

#### **Occupational Safety and Health Administration**

#### **29 CFR Parts 1911 and 1912**

**[Docket No. OSHA–2025–0040]**

**RIN 1218–AD72**

#### **Construction Standards—Advisory Committee on Construction Safety and Health**

**AGENCY:** Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA), Labor.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This final rule revokes 29 CFR 1911.10, which required the Assistant Secretary for Occupational Safety and Health (Assistant Secretary), who heads OSHA, to consult with the Advisory Committee on Construction Safety and

Health (ACCSH) in the formulation of rules to promulgate, modify, or revoke standards applicable to construction work, and 29 CFR 1912.3, the general OSHA regulations governing ACCSH. This final rule also makes corresponding changes to 29 CFR 1911.11, 29 CFR 1911.15, 29 CFR 1912.8, and 29 CFR 1912.9. OSHA is revoking 29 CFR 1911.10 and 29 CFR 1912.3 because these regulations impose requirements on the Assistant Secretary that are more burdensome than those mandated by statute, and compliance with these regulations would needlessly delay the Secretary of Labor's (Secretary) regulatory agenda. These changes will ensure that ACCSH is able to advise the Secretary on potential regulatory actions without adversely affecting the agency's regulatory timeline.

**DATES:** This final rule is effective July 1, 2025.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

*For press inquiries:* Contact Frank Meilinger, Director, OSHA Office of Communications, Occupational Safety and Health Administration; telephone: (202) 693-1999; email: [meilinger.francis2@dol.gov](mailto:meilinger.francis2@dol.gov).

*General information and technical inquiries:* Contact Andrew Levinson, Director, OSHA Directorate of Standards and Guidance, Occupational Safety and Health Administration; telephone: (202) 693-1950; email: [osha.dsg@dol.gov](mailto:osha.dsg@dol.gov).

*Copies of this Federal Register notice:* Electronic copies are available at <https://www.regulations.gov>. This **Federal Register** notice, as well as news releases and other relevant information, also are available at OSHA's web page at <https://www.osha.gov>. A "100-word summary" is also available on <https://www.regulations.gov>.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION**

**Table of Contents**

- I. Executive Summary
- II. Pertinent Legal Authority
- III. Summary and Explanation of Requirements
- IV. Additional Requirements
  - A. Paperwork Reduction Act
  - B. Environmental Impacts/National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)
  - C. Other Statutory and Executive Order Considerations
- V. Authority and Signature

**I. Executive Summary**

The intent of this final rule is to remove unnecessary procedural requirements that are contrary to the Secretary's interest in moving forward quickly with deregulatory actions in accordance with Executive Order (E.O.) 14192, "Unleashing Prosperity Through

Deregulation" (90 FR 9065, Feb. 6, 2025) and which are not statutorily required. The final rule removes the procedural requirements at 29 CFR 1911.10 and 29 CFR 1912.3 and makes corresponding revisions to other provisions referencing 29 CFR 1911.10, 29 CFR 1912.3, and the Construction Safety Act.

**II. Pertinent Legal Authority**

The Construction Safety Act (CSA), 40 U.S.C. 3704 (formerly 40 U.S.C. 333), created the Advisory Committee on Construction Safety and Health (ACCSH) to advise the Secretary on standard-setting and other matters. Section 3704(d)(4) provides that ACCSH "shall advise the Secretary (A) in formulating construction safety and health standards and other regulations; and (B) on policy matters arising in carrying out this section."

OSHA promulgated regulations implementing the CSA, including 29 CFR 1911.10 and 1912.3. However, these regulations include unnecessary requirements which unduly inhibit the Secretary from moving forward expeditiously with her priorities. Other parts of the rescinded provisions are simply duplicative of requirements contained within the CSA, the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (OSH Act) (29 U.S.C. 651 *et seq.*), or OSHA's other regulations. For example, 29 CFR 1911.10 contains specific rules of procedure for promulgating, modifying, or revoking construction-related occupational safety or health standards. These rules are in many ways duplicative of the general rulemaking procedures contained in 29 CFR 1911.11, which apply to the promulgation, modification, or revocation of standards applicable to employments other than those in construction work, and OSHA finds that the few requirements that are contained within 29 CFR 1911.10 that vary from those in 29 CFR 1911.11 are unnecessary. Consequently, OSHA is revoking 29 CFR 1911.10, and revising 29 CFR 1911.11 to apply the general rulemaking procedures described therein to the promulgation, modification, or revocation of standards applicable to construction work. Further, OSHA is making minor modifications to three other regulations which reference 29 CFR 1911.10, 29 CFR 1912.3, or the CSA: 29 CFR 1911.15; 29 CFR 1912.8; and 29 CFR 1912.9.

Similarly, 29 CFR 1912.3 imposes additional requirements which are more burdensome than those mandated by the CSA. For example, 29 CFR 1912.3(b) expanded the committee's membership from the nine members required by the

CSA to fifteen members. Per section 1912.3(c)(2), OSHA expanded the committee because "[g]reater membership and greater representation serve the public interest and avoid[] possible injustice by permitting for the most part the use of one advisory committee . . . in situations where both the [CSA] and [the OSH Act] may be expected to apply to construction activity. . . ." That same provision also indicated that the expanded membership serves the public interest and avoids possible injustice "by affording a greater opportunity for representation on the Advisory Committee within the construction industry."

However, as to the use of a single committee, this regulation is unnecessary because although the Secretary is only required to consult with ACCSH in formulating standards under the CSA, the Committee's role in advising the Secretary is not limited to standards formulated under the CSA. 40 U.S.C. 3704(a)(2), (d)(4). Specifically, per 40 U.S.C. 3704(d)(4), ACCSH was created to advise the Secretary "in formulating construction safety and health standards and other regulations," not just those standards formulated under the CSA. ACCSH is also tasked with advising the Secretary on policy matters arising in carrying out the CSA. 40 U.S.C. 3704(d)(4)(B). One such policy matter is the need for consistency between construction standards promulgated pursuant to the CSA and those promulgated pursuant to the OSH Act. Thus, if OSHA wished to consult the committee, ACCSH would have the authority to advise on construction standards promulgated under the OSH Act, as well as those under the CSA.

As to affording a greater opportunity for representation, in the decades since the promulgation of this regulation, OSHA has noted that stakeholders in the construction industry (including stakeholders in all of the membership categories created by 29 CFR 1912.3(b)(1)-(4)) have robustly participated in OSHA rulemakings, not only through serving on ACCSH but also through, among other things, submitting comments on Requests for Information and Notices of Proposed Rulemaking and testifying at OSHA's informal rulemaking hearings. Given that, OSHA finds that the nine-member committee contemplated by Congress provides sufficient committee representation to stakeholders in this important industry. Further, OSHA finds that this change is in line with the administration's policy of reducing the size of the American government while increasing accountability to the American people.

See E.O. 14217, “Commencing the Reduction of the Federal Bureaucracy” (Feb. 19, 2025).

The other provisions contained in 29 CFR 1912.3, *e.g.*, those establishing membership terms, cover topics that are within the Secretary’s discretion and are already covered in the Committee’s current charter or can be covered in future revisions of that charter.

This final rule constitutes a rule of agency organization, practice, or procedure. Hence, notice-and-comment procedures are not required. 5 U.S.C. 553(b). These amendments are to take effect immediately. Given the technical and procedural nature of these amendments, and the Secretary’s interest in moving quickly to implement E.O. 14192, the agency finds that it is unnecessary to provide 30 days before this rule takes effect and hence has good cause for making the effective date immediate pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3).

### III. Summary and Explanation of Requirements

The Assistant Secretary is revoking 29 CFR 1911.10 and 29 CFR 1912.3 in their entirety and making corresponding changes to 29 CFR 1911.11 to enable her to implement E.O. 14192 as expeditiously as possible, while still complying with the CSA’s consultation requirements. Revoking 29 CFR 1911.10 and 29 CFR 1912.3 is necessary because these regulations unnecessarily limit the Secretary from moving forward expeditiously with her deregulatory agenda.

The Assistant Secretary is revoking 29 CFR 1911.10 in its entirety, which includes revoking general procedures for rulemaking in the construction context, *e.g.*, procedures for notice, comments, and hearings. Previously, 29 CFR 1911.10(e) allowed the Assistant Secretary to follow the procedures in 29 CFR 1911.11 in any event, so the Secretary is revising 29 CFR 1911.11 to make clear that the procedures therein are applicable to the promulgation, modification, or revocation of construction standards in the absence of the procedures previously described in 29 CFR 1911.10.

The Assistant Secretary is also revoking 29 CFR 1912.3 in its entirety. Among other things, this change will decrease the committee’s membership from fifteen members to the nine members envisioned by Congress when it promulgated the CSA. Further, the Assistant Secretary is revising three other regulations which reference 29 CFR 1911.10, 29 CFR 1912.3, or the CSA, *i.e.*, 29 CFR 1911.15, 29 CFR 1912.8, and 29 CFR 1912.9.

### IV. Additional Requirements

#### A. OMB Review Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA)

The PRA defines “collection of information” to mean “the obtaining, causing to be obtained, soliciting, or requiring the disclosure to third parties or the public, of facts or opinions by or for an agency, regardless of form or format” (44 U.S.C. 3502(3)(A)). Under the PRA, a Federal agency cannot conduct or sponsor a collection of information unless it is approved by OMB under the PRA and the agency displays a currently valid OMB control number (44 U.S.C. 3507). Also, notwithstanding any other provisions of law, no person shall be subject to penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if the collection of information does not display a currently valid OMB control number (44 U.S.C. 3512(a)(1)). The process for OMB approval is found in 5 CFR part 1320.

This final rule imposes no new information collection requirements and thus the PRA does not apply.

#### B. Environmental Impacts/National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA)

OSHA has reviewed this final rule according to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*), as amended by the Fiscal Responsibility Act of 2023 (Pub. L. 118–5, 321, 137 Stat. 10), and the Department of Labor’s NEPA procedures (29 CFR part 11). OSHA has determined that this final rule will have no impact on the quality of the human environment.

#### C. Other Statutory and Executive Order Considerations

OSHA has examined this final rule and has determined that it is consistent with the policies and directives outlined in E.O. 14192. This procedural rule is expected to facilitate deregulatory actions under the Executive Order.

The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*) requires preparation of an initial regulatory flexibility analysis (IRFA) and a final regulatory flexibility analysis (FRFA) for any rule that by law must be proposed for public comment, unless the agency certifies that the rule, if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The notice and comment rulemaking procedures of section 553 of the APA do not apply “to interpretative rules, general statements of policy, or rules of agency organization, procedure, or practice.” 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(A). Rules that are exempt from APA notice and

comment requirements are also exempt from the Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA). See SBA Office of Advocacy, A Guide for Government Agencies: How to Comply with the Regulatory Flexibility Act, at 9; also found at: <https://www.sba.gov/advocacy/guide-government-agencies-how-comply-regulatory-flexibility-act>. This is a rule of agency organization, procedure, and practice within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. 553; and, therefore, the rule is exempt from both the notice and comment rulemaking procedures of the APA and the requirements under the RFA.

Executive Order 12866, “Regulatory Planning and Review,” 58 FR 51735 (Oct. 4, 1993), requires agencies, to the extent permitted by law, to (1) propose or adopt a regulation only upon a reasoned determination that its benefits justify its costs (recognizing that some benefits and costs are difficult to quantify); (2) tailor regulations to impose the least burden on society, consistent with obtaining regulatory objectives, taking into account, among other things, and to the extent practicable, the costs of cumulative regulations; (3) select, in choosing among alternative regulatory approaches, those approaches that maximize net benefits; (4) to the extent feasible, specify performance objectives, rather than specifying the behavior or manner of compliance that regulated entities must adopt; and (5) identify and assess available alternatives to direct regulation, including providing economic incentives to encourage the desired behavior, such as user fees or marketable permits, or providing information upon which choices can be made by the public. Section 6(a) of E.O. 12866 also requires agencies to submit “significant regulatory actions” to OIRA for review. OIRA has determined that this final rule does not constitute a “significant regulatory action” under section 3(f) of E.O. 12866. Accordingly, this final rule was not submitted to OIRA for review under E.O. 12866.

OSHA has considered its obligations under the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA) (2 U.S.C. 1501 *et seq.*), and the Executive Orders on Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments (E.O. 13175, 65 FR 67249 (Nov. 6, 2000)), Federalism (E.O. 13132, 64 FR 43255 (Aug. 10, 1999)), and Protection of Children From Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks (E.O. 13045, 62 FR 19885 (Apr. 23, 1997)). Given that this is a procedural rule that will have no economic impacts, and that the action does not constitute a policy that has federalism or tribal implications, OSHA has determined that no further agency

action or analysis is required to comply with these statutes and executive orders.

## V. Authority and Signature

Amanda Laihow, Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health, authorized the preparation of this document under the authority granted by Sections 4, 6, and 8 of the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 653, 655, 657); sections 1 and 4 of the Walsh–Healey Public Contracts Act (41 U.S.C. 35, 38); sections 2 and 4 of the Service Contracts Act of 1965 (41 U.S.C. 351, 353); section 107 of the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (Construction Safety Act) (40 U.S.C. 333); section 41 of the Longshoremen’s and Harbor Workers’ Compensation Act (33 U.S.C. 941); section 5(j)(2) of the National Foundation on Arts and Humanities Act (20 U.S.C. 954(j)(2)); 5 U.S.C. 553; and Secretary of Labor’s Order No. 8–2020 (85 FR 58393); as applicable.

## List of Subjects

### 29 CFR Part 1911

Administrative practice and procedure, Occupational safety and health.

### 29 CFR Part 1912

Advisory committees, Freedom of information, Occupational safety and health.

Dated: June 25, 2025.

**Amanda Laihow,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary of Labor for Occupational Safety and Health.*

For the reasons set forth in the preamble, OSHA is amending parts 1911 and 1912 as follows:

## PART 1911—RULES OF PROCEDURE FOR PROMULGATING, MODIFYING, OR REVOKING OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY OR HEALTH STANDARDS

- 1. The authority for part 1911 is revised to read as follows:

**Authority:** Secs. 4, 6, 8, Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (29 U.S.C. 653, 655, 657); secs. 1, 4, Walsh–Healey Public Contracts Act (41 U.S.C. 35, 38); secs. 2, 4, Service Contracts Act of 1965 (41 U.S.C. 351, 353); sec. 107, Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (Construction Safety Act) (40 U.S.C. 333); sec. 41, Longshoremen’s and Harbor Workers’ Compensation Act (33 U.S.C. 941); sec. 5(j)(2), National Foundation on Arts and Humanities Act (20 U.S.C. 954(j)(2)); 5 U.S.C. 553; Secretary of Labor’s Order No. 12–71 (36 FR 8754), 8–76 (41 FR 25059), 9–83 (48 FR 35736), or 8–2020 (85 FR 58393), as applicable. Sections 1911.12 and 1911.18 also issued under 29 CFR part 1911.

### § 1911.10 [Removed and Reserved]

- 2. Remove and reserve § 1911.10.

- 3. Amend § 1911.11 by revising the section heading and revising and republishing the introductory text and paragraph (a) to read as follows:

### § 1911.11 Standards.

The Assistant Secretary may promulgate, modify, or revoke a standard in the following manner:

(a) The Assistant Secretary may request the recommendations of an advisory committee appointed under section 7 of the Act or other statutory authority. In such event, the Assistant Secretary shall submit to the committee any proposal of his own or of the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, together with all pertinent factual information available to him, including the results of research, demonstrations, and experiments. The committee shall submit to the Assistant Secretary its recommendations regarding the rule to be promulgated within the period prescribed by the Assistant Secretary, which in no event shall be longer than 270 days.

\* \* \* \* \*

- 4. Amend § 1911.15 by revising and republishing paragraph (a)(1) to read as follows:

### § 1911.15 Nature of hearing.

(a) \* \* \*

(1) The legislative history of section 6 indicates that Congress intended informal rather than formal rulemaking procedures to apply. See the Conference Report, H. Rept. No. 91–1765, 91st Cong., second sess., 34 (1970). The informality of the proceedings is also suggested by the fact that section 6(b) permits the making of a decision on the basis of written comments alone (unless an objection to a rule is made and a hearing is requested), the use of advisory committees, and the inherent legislative nature of the tasks involved. For these reasons, the proceedings pursuant to § 1911.11 shall be informal.

\* \* \* \* \*

## PART 1912—ADVISORY COMMITTEES ON STANDARDS

- 5. The authority for part 1912 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 29 U.S.C. 653, 655, 656, 657; 5 U.S.C. 553; 5 U.S.C. App. 2; 40 U.S.C. 333; Secretary of Labor’s Order No. 12–71 (36 FR 8754), 8–76 (41 FR 25059), 9–83 (48 FR 35736), 3–2000 (65 FR 50017), or 8–2020 (85 FR 58393), as applicable.

### § 1912.3 [Removed and Reserved]

- 6. Remove and reserve § 1912.3.

- 7. Amend § 1912.8 by revising and republishing paragraph (b)(9) to read as follows:

### § 1912.8 Committee Charters.

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) \* \* \*

(9) The committee’s termination date or other fixed period of termination, if less than 2 years; and

\* \* \* \* \*

### § 1912.9 [Amended]

- 8. Amend § 1912.9 by removing paragraph (d).

[FR Doc. 2025–12011 Filed 6–30–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510–26–P

## DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

### Office of the Secretary of Labor

### 29 CFR Part 37

### RIN 1291–AA46

## Rescission of Nondiscrimination and Equal-Opportunity Provisions of the Workforce Investment Act

**AGENCY:** Office of the Secretary, Labor.

**ACTION:** Direct final rule (DFR); request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Labor (the Department) is rescinding its regulations implementing the Workforce Investment Act of 1998 (WIA) containing the nondiscrimination and equal-opportunity provisions of WIA. In 2014, Congress passed the Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act (WIOA), which repealed WIA and required the Secretary of Labor to transition any authority under WIA to the system created by WIOA. Therefore, the Department is taking this action to remove regulations for a program that is no longer operative.

**DATES:** The final rule is effective September 2, 2025, unless significant adverse comments are received by July 31, 2025. Significant adverse comments are ones which oppose the rule and raise, alone or in combination, a serious enough issue related to each of the independent grounds for the rule that a substantive response is required. If significant adverse comments are received, notification will be published in the **Federal Register** before the effective date either withdrawing the rule or issuing a new final rule which responds to significant adverse comments.

**ADDRESSES:** Comments may be submitted, identified by Regulatory Information Number (RIN) 1291–AA46, by the following method:

- *Federal eRulemaking Portal:* <https://www.regulations.gov>. Search for the above-referenced RIN, open the