

exists for the period March 1, 2021, through February 28, 2022:

| Producer/exporter | Weighted-average dumping margin (percent) |
|---------------------------------|---|
| Thai Premium Pipe Co. Ltd | 0.71 |

Disclosure

Because Commerce received no comments on the *Preliminary Results*, we have not modified our analysis and no decision memorandum accompanies this **Federal Register** notice. We are adopting the *Preliminary Results* as the final results of this review. Consequently, there are no new calculations to disclose in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b) for these final results.

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.212(b), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review. We intend to instruct CBP to apply the importer-specific *ad valorem* assessment rates we calculated for the *Preliminary Results* on the basis of the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for each importer's examined sales and the total entered value of those same sales in accordance with 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1).⁴ If the importer-specific assessment rate is zero or *de minimis*, then Commerce will instruct CBP to liquidate such entries without regard to antidumping duties.

For entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by TPP, for which it did not know that its merchandise was destined for the United States, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.

Commerce intends to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of these final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a

statutory injunction has expired (*i.e.*, within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective upon publication in the **Federal Register** of the notice of final results of administrative review for all shipments of CWP from Thailand entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the date of publication as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for TPP will be equal to the weighted-average dumping margin established in the final results of this review; (2) for merchandise exported by a company not covered in this review but covered in a prior completed segment of the proceeding, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published in the completed segment for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review or another completed segment of this proceeding, but the producer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the company-specific rate established for the completed segment for the most recent period for the producer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other producers or exporters will continue to be 15.67 percent, the all-others rate established in the less-than-fair-value investigation.⁵

These cash deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the POR. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in Commerce's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Administrative Protective Order

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to an administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply

with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing the final results of this review in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 351.221(b)(5).

Dated: June 5, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

[FR Doc. 2023-12351 Filed 6-8-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[C-533-818, C-560-806, C-580-837]

Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate From India, Indonesia, and the Republic of Korea: Final Results of Expedited Fourth Sunset Reviews of Countervailing Duty Orders

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) finds that revocation of the countervailing duty (CVD) orders on certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate (CTL plate) from India, Indonesia, and the Republic of Korea (Korea) would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidy at the levels indicated in the "Final Results of Review" section of this notice.

DATES: Applicable June 9, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kate Sliney, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2433.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On February 10, 2000, the U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) published the CVD orders on CTL plate from Korea, India and Indonesia.¹ On February 1, 2023, Commerce initiated sunset reviews of the *Orders*, pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).² Commerce

⁴ See *Antidumping Proceedings: Calculation of the Weighted-Average Dumping Margin and Assessment Rate in Certain Antidumping Proceedings: Final Modification*, 77 FR 8101, 8103 (February 14, 2012).

⁵ See *Order*.

¹ See *Notice of Amended Final Determinations: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from India and the Republic of Korea; and Notice of Countervailing Duty Orders: Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from France, India, Indonesia, Italy, and the Republic of Korea*, 65 FR 6587 (February 10, 2000) (*Orders*).

² See *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 88 FR 6700, (February 1, 2023).

received notices of intent to participate in each of these reviews from the following domestic interested parties: Cleveland-Cliffs Inc. (Cleveland-Cliffs), Nucor Corporation (Nucor), and SSAB Enterprises LLC (SSAB) (collectively, the domestic interested parties) within the deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(1)(i).³ The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act.⁴

Commerce received adequate substantive responses from the domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in 19 CFR 351.218(d)(3)(i).⁵ Commerce did not receive a substantive response from any government or respondent interested party to these proceedings. On March 23, 2023, Commerce notified the U.S. International Trade Commission that it did not receive an adequate substantive response from respondent interested parties.⁶ As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(3)(B) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), Commerce

³ See Cleveland-Cliffs Letter, "Five-Year ("Sunset") Reviews Of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders On Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from India, Indonesia, and Korea: Notice Of Intent To Participate In Sunset Reviews," dated February 9, 2023 (Cleveland-Cliffs Notice of Intent); see also Nucor's Letters, "Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from Indonesia: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review," dated February 15, 2023; "Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from Indonesia: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review," dated February 15, 2023; and "Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Intent to Participate in Sunset Review," dated February 15, 2023 (collectively, Nucor's Notice of Intent); "SSAB's Letters, "Notice of Intent to Participate in the Fourth Five-Year Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from Korea," dated February 7, 2023; "Notice of Intent to Participate in the Fourth Five-Year Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from India," dated February 7, 2023; and "Notice of Intent to Participate in the Fourth Five-Year Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from Indonesia," dated February 7, 2023 (collectively, SSAB's Notice of Intent).

⁴ See Cleveland-Cliffs Notice of Intent at 2; see also Nucor's Notice of Intent at 2; and SSAB's Notice of Intent at 2.

⁵ See Domestic Interested Parties' Letters, "Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea: Substantive Response to Notice of Initiation of Sunset Review," dated March 2, 2023; "Fourth Five-Year ("Sunset") Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from India: Domestic Interested Parties' Substantive Response," dated March 3, 2023; and "Five-Year ("Sunset") Review Of Countervailing Duty Order On Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from Indonesia: Domestic Industry Substantive Response," dated February 27, 2023.

⁶ See Commerce's Letter, "Sunset Reviews Initiated on February 1, 2023," dated March 23, 2023.

determined that the respondent interested parties did not provide an adequate response to the notice of initiation and, therefore, conducted expedited (120-day) sunset reviews of the *Orders*.

Scope of the Orders

The product covered by the *Orders* is certain cut-to-length carbon-quality steel plate from Korea, India and Indonesia. For a complete description of the scope of the *Orders*, see the Issues and Decision Memoranda.⁷

Analysis of the Comments Received

A complete discussion of all issues raised in these sunset reviews, including the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of subsidization in the event of revocation of the *Orders* and the countervailable subsidy rates likely to prevail if the *Orders* were to be revoked, is provided in the Issues and Decision Memoranda. A list of topics discussed in each Issues and Decision Memoranda is included as the appendix to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memoranda are public documents and are on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, complete versions of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Final Results of Sunset Reviews

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 52(b) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the CVD order on CTL plate from Korea would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidies at the following net countervailable subsidy rates:

| Producers/exporters | Subsidy rate (percent ad valorem) |
|---|---|
| Dongkuk Steel Mill, Ltd. (DSM) | 2.02 |
| All Others | 1.99 |

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 52(b) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the CVD order on CTL plate from India would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidies at the following net countervailable subsidy rates:

| Producers/exporters | Subsidy rate (percent ad valorem) |
|--|---|
| Steel Authority of India (SAIL) | 12.82 |
| All Others | 12.82 |

Pursuant to sections 751(c)(1) and 52(b) of the Act, Commerce determines that revocation of the CVD order on CTL plate from Indonesia would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of a countervailable subsidies at the following net countervailable subsidy rates:

| Producers/exporters | Subsidy rate (percent ad valorem) |
|-------------------------------|---|
| P.T. Krakatau Steel | 47.71 |
| All Others ⁸ | 15.90 |

Administrative Protective Order (APO)

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to an APO of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305, which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

Notification to Interested Parties

Commerce is issuing and publishing these final results and this notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752(b), and 777(i)(1) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2).

⁸ P.T. Gunawan Steel and P.T. Jaya Pari were excluded from the CVD order because they received a *de minimis* net subsidy rate of 0.00 percent *ad valorem*. See *Final Affirmative Countervailing Duty Determination: Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from Indonesia*, 64 FR 73155 (December 29, 1999).

⁷ See Memoranda, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Fourth Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Cut-To-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from the Republic of Korea," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice; "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Fourth Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from India," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice; and "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the Fourth Expedited Sunset Review of the Countervailing Duty Order on Certain Cut-to-Length Carbon-Quality Steel Plate from Indonesia," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (collectively, Issues and Decision Memoranda).

Dated: May 25, 2023.

Lisa W. Wang,

Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memoranda

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the *Order*
- IV. History of the *Order*
- V. Legal Framework
- VI. Discussion of the Issues
 - 1: Likelihood of Continuation of Recurrence of a Countervailable Subsidy
 - 2: Net Countervailable Subsidy Rates Likely to Prevail
 - 3: Nature of the Subsidy
- VII. Final Results of Sunset Review
- VIII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2023–12320 Filed 6–8–23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–533–867]

Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe From India: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that welded stainless pressure pipe (WSPP) from India was sold in the United States at less than normal value during the period of review (POR), November 1, 2020, through October 31, 2021.

DATES: Applicable June 9, 2023.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Conniff, AD/CVD Operations, Office III, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1009.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On December 6, 2022, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results* for this review in the **Federal Register** and invited interested parties to comment on those results.¹ On January 19, 2023, Commerce received case briefs from Ratnamani Metals & Tubes Ltd.

¹ See *Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India: Preliminary Results and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2020–2021*, 87 FR 74602 (December 6, 2022) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

(Ratnamani) and Apex Tubes Private Ltd. (Apex).² On January 31, 2023, Felker Brothers Corporation (the petitioner) submitted a rebuttal brief.³ For a summary of the events that occurred since the *Preliminary Results*, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁴ Commerce conducted this review in accordance with section 751(a)(1)(B) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order⁵

The products covered by the scope of the *Order* are WSPP from India. For a complete description of the scope, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.⁶

Rates for Companies Not Selected for Individual Examination

For the rate for non-selected respondents in an administrative review, generally, Commerce looks to section 735(c)(5) of the Act, which provides instructions for calculating the all-others rate in a market economy investigation. Under section 735(c)(5)(A) of the Act, the all-others rate is normally “an amount equal to the weighted-average of the estimated weighted-average dumping margins established for exporters and producers individually investigated, excluding any zero or *de minimis* margins, and any margins determined entirely {on the basis of facts available}.” In this segment of the proceeding, we have calculated a weighted-average dumping margin that is not zero, *de minimis*, or determined entirely on the basis of facts available for Ratnamani. Accordingly, Commerce is assigning Ratnamani’s rate to the companies not selected for individual examination, which are listed below.

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in the case and rebuttal briefs are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. A list of the issues discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum is attached at Appendix I to this notice. The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically

² See Ratnamani’s Letter, “Ratnamani’s Case Brief,” dated January 19, 2023; see also Apex’s Letter, “Case Brief,” dated January 19, 2023.

³ See Petitioner’s Letter, “Petitioner’s Rebuttal Brief,” dated January 31, 2023.

⁴ See Memorandum, “Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India; 2020–2021,” dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁵ See *Welded Stainless Pressure Pipe from India: Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Orders*, 81 FR 81062 (November 17, 2016) (*Order*).

⁶ See Issues and Decision Memorandum.

via Enforcement and Compliance’s Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <https://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on our review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding the *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the margin calculation for Ratnamani, as well as the rate applied to the non-selected companies. For a discussion of these changes, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

Final Results of Review

Commerce determines that the following weighted-average dumping margins exist for the period November 1, 2020, through October 31, 2021:

| Producer/exporter | Weighted-average dumping margin (percent) |
|---|---|
| Ratnamani Metals & Tubes Ltd .. | 7.57 |
| Non-Selected Companies ⁷ | 7.57 |

Disclosure

Commerce intends to disclose the calculations performed for these final results to interested parties in this review under administrative protective order (APO) within five days of the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rate

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(A) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce shall determine, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries covered by this review. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), for Ratnamani, we calculated importer-specific antidumping duty assessment rates by aggregating the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales of each importer and dividing each of these amounts by the total entered value associated with those sales. Where either the respondent’s weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer specific

⁷ See Appendix II for a full list of the companies not individually examined in this review.