

Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2004-14-13 Boeing: Amendment 39-13722. Docket 2003-NM-82-AD.

Applicability: Model 747-100, 747-200B, 747-200C, 747-200F, 747-300, 747-400, 747-400D, 747-400F, and 747 SR series airplanes, as listed in Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-26A2272, dated January 16, 2003; certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent fractured discharge heads, which could cause the fire extinguishing agent to leak, which could result in an uncontrolled engine fire that could spread to the strut and wing, or an uncontrolled auxiliary power unit (APU) fire that could spread to the airplane structure, accomplish the following:

Inspection and Replacement

(a) Within two years after the effective date of this AD: Perform an inspection to determine the part number (P/N) of the fire extinguisher bottles in the engine and the APU per the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-26A2272, dated January 16, 2003.

Note 1: Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-26A2272 refers to Kidde Aerospace Service Bulletin A820400-26-432, dated October 19, 2002; and Kidde Aerospace Service Bulletin A830800-26-433, dated October 19, 2002; as additional sources of service information for accomplishment of the inspection and replacement, if necessary, for Model 747-100, 747-200B, 747-200C, 747-200F, 747-300, 747-400, 747-400D, 747-400F, and 747SR series airplanes; as applicable.

(1) If no "Pre SB A820400-26-432" P/N listed in Table 2 of Kidde Aerospace Service Bulletin A820400-26-432, dated October 19, 2002, is found installed; and if no "Pre SB A830800-26-433" P/N listed in Table 2 of Kidde Aerospace Service Bulletin A830800-26-433, dated October 19, 2002, is found installed; no further action is required by this paragraph.

(2) If any "Pre SB A820400-26-432" P/N listed in Table 2 of Kidde Aerospace Service Bulletin A820400-26-432, dated October 19, 2002, is found installed; or if any "Pre SB A830800-26-433" P/N listed in Table 2 of Kidde Aerospace Service Bulletin A830800-26-433, dated October 19, 2002, is found installed; prior to further flight, replace the fire extinguisher bottle with a new fire extinguisher bottle having the "Post SB" P/N listed in Table 2 of the applicable Kidde Aerospace service bulletin. Do the actions per the Accomplishment Instructions of Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-26A2272, dated January 16, 2003.

Parts Installation

(b) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install on any airplane a Kidde Aerospace fire extinguisher bottle with any "Pre SB A820400-26-432" P/N listed in Table 2 of Kidde Aerospace Service Bulletin A820400-26-432, dated October 19, 2002; or any "Pre SB A830800-26-433" P/N listed in Table 2 of Kidde Aerospace Service Bulletin A830800-26-433, dated October 19, 2002.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(c) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance (AMOCs) for this AD.

Incorporation by Reference

(d) The actions shall be done in accordance with Boeing Alert Service Bulletin 747-26A2272, dated January 16, 2003. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Boeing Commercial Airplanes, P.O. Box 3707, Seattle, Washington 98124-2207. Copies may be inspected at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call 202-741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

Effective Date

(e) This amendment becomes effective on August 17, 2004.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 30, 2004.

Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04-15512 Filed 7-12-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-339-AD; Amendment 39-13727; AD 2004-14-18]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Bombardier Model DHC-8-102, -103, and -106 Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment adopts a new airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Bombardier Model DHC-8-102, -103, and -106 airplanes, that requires repetitive detailed inspections of the left and right aileron tab actuator arm channels for cracking, and corrective actions if necessary. This proposal also provides an optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections. This action is necessary to prevent increased roll forces due to cracking of the left and right aileron tab actuator arms, which could be interpreted by the pilot as a flight control problem and might lead to loss of control of the airplane. This action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective August 17, 2004.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications listed in the regulations is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of August 17, 2004.

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Bombardier, Inc., Bombardier Regional Aircraft Division, 123 Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario M3K 1Y5, Canada. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the New York Aircraft Certification Office, 1600 Stewart Avenue, suite 410, Westbury, New York; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Richard Beckwith, Aerospace Engineer, Airframe and Propulsion Branch, ANE-171, FAA, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), 1600 Stewart

Ave., Westbury, NY 11590; telephone (516) 228-7306; fax (516) 794-5531.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) to include an airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Bombardier Model DHC-8-102, -103, and -106 airplanes was published in the **Federal Register** on May 5, 2004 (69 FR 25041). That action proposed repetitive detailed inspections of the left and right aileron tab actuator arm channels for cracking, and corrective actions if necessary. That action also proposed an optional terminating action for the repetitive inspections.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. No comments have been submitted on the proposed AD or on the determination of the cost to the public.

Conclusion

After careful review of the available data, the FAA has determined that air safety and the public interest require the adoption of the rule as proposed.

Cost Impact

We estimate that 30 airplanes of U.S. registry will be affected by this AD, that it will take approximately 1 work hour per airplane to accomplish each required repetitive inspection, and that the average labor rate is \$65 per work hour. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$1,950, or \$65 per airplane, per inspection.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted. The cost impact figures discussed in AD rulemaking actions represent only the time necessary to perform the specific actions actually required by the AD. These figures typically do not include incidental costs, such as the time required to gain access and close up, planning time, or time necessitated by other administrative actions.

Regulatory Impact

The regulations adopted herein will not have a substantial direct effect on the States, on the relationship between the national Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, it is determined that this final rule does not

have federalism implications under Executive Order 13132.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A final evaluation has been prepared for this action and it is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained from the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption **ADDRESSES**.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Incorporation by reference, Safety.

Adoption of the Amendment

■ Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration amends part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

■ 2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

2004-14-18 Bombardier, Inc. (Formerly de Havilland, Inc.): Amendment 39-13727. Docket 2002-NM-339-AD.

Applicability: Model DHC-8-102, -103, and -106 airplanes; serial numbers 3 through 119 inclusive; without Bombardier Modification 8/0864 incorporated; certificated in any category.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent increased roll forces due to cracking of the left and right aileron tab actuator arm channels, which could be interpreted by the pilot as a flight control problem and might lead to loss of control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

Inspection and Corrective Actions

(a) Within 500 flight hours after the effective date of this AD, perform a detailed inspection of the left and right aileron tab actuator arm channels for cracking, in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 8-57-07, Revision "F," dated March 27, 2002.

Note 1: For the purposes of this AD, a detailed inspection is defined as: "An

intensive visual examination of a specific structural area, system, installation, or assembly to detect damage, failure, or irregularity. Available lighting is normally supplemented with a direct source of good lighting at intensity deemed appropriate by the inspector. Inspection aids such as mirror, magnifying lenses, etc., may be used. Surface cleaning and elaborate access procedures may be required."

(1) If no cracked actuator arm channel is found, repeat the inspection at intervals not to exceed 500 flight hours, until paragraph (a)(2) or (b) of this AD has been accomplished.

(2) If any cracked actuator arm channel is found, prior to further flight, accomplish paragraph (a)(2)(i) or (a)(2)(ii) of this AD. Accomplishment of paragraph (a)(2)(i) or (a)(2)(ii) terminates the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (a)(1) of this AD for the repaired or replaced aileron tab only.

(i) Replace the actuator arm channel with a new actuator arm channel; install a reinforcing angle on the new actuator arm channel; and replace the balance weight arm with a new balance weight arm; in accordance with Part A of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

(ii) Replace the aileron tab with a new, improved aileron tab in accordance with Part C of the Accomplishment Instructions of the service bulletin.

Optional Terminating Action

(b) Reinforcement of both actuator arm channels with reinforcing angles and installation of new balance weight arms in accordance with Part B of the Accomplishment Instructions of Bombardier Service Bulletin 8-57-07, Revision "F," dated March 27, 2002; or replacement of the aileron tabs with new, improved tabs in accordance with Part C of the Accomplishment Instructions of that service bulletin; constitutes terminating action for the repetitive inspections required by paragraph (a)(1) of this AD.

Part Installation

(c) As of the effective date of this AD, no person may install any actuator arm channel or any aileron tab on any airplane except in accordance with paragraph (a)(2) or (b) of this AD.

Alternative Methods of Compliance

(d) In accordance with 14 CFR 39.19, the Manager, New York Aircraft Certification Office (ACO), FAA, is authorized to approve alternative methods of compliance for this AD.

Incorporation by Reference

(e) The actions shall be done in accordance with Bombardier Service Bulletin 8-57-07, Revision "F," dated March 27, 2002. This incorporation by reference was approved by the Director of the Federal Register in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 552(a) and 1 CFR part 51. Copies may be obtained from Bombardier, Inc., Bombardier Regional Aircraft Division, 123 Garratt Boulevard, Downsview, Ontario M3K 1Y5, Canada. This information may be examined at the Federal

Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the New York Aircraft Certification Office, 1600 Stewart Avenue, suite 410, Westbury, New York; or at the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

Note 2: The subject of this AD is addressed in Canadian airworthiness directive CF-2002-29, dated May 22, 2002.

Effective Date

(f) This amendment becomes effective on August 17, 2004.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on June 30, 2004.

Kalene C. Yanamura,

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 04-15513 Filed 7-12-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 2002-NM-39-AD; Amendment 39-13726; AD 2004-14-17]

RIN 2120-AA64

Airworthiness Directives; Airbus Model A300 B4-600, B4-600R, and F4-600R (Collectively Called A300-600) Series Airplanes; and Model A310 Series Airplanes; Equipped With Pratt & Whitney JT9D-7R4 or 4000 Series Engines

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment supersedes an existing airworthiness directive (AD), applicable to certain Airbus Model A300-600 and A310 series airplanes, that currently requires deactivating both thrust reversers and revising the airplane flight manual (AFM) to ensure safe and appropriate performance during certain takeoff conditions. This amendment requires installing modifications that will add an independent third line of defense on the thrust reversers, which would enhance their redundancy and terminate the requirements of the existing AD. The actions specified by this AD are intended to prevent in-flight deployment of the thrust reversers, which could result in reduced controllability of the airplane. This

action is intended to address the identified unsafe condition.

DATES: Effective August 17, 2004.

The incorporation by reference of certain publications, as listed in the regulations, is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of August 17, 2004.

The incorporation by reference of certain other publications, as listed in the regulations, was approved previously by the Director of the Federal Register as of December 28, 1998 (63 FR 70637, December 22, 1998).

ADDRESSES: The service information referenced in this AD may be obtained from Airbus, 1 Rond Point Maurice Bellonte, 31707 Blagnac Cedex, France. This information may be examined at the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, Rules Docket, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington; or at the the National Archives and Records Administration (NARA). For information on the availability of this material at NARA, call (202) 741-6030, or go to: http://www.archives.gov/federal_register/code_of_federal_regulations/ibr_locations.html.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim Backman, Aerospace Engineer, International Branch, ANM-116, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056; telephone (425) 227-2797; fax (425) 227-1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A proposal to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) by superseding AD 98-25-51, amendment 39-10952 (63 FR 70637, December 22, 1998), which is applicable to certain Airbus Model A300-600 and A310 series airplanes, was published in the **Federal Register** on April 14, 2003 (68 FR 17893). The action proposed to require deactivating both thrust reversers and revising the airplane flight manual (AFM) to ensure safe and appropriate performance during certain takeoff conditions.

Comments

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of this amendment. Due consideration has been given to the comments received.

Support for Proposed AD

One commenter supports the AD as proposed. Air Transport Association (ATA) reports that its members generally support the intent of the rulemaking.

Request To Extend Compliance Time

One commenter, an operator, is concerned that the proposed 1-year compliance time would result in grounded airplanes, and requests that the compliance time be extended from 12 months to 3 years. The operator reports that no thrust reversers have been deployed in flight, uncommanded, on its affected airplanes. The operator notes that all of its PW4000-powered A310/A300-600 airplanes and engine spares have been modified, but hardware changes were often needed for configuration compatibility. Further, because the modification was done during the 180-day passenger-to-freighter conversion process, the hardware changes were handled within the scheduled time with no unscheduled downtime. However, unlike its PW4000-powered fleet, the operator states that all of its PW JT9D-7R4-powered airplanes are in operational service and are to be modified during a shorter maintenance visit. The operator concludes that a 3-year compliance time for the modification would minimize the economic impact on operators' without compromising safety, since the repetitive inspections required by AD 98-25-51 would still be in force until the modification is done.

We partially agree with the request. We have previously issued an alternative method of compliance (AMOC) for the requirements of AD 98-25-51. The AMOC, based on a method developed cooperatively between the airframe and engine manufacturers, allows the thrust reversers to be reactivated in accordance with an FAA-approved program of parts replacement and repetitive inspections. However, because of the severe consequences associated with an in-flight thrust reverser deployment, we cannot increase the compliance time to 3 years, as the operator requests. Nonetheless, to avoid airplanes being grounded until the modification can be done, we agree to extend the compliance time for the modification from 1 year to 18 months. We have determined that this extension will not adversely affect safety. Paragraph (c) of this final rule has been changed accordingly. We have advised the Direction Générale de l'Aviation Civile (DGAC), which is the airworthiness authority for France, of this change.

Request To Ensure Compliance Time After a Certain Date

One commenter, the manufacturer, considers the proposed compliance time appropriate, but requests a deadline not