

general, and administrative (SG&A) expenses. Further, in calculating the amount of overhead, SG&A expenses, and profit included in the normal value, we have not applied the surrogate financial ratios to production costs that include electricity costs.

In response to the petitioners' comments pertaining to the valuation of the cost of land, upon further analysis of this issue, we have determined that this factor is an important component in the cost build-up of normal value and is not reflected in the financial ratios calculated from Parry Agro's income statements. As such, we have valued the cost of land using information contained in a Notification of Policy for Land Revenue issued by the State of Rajasthan, India.

Based on all available information, we have determined that this land-lease rate serves as the most reliable surrogate value for calculating a cost for leasing the farmland used to grow the subject merchandise. We have converted the values provided by the Indian state government and calculated a per-mu annual land-lease cost. In our margin calculation, we have added the cost of leasing land to fixed overhead. See the *Preliminary Results Analysis Memorandum*.

#### Preliminary Results of the New Shipper Review

We preliminarily determine that the following dumping margin exists for the period November 1, 2002, through October 31, 2003:

Grower and Exporter Combination	Weighted-average percentage margin
Grown by Kaifeng Wangtun Fresh Vegetables Factory and Exported by Jinxiang Shanyang Freezing Storage Co., Ltd. ....	25.38

Case briefs or other written comments in at least six copies must be submitted to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration no later than thirty days after the publication of these preliminary results. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309(d)(2), rebuttal briefs are due no later than five days after the submission of case briefs. A list of authorities used, a table of contents, and an executive summary of issues should accompany any briefs submitted to the Department. Executive summaries should be limited to five pages total, including footnotes. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.310, we will hold a public hearing to afford interested parties an opportunity to comment on arguments raised in case or rebuttal briefs, provided that such a

hearing is requested by an interested party. If we receive a request for a hearing, we plan to hold the hearing three days after the deadline for submission of the rebuttal briefs at the U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20230. Interested parties who wish to request a hearing, or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, Room 1870, within thirty days after the date of publication of the preliminary results of this review in the **Federal Register**. Requests should contain the following information: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of the issues to be discussed. Oral presentations will be limited to issues raised in the briefs.

The Department will publish the final results of this new shipper review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal briefs, within 90 days of publication of this notice. See 19 CFR 351.214(h)(1).

#### Assessment Rates

Upon completion of this new shipper review, the Department will determine, and CBP will assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions directly to CBP upon completion of this review. If these preliminary results are adopted in our final results of review, we will direct CBP to assess the antidumping duties applicable to sales of the subject merchandise on each of the entries of this exporter's importer/customer during the POR.

#### Cash-Deposit Requirements

The following cash-deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of the new shipper review for shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided for by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) for subject merchandise grown by Kaifeng Wangtun Fresh Vegetables Factory and exported by Jinxiang Shanyang Freezing Storage Co., Ltd., the cash-deposit rate will be that established in the final results of this review; (2) for all other subject merchandise exported by Jinxiang Shanyang Freezing Storage Co., Ltd., the cash-deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate, which is 376.67 percent; (3) for all other PRC exporters of subject merchandise which have not been found to be entitled to a separate rate,

the cash-deposit rate will be the PRC-wide rate of 376.67 percent; and (4) for all non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise, the cash-deposit rate will be the rate applicable to the PRC exporter that supplied that exporter. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

#### Notification to Interested Parties

This notice also serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during the period of this review. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

We are issuing and publishing the preliminary results of this new shipper review in accordance with sections 751(a)(2)(B)(iv) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: June 28, 2004.

**Jeffrey May,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 04-15228 Filed 7-2-04; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-S

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-570-101]

#### Greige Polyester Cotton Printcloth From the People's Republic of China: Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review of Antidumping Duty Order

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of final results of the second expedited sunset review of antidumping duty order on Greige Polyester Cotton Printcloth from the People's Republic of China.

**SUMMARY:** On March 1, 2004, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published the notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on Greige Polyester Cotton Printcloth from the People's Republic of China pursuant to section 751(c) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"). See *Initiation of Five Year ("Sunset") Reviews*, 69 FR 9585 (March 1, 2004). Because the Department did not receive any response from respondent interested

parties, we determined to conduct an expedited (120-day) sunset review. *See* 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2). As a result of this review, we find that revocation of the antidumping duty order would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the levels listed below in the section entitled "Final Results of Review."

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** July 6, 2004.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Hilary E. Sadler, Esq., Office of Policy, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 2837, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4340.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Background**

On March 1, 2004, the Department published the notice of initiation of the second sunset review of the antidumping duty order on greige polyester cotton printcloth from the People's Republic of China pursuant to section 751(c) of the Act.<sup>1</sup> The Department received the Notice of Intent to Participate on behalf of Alice Manufacturing Company, Inc. and Mount Vernon Mills, Inc., the domestic interested parties, within the deadline specified in section 351.218(d)(1)(i) of the Department's Regulations ("Sunset Regulations"). The domestic interested parties claimed interested party status under section 771(9)(C) of the Act, as domestic producers of greige polyester cotton printcloth. We received complete substantive responses from all domestic interested parties within the 30-day deadline specified in the Sunset Regulations under section 351.218(d)(3)(i). We received nothing from respondent interested parties. As a result, pursuant to section 751(c)(5)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.218(e)(1)(ii)(C)(2), the Department conducted an expedited (120-day) sunset review of this finding.

**Scope of Review**

The scope remains unchanged from the Final Results of Expedited Sunset Review; Greige Polyester Cotton Printcloth from the People's Republic of China, 64 FR 13399 (March 18, 1999). The merchandise subject to this antidumping order is greige polyester cotton printcloth, other than 80 x 80 type. Greige polyester cotton printcloth is of chief weight cotton,<sup>2</sup> unbleached

and uncolored printcloth. The term "printcloth" refers to plain woven fabric, not napped, not fancy or figured, of singles yarn, not combed, of average yarn number 43 to 68,<sup>3</sup> weighing not more than 6 ounces per square yard, of a total count of more than 85 yarns per square inch, of which the total count of the warp yarns per inch and the total count of the filling yarns per inch are each less than 62 percent of the total count of the warp and filling yarns per square inch. This merchandise is currently classifiable under Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTSUS) item 5210.11.6060. The HTSUS item numbers are provided for convenience and U.S. Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

**Analysis of Comments Received**

All issues raised in this case are addressed in the "Issues and Decision Memorandum" ("Decision Memo") from Ronald K. Lorentzen, Acting Director, Office of Policy, Import Administration, to Jeffrey A. May, Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, dated June 29, 2004, which is hereby adopted by this notice. The issues discussed in the Decision Memo include the likelihood of continuation or recurrence of dumping and the magnitude of the margin likely to prevail if the finding were to be revoked. Parties can find a complete discussion of all issues raised in this review and the corresponding recommendations in this public memorandum, which is on file in room B-099 of the main Commerce Building.

In addition, a complete version of the Decision Memo can be accessed directly on the Web at <http://ia.ita.doc.gov/frn>, under the heading "July 2004." The paper copy and electronic version of the Decision Memo are identical in content.

**Final Results of Review**

We determine that revocation of the antidumping duty finding on Greige Polyester Cotton Printcloth from the

chief value cotton). For the purposes of this review, we have incorporated Custom's conversion to chief weight (*i.e.*, the subject merchandise is of chief weight cotton). *See* Memorandum, RE: Greige Polyester Cotton Printcloth-Scope, February 25, 1999.

<sup>3</sup> Under the English system, this average yarn number count translates to 26 to 40. The average yarn number counts reported in previous scope descriptions by the Department are based on the English system of yarn number counts. Per phone conversations with U.S. Customs and Border Protection ("Customs") officials, Customs now relies on the metric system to establish average yarn number counts. Thus, the 26 to 40 average yarn number count under the English system translates to a 43 to 68 average yarn number count under the metric system. *See* Memorandum, RE: Greige Polyester Cotton Printcloth-Scope, February 19, 1999.

People's Republic from China would be likely to lead to continuation or recurrence of dumping at the following weighted-average percentage margins:

Manufacturers/Exporters/Producers	Weighted-average margin percent
China-wide .....	22.4

This notice also serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders ("APO") of their responsibility concerning the return or destruction of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305 of the Department's regulations. Timely notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a violation which is subject to sanction.

We are issuing and publishing the results and notice in accordance with sections 751(c), 752, and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: June 29, 2004.

**Jeffrey A. May,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. 04-15229 Filed 7-2-04; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

**International Trade Administration**

[A-570-855]

**Certain Non-Frozen Apple Juice Concentrate From the People's Republic of China: Preliminary Results, Partial Rescission, and Partial Deferral of 2002-2003 Administrative Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**ACTION:** Notice of preliminary results, partial rescission, and partial deferral of 2002-2003 administrative review.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is conducting the third administrative review of the antidumping duty order on non-frozen apple juice concentrate from the People's Republic of China covering the period June 1, 2002, through May 31, 2003.

The administrative review covers one exporter: Gansu Tongda Fruit Juice and Beverage Company. We preliminarily determine that sales of non-frozen apple juice concentrate from the People's Republic of China were made below

<sup>1</sup> *Initiation of Five-Year (Sunset) Reviews*, 69 FR 5985 (March 1, 2004).

<sup>2</sup> In the scope from the original investigation, the Department defined the subject merchandise by chief value (*i.e.*, the subject merchandise was of