

Register notice describing the electronic docket at 67 FR 38102 (May 31, 2002), or go to <http://www.epa.gov/edocket>.

Title: NSPS for Surface Coating of Large Appliances (40 CFR part 60, subpart SS) (OMB Control No. 2060-0108, EPA ICR Number 0659.09). This is a request to renew an existing approved collection that is scheduled to expire on January 31, 2003. Under the OMB regulations, the Agency may continue to conduct or sponsor the collection of information while this submission is pending at OMB.

Abstract: The New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for Surface Coating of Large Appliances, published at 40 CFR part 60, subpart SS were proposed on December 24, 1980 and promulgated on October 27, 1982. These standards apply to each large appliance surface coating operation in which organic coatings are applied that commenced construction, modification or reconstruction after December 24, 1980. Approximately 72 sources are currently subject to the standards, and it is estimated that zero sources per year will become subject to the standard while an equal number will go off-line during this time period. Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) are the pollutants regulated under this subpart, and this information is being collected to assure compliance with 40 CFR part 60, subpart SS.

Owners or operators of the affected facilities described must make initial reports when a source becomes subject; conduct and report on a performance test; demonstrate and report on continuous monitor performance; and maintain records of the occurrence and duration of any startup, shutdown, or malfunction in the operation of an affected facility. Semiannual reports of excess emissions are required. These notifications, reports, and records are essential in determining compliance; and are required, in general, of all sources subject to NSPS.

Any owner or operator subject to the provisions of this part shall maintain a file of these measurements, and retain the file for at least 2 years following the date of such measurements, maintenance reports, and records. The estimated total cost of this ICR will be \$1,093,710 over the next three years (including labor hours, operating & maintenance costs, and start up costs; \$365,570 per year x 3 years). All reports are sent to the delegated State or Local authority. In the event that there is no such delegated authority, the reports are sent directly to the EPA Regional Office.

An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information

unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR part 9 and 48 CFR chapter 15, and are identified on the form and/or instrument, if applicable.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 6 hours per response. Burden means the total time, effort, or financial resources expended by persons to generate, maintain, retain, or disclose or provide information to or for a Federal agency. This includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities: Owners/Operators of facilities manufacturing large appliances in which organic surface coatings are applied.

Estimated Number of Respondents: 72.

Frequency of Response: Semiannual/quarterly, every other year for excess emission report.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 6,288 hours.

Estimated Total Annual Cost: \$365,570, which includes \$5,400 annualized capital or O&M costs.

Changes in the Estimates: There is a decrease of 23,276 hours and \$613,000 in the total estimated burden currently identified in the OMB Inventory of Approved ICR Burdens. This decrease is caused by several factors. The wage estimates were revised based on the current prevailing rates for both the Agency and the sources. This included the appropriate calculation of wage overhead in both categories. The number respondents were based on data collected for these same source categories for the development of a Maximum Achievable Control Technology (MACT) emission standard for hazardous air pollutants. This data showed a much smaller universe of sources and also revealed that a very small percentage of these respondents use thermal control devices (less than 5 percent). The total number of sources covered by this ICR has decreased greatly since the last renewal was

prepared and no growth is occurring in the industry. These factors significantly reduced the burden on the facilities and the Agency.

Dated: January 28, 2003.

Oscar Morales,

Director, Collection Strategies Division.

[FR Doc. 03-2539 Filed 2-4-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[CO-001-0073; FRL-7447-9]

Adequacy Status of the Fort Collins, Colorado Carbon Monoxide Maintenance Plan for Transportation Conformity Purposes

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of adequacy.

SUMMARY: In this document, EPA is notifying the public that we have found that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the Fort Collins, Colorado carbon monoxide (CO) maintenance plan, that was submitted by the Governor on August 9, 2002, are adequate for conformity purposes. On March 2, 1999, the DC Circuit Court ruled that budgets in submitted State Implementation Plans (SIPs) cannot be used for conformity determinations until EPA has affirmatively found them adequate. As a result of our finding, the North Front Range Transportation & Air Quality Planning Council, the City of Fort Collins, the Colorado Department of Transportation and the U.S. Department of Transportation are required to use the motor vehicle emissions budgets from this submitted maintenance plan for future conformity determinations.

DATES: This finding is effective February 19, 2003.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Kerri Fiedler, Air & Radiation Program (8P-AR), United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 8, 999 18th Street, Suite 300, Denver, Colorado 80202-2466, (303) 312-6493. The letter documenting our finding is available at EPA's conformity Web site: <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/transp/conform/adequacy.htm>.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document wherever "we", "us", or "our" are used we mean EPA.

This action is simply an announcement of a finding that we have already made. We sent a letter to the Colorado Air Pollution Control Division

on January 15, 2003, stating that the motor vehicle emissions budgets in the submitted Fort Collins CO maintenance plan are adequate. This finding has also been announced on our conformity Web site at <http://www.epa.gov/otaq/transp/conform/adequacy.htm>.

Transportation conformity is required by section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act. Our conformity rule requires that transportation plans, programs, and projects conform to SIPs and establishes the criteria and procedures for determining whether or not they do. Conformity to a SIP means that transportation activities will not produce new air quality violations, worsen existing violations, or delay timely attainment of the national ambient air quality standards.

The criteria by which we determine whether a SIP's motor vehicle emission budgets are adequate for conformity purposes are outlined in 40 CFR 93.118(e)(4). Please note that an adequacy review is separate from our completeness review, and it also should not be used to prejudice our ultimate approval of the SIP. Even if we find a budget adequate, the SIP could later be disapproved, and vice versa.

We've described our process for determining the adequacy of submitted SIP budgets in a memo entitled, "Conformity Guidance on Implementation of March 2, 1999 Conformity Court Decision," dated May 14, 1999. We followed this guidance in making our adequacy determination.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401 *et seq.*

Dated: January 23, 2003.

Robert E. Roberts,

Regional Administrator, Region VIII.

[FR Doc. 03-2535 Filed 2-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL-7447-1]

Notice of Availability for Draft Guidance on the Technical Support Document (TSD) for Title V Permitting of Printing Facilities

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Extension of comment period for notice of availability.

SUMMARY: We are making available for an additional 30 days of public review a draft of our pending guidance on the design of air permits for the printing sector. The public comment period will now be extended until March 6, 2003. This extension is in response to

multiple requests for additional time to review the draft TSD.

A draft of this guidance is available for public review for downloading off the internet (see **ADDRESSES**). As before, we do not intend to respond to individual comments, but rather to consider comments and information from the public in the preparation of a final guidance document.

DATES: The review period for this document will close on March 6, 2003. Any comments on the draft guidance must be submitted to EPA by that date.

ADDRESSES: The draft guidance can be accessed at <http://www.epa.gov/ttn/oarpg/>. Comments should be sent to Michael Trutna, Information Transfer and Program Integration Division (C304-03), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, (919) 541-5345, fax (919) 541-4028, or trutna.mike@epa.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Michael Trutna at the above address or Gary Rust, Information Transfer and Program Integration Division (C304-04), U.S. EPA, Research Triangle Park, NC 27711, (919) 541-0358, fax (919) 541-4028, or rust.gary@epa.gov. For further information on monitoring or testing issues, please contact Barrett Parker at (919) 541-5635 or parker.barrett@epa.gov.

Dated: January 15, 2003.

William Harnett,

Director, Information Transfer and , Program Integration Division.

[FR Doc. 03-2536 Filed 2-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Change in Bank Control Notices; Acquisition of Shares of Bank or Bank Holding Companies

The notificants listed below have applied under the Change in Bank Control Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)) and § 225.41 of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.41) to acquire a bank or bank holding company. The factors that are considered in acting on the notices are set forth in paragraph 7 of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1817(j)(7)).

The notices are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The notices also will be available for inspection at the office of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing to the Reserve Bank indicated for that notice or to the offices of the Board of Governors. Comments must be received not later than February 19, 2003.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Sue Costello, Vice President) 1000 Peachtree Street, NE., Atlanta, Georgia 30303:

1. Jeanie Kicklighter Beck, Glennville, Georgia; to acquire additional voting shares of First Citizens Bankshares, Inc., Glennville, Georgia, and thereby indirectly acquire additional voting shares of First Citizens Bank, Glennville, Georgia.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, January 23, 2003.

Jennifer J. Johnson,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 03-2469 Filed 2-3-03; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Formations of, Acquisitions by, and Mergers of Bank Holding Companies

The companies listed in this notice have applied to the Board for approval, pursuant to the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1841 *et seq.*) (BHC Act), Regulation Y (12 CFR part 225) and all other applicable statutes and regulations to become a bank holding company and/or to acquire the assets or the ownership of, control of, or the power to vote shares of a bank or bank holding company and all of the banks and nonbanking companies owned by the bank holding company, including the companies listed below.

The applications listed below, as well as other related filings required by the Board, are available for immediate inspection at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. The application also will be available for inspection at the offices of the Board of Governors. Interested persons may express their views in writing on the standards enumerated in the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)). If the proposal also involves the acquisition of a nonbanking company, the review also includes whether the acquisition of the nonbanking company complies with the standards in section 4 of the BHC Act (12 U.S.C. 1843). Unless otherwise noted, nonbanking activities will be conducted throughout the United States. Additional information on all bank holding companies may be obtained from the National Information Center Web site at www.ffiec.gov/nic/.

Unless otherwise noted, comments regarding each of these applications must be received at the Reserve Bank indicated or the offices of the Board of Governors not later than March 3, 2003.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland (Stephen J. Ong, Vice President) 1455 East Sixth Street, Cleveland, Ohio 44101-2566: