

beginning of your comments. In addition, you must present a rationale for withholding this information. This rationale must demonstrate that disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. Unsupported assertions will not meet this burden. In the absence of exceptional, documentable circumstances, this information will be released. We will always make submissions from organizations or businesses, and from individuals identifying themselves as representatives or officials of organizations or businesses, available for public inspection in their entirety.

Dated: February 23, 2007.

Kenneth Lentz,

Acting Regional Environmental Officer, Mid-Pacific Region.

[FR Doc. E7-5889 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-MN-P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Notice of Proposed Information Collection for 1029-0089

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement.

ACTION: Notice and request for comments.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement (OSM) is announcing that the information collection request for 30 CFR part 702, Exemption for Coal Extraction Incidental to the Extraction of Other Minerals has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and approval. The information collection request describes the nature of the information collection and the expected burden and cost.

DATES: OMB has up to 60 days to approve or disapprove the information collection but may respond after 30 days. Therefore, public comments should be submitted to OMB by April 30, 2007, in order to be assured of consideration.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to the Office of Information and Regulatory

Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Department of Interior Desk Officer, by telefax at (202) 395-6566 or via e-mail to OIRA_Docket@omb.eop.gov. Also, please send a copy of your comment to John A. Trelease, Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, 1951 Constitution Ave, NW., Room 202—SIB, Washington, DC 20240, or electronically to jtrelease@osmre.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: To request a copy of the information collection request contact John A. Trelease at (202) 208-2783. You may also contact Mr. Trelease at jtrelease@osmre.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: OMB regulations at 5 CFR 1320, which implement provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104-13), require that interested members of the public and affected agencies have an opportunity to comment on information collection and recordkeeping activities [see 5 CFR 320.8(d)]. OSM has submitted a request to OMB to renew its approval for the collection of information found at 30 CFR Part 702. OSM is requesting a 3-year term of approval for this information collection activity.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control number of this collection of information is 1029-0089, and may be found in OSM's regulations at 702.10.

As required under 5 CFR 1320.8(d), a **Federal Register** notice soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on December 8, 2006 (71 FR 71189). No comments were received. This notice provides the public with an additional 30 days in which to comment on the following information collection activity:

Title: 30 CFR part 702—Exemption for Coal Extraction Incidental to the Extraction of Other Minerals.

OMB Control Number: 1029-0089.

Summary: This part implements the requirement in Section 701(28) of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act of 1977 (SMCRA), which grants an exemption from the requirements of SMCRA to operators extracting not more than 16⅔%

percentage tonnage of coal incidental to the extraction of other minerals. This information will be used by the regulatory authorities to make that determination.

Bureau Form Number: None.

Frequency of Collection: Once and annually thereafter.

Description of Respondents:

Producers of coal and other minerals and the State regulatory authorities.

Total Annual Responses: 120.

Total Annual Burden Hours: 535.

Total Non-wage Costs: \$200.

Send comments on the need for the collection of information for the performance of the functions of the agency; the accuracy of the agency's burden estimates; ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information collection burden on respondents, such as use of automated means of collection of the information, to the addresses listed under **ADDRESSES**. Please refer to OMB control number 1029-0089 in all correspondence.

Dated: February 8, 2007.

John R. Craynon,

Chief, Division of Regulatory Support.

[FR Doc. 07-1575 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

JUDICIAL CONFERENCE OF THE UNITED STATES

Revision of Certain Dollar Amounts in the Bankruptcy Code Prescribed Under Section 104(b) of the Code

AGENCY: Judicial Conference of the United States.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Modification of **Federal Register** notice that certain dollar amounts in title 11 and title 28, United States Code, are increased. [Original notice appeared in the **Federal Register** of February 14, 2007].

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The following provides (1) An updated list of the adjustments to 11 U.S.C. Section 707(b) and (2) an updated list of the Bankruptcy Forms which will be amended to reflect the adjusted dollar amounts.

11 U.S.C.	Dollar amount to be adjusted	New (adjusted) dollar amount
707(b)—dismissal of a case or conversion to a case under chapter 11 or 13 (means test):		
(1)—in paragraph (2)(A)(i)(I)	\$6,000	\$6,575
(2)—in paragraph (2)(A)(i)(II)	10,000	10,950
(3)—in paragraph (2)(A)(ii)(IV)	1,500	1,650

11 U.S.C.	Dollar amount to be adjusted	New (adjusted) dollar amount
(4)—in paragraph (2)(B)(iv)(I)	6,000	6,575
(5)—in paragraph (2)(B)(iv)(II)	10,000	10,950
(6)—in paragraph (5)(B)	1,000	1,100
(7)—in paragraph 6(C)	525	575
(8)—in paragraph 7(A)(iii)	525	575

Official Bankruptcy Forms 1, 6C, 6E, 7, 10, 22A, and 22C also will be amended to reflect these adjusted dollar amounts.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Francis F. Szczebak, Chief, Bankruptcy Judges Division, Administrative Office of the United States Courts, Washington, DC 20544, telephone (202) 502-1900.

Dated: March 26, 2007.

Francis F. Szczebak,

Chief, Bankruptcy Judges Division.

[FR Doc. E7-5922 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 2210-55-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-61,123]

A.O. Smith Electrical Products Company, McMinnville, TN; Notice of Termination of Investigation

Pursuant to Section 221 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, an investigation was initiated on March 16, 2007 in response to a petition filed by a company official on behalf of workers of A.O. Smith Electrical Products Company, McMinnville, Tennessee.

This petition is a duplicate of an earlier petition (TA-W-61,080) filed on March 8, 2007, that is the subject of an ongoing investigation for which a determination has not yet been issued. Further investigation in this case would serve no purpose. Therefore, the investigation under this petition has been terminated.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 20th day of March, 2007.

Linda G. Poole,

Certifying Officer, Division of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. E7-5851 Filed 3-29-07; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-FN-P

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

[TA-W-58,958]

Alcan Global Pharmaceutical Packaging, Inc.; Plastics American Division; Centralia, IL; Notice of Negative Determination on Remand

On December 18, 2006, the U.S. Court of International Trade (USCIT) granted the Department of Labor's motion for a voluntary remand in *Former Employees of Alcan Global Pharmaceuticals Packaging, Inc. v. U.S. Secretary of Labor*, Court No. 06-00180. SAR 47.

Case History

On March 2, 2006, the Glass, Molders, Pottery, Plastics & Allied Workers International Union, Local 267, (Union) filed a petition for Trade Adjustment Assistance (TAA) and Alternative Trade Adjustment Assistance (ATAA) with the U.S. Department of Labor (Department) on behalf of workers and former workers of Alcan Global Pharmaceutical Packaging, Inc., Plastics Americas Division, Centralia, Illinois (subject firm). AR 2-18.

Alcan, Inc. (Alcan) is a Canadian company and the subject firm is part of Alcan's North American pharmaceutical packaging network ("Plastics Americas Division"). The closure of the subject firm was announced on November 30, 2005. AR 72.

The initial investigation revealed that the subject firm produced plastic bottles; sales and production increased in 2005 from 2004 levels; the subject firm shut down on June 30, 2006; the subject firm did not import plastic bottles in 2004, 2005, or during January through February 2006; and subject firm production shifted to other domestic Alcan facilities. AR 21, 26, 37-40, 43, 69-71.

Because subject firm sales and production did not decline in 2005 from 2004 levels, the Department did not consider it to be a declining company. However, because the subject firm closed, the Department conducted a survey of the subject firm's major declining customers. The survey

revealed no increased import purchases of plastic bottles during the relevant period. AR 65, 67, 68.

The negative determination, issued April 11, 2006, stated that the subject firm did not shift production abroad and that neither the subject firm nor its major declining customers imported plastic bottles during the relevant period. AR 77-80. The Department's notice of determination was published in the **Federal Register** on April 24, 2006 (71 FR 21044-5). AR 85-87.

In its request for administrative reconsideration, the Union alleging that "the company is sending their mold equipment to Puerto Rico * * * has reported losses * * * likely as a result of competing manufacturers from overseas." AR 88.

The Department's May 12, 2006 letter informed the Union that the request for reconsideration was being dismissed because no evidence was presented that the Department erred in its interpretation of facts or of the law. The dismissal letter also stated that because Puerto Rico is a U.S. Territory, a shift of production to Puerto Rico is not considered to be a shift of production abroad, for purposes of the Trade Act of 1974. AR 90-91.

The Dismissal of Application for Reconsideration applicable to the subject firm was issued on May 15, 2006, AR 92, and published in the **Federal Register** on May 24, 2006 (71 FR 29981). AR 94. Subsequent to the dismissal of the request for reconsideration, SAR 46, the Department received additional information from the Union. SAR 2-45.

In a letter dated May 30, 2006, the Union appealed the Department's action to the USCIT. Plaintiff alleged that "[t]here is word that the company is sending their mold equipment to Puerto Rico * * * Also, the company has reported losses for years from the Centralia facility, likely as a result of competing manufacturers from overseas." SAR 1.

In order to consider the additional information and make a redetermination regarding Plaintiff's eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance, the Department sought, and was granted, a voluntary remand. SAR 47.