

Flooding source(s)	Location of referenced elevation	* Elevation in feet (NGVD) + elevation in feet (NAVD) # depth in feet above ground ^ elevation in meters (MSL) modified	Communities affected
Wendover Boulevard to Airport Way Runoff.	Approximately 0.51 mile east of the intersection of U.S. Alt 93 and Wendover Boulevard north and south of Wendover Boulevard. From Kelcee Street to just south of Western Pacific Railroad along Airport Way. From approximately 0.40 mile east of the intersection of U.S. Alt 93 and Western Pacific Railroad to approximately 1,109 feet east of the intersection of U.S. Alt 93 and Western Pacific Railroad. Between Wendover Boulevard, U.S. Alt 93, and Scobie Road, approximately 470 feet south of Wendover Boulevard.	+4345 #2 #2 +4286	City of West Wendover.
West Channel	From approximately 0.40 mile upstream of the confluence with South West Channel to approximately 0.72 mile upstream of the confluence with South West Channel. Approximately 100 feet upstream of the confluence with South West Channel. Approximately 0.39 mile upstream of the confluence with South West Channel. Approximately 0.72 mile upstream of the confluence with South West Channel. Approximately 3.25 miles upstream of the confluence with South West Channel.	#1 +4453 +4498 +4535 +4696	Unincorporated Areas of Elko County.
Western Pacific Railroad and U.S. Route 93 Runoff.	Approximately 1,050 feet downstream of the U.S. Alt 93 crossing of Western Pacific Railroad. Approximately 0.49 mile upstream of the U.S. Alt 93 crossing of Western Pacific Railroad.	+4298 +4326	City of West Wendover, Unincorporated Areas of Elko County.

* National Geodetic Vertical Datum.

+ North American Vertical Datum.

Depth in feet above ground.

^ Mean Sea Level, rounded to the nearest 0.1 meter.

ADDRESSES

City of West Wendover

Maps are available for inspection at 1111 North Gene L. Jones Way, West Wendover, NV 89883.

Unincorporated Areas of Elko County

Maps are available for inspection at 155 9th Street, Elko, NV 89801.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 97.022, "Flood Insurance.")

Dated: December 18, 2014.

Roy E. Wright,

Deputy Associate Administrator for Mitigation, Department of Homeland Security, Federal Emergency Management Agency.

[FR Doc. 2014-00329 Filed 1-10-14; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-12-P

DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY

Coast Guard

46 CFR Parts 30, 150, and 153

[Docket No. USCG-2013-0423]

RIN 1625-AB94

2012 Liquid Chemical Categorization Updates

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DHS.

ACTION: Interim rule; additional delay of effective date.

SUMMARY: The Coast Guard announces the additional delay of the effective date of this interim rule from January 16, 2014, to January 16, 2015. The delay until 2015 will allow the Coast Guard to investigate and, if necessary, correct technical errors that were brought to the

Coast Guard's attention by public comments on the interim rule.

DATES: The effective date of the interim rule published at 78 FR 50147, August 16, 2013, delayed until January 16, 2014 at 78 FR 56837, September 16, 2013 is further delayed until January 16, 2015.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: If you have questions on this rule, email or call Mr. Patrick Keffler, Coast Guard; email: Patrick.A.Keffler@uscg.mil; telephone: 202-372-1424. If you have questions on viewing or submitting material to the docket, call Chery Collins, Program Manager, Docket Operations, telephone 202-366-9826.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This document is issued under the authority of 5 U.S.C. 552(a). The August 16, 2013 interim rule (78 FR 50147) related to this document promotes the Coast Guard's marine safety mission by

updating and revising regulatory tables that list liquid hazardous materials, liquefied gases, and compressed gases that have been approved for maritime transportation in bulk, and that indicate how the pollution potential of each substance has been categorized. The Coast Guard is delaying the effective date of this interim rule from January 16, 2014, to January 16, 2015. The delay until 2015 will allow the Coast Guard to investigate and, if necessary, correct technical errors that were brought to the Coast Guard's attention by public comments on the interim rule.

Dated: January 8, 2014.

J.G. Lantz,

Director of Commercial Regulations and Standards, U.S. Coast Guard.

[FR Doc. 2014-00502 Filed 1-9-14; 4:15 pm]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Highway Administration

23 CFR Part 771

Federal Transit Administration

49 CFR Part 622

[Docket No. FHWA-2013-0007]

RIN 2125-AF48

RIN 2132-AB05

Environmental Impact and Related Procedures

AGENCY: Federal Highway Administration, Federal Transit Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule amends the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and Federal Transit Administration (FTA) joint procedures that implement the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) by adding new categorical exclusions (CE) for projects within an existing operational right-of-way and projects receiving limited Federal funding, as described in sections 1316 and 1317, respectively, of the *Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act* (MAP-21).

DATES: Effective on February 12, 2014.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For the Federal Highway Administration: Kreig Larson, Office of Project Delivery and Environmental Review, HEPE, (202) 366-2056, or Jomar Maldonado, Office of the Chief Counsel, (202) 366-1373, Federal Highway Administration, 1200 New Jersey Ave. SE., Washington, DC

20590-0001. For the Federal Transit Administration: Megan Blum at (202) 366-0463, Office of Planning and Environment (TPE), (202) 366-0463; or Dana Nifosi at (202) 366-4011, Office of Chief Counsel (TCC). Office hours are from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. E.T., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On July 6, 2012, President Obama signed into law MAP-21, Public Law 112-141, 126 Stat. 405, which contains new requirements that the FHWA and the FTA, hereafter referred to as the "Agencies," must meet in complying with NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*). Sections 1316 and 1317 of MAP-21 require the Secretary of Transportation to promulgate regulations designating two types of actions as categorical exclusions in 23 CFR part 771: (1) Any project (as defined in 23 U.S.C. 101(a)) within an existing operational right-of-way; and (2) any project that receives less than \$5,000,000 of Federal funds or with a total estimated cost of not more than \$30,000,000 and Federal funds comprising less than 15 percent of the total estimated project cost, respectively. The Agencies are carrying out this rulemaking on behalf of the Secretary.

The Agencies' joint procedures at 23 CFR part 771 describe how the Agencies comply with NEPA and the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations implementing NEPA, and include categorical exclusions that identify actions the Agencies have determined do not normally have the potential for significant environmental impacts and therefore do not require the preparation of an environmental assessment (EA) or environmental impact statement (EIS), pursuant to 40 CFR 1508.4. Section 771.117 applies to FHWA actions and section 771.118 applies to FTA actions. Sections 771.117(c) and 771.118(c) establish specific lists of categories of actions, or (c)-list CEs, that the Agencies have determined normally do not individually or cumulatively have a significant effect on the human environment, and do not require an EA or EIS. Sections 771.117(d) and 771.118(d) establish example lists of categorical exclusions, or (d)-list CEs, that the Agencies also have determined are normally categorically excluded from further NEPA review but require Agency approval based on additional documentation demonstrating that the specific criteria for the CE are satisfied and that no significant environmental

impacts will result from the action. Additionally, sections 771.117 and 771.118 include the requirement for considering unusual circumstances, which is how the Agencies consider extraordinary circumstances in accordance with the CEQ regulations. These refer to circumstances in which a normally excluded action may have a significant environmental effect and, therefore, requires an EA or EIS. Examples of unusual circumstances include substantial controversy on environmental grounds, significant impacts on properties protected by section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation (DOT) Act (23 U.S.C. 138; 49 U.S.C. 303) or section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), or inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law, requirement, or administrative determination relating to the environmental aspects of the action (23 CFR 771.117(b); 23 CFR 771.118(b)). This rulemaking does not change the procedural requirements for the Agencies' approval of projects as CEs, either for (c)-list CEs or for (d)-list CEs.

In order to qualify for either of the new CEs, the action must comply with NEPA requirements relating to connected actions and segmentation (*see, e.g.*, 40 CFR 1508.25, and 23 CFR 771.111(f)). To avoid impermissible segmentation, the action must have independent utility, connect logical termini when applicable (*i.e.*, linear facilities), and not restrict consideration of alternatives for other reasonably foreseeable transportation improvements. In addition, even though a CE may apply to a proposed action, thereby satisfying NEPA requirements, all other requirements applicable to the activity under other Federal and State statutes and regulations still apply, such as the Clean Water Act (CWA), Clean Air Act, General Bridge Act of 1946, section 4(f) of the DOT Act, NHPA, and the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Some of these requirements may require the collection and analysis of information, or coordination and consultation efforts that are independent of the Agencies' NEPA CE determination. Also, some of these requirements may involve actions by other Federal agencies (such as approvals or issuance of permits) that could inform the Agency determination regarding unusual circumstances and potentially trigger a different level of NEPA review for those Federal agencies. These requirements must be met before the action proceeds, regardless of the availability of a CE for the