for tape and nails than the more contemporaneous IBIS data (which was based on one shipment of tape and 12 shipments of nails from one country). To value pallet wood, we used an April 1995-March 1996 import value per kilogram from Monthly Statistics rather than values obtained after March 1996, because the more contemporaneous values appeared aberrational relative to the overall value of the subject merchandise (see Brake Rotors Second Administrative Review). Moreover, we used the value per kilogram from Monthly Statistics rather than the more contemporaneous value per piece from IBIS because the value from IBIS did not indicate the size or weight of a piece of wood in a manner which would have allowed the Department to value the factor reported by the respondent.

#### **Preliminary Results of the Review**

We preliminarily determine that the following margin exists for Hongfa during the period April 1, 1999, through March 31, 2000:

Manufacturer/producer/exporter	Margin percent
Hongfa Machinery (Dalian) Co., Ltd	0.00

We will disclose the calculations used in our analysis to parties to this proceeding within five days of the date of publication of this notice. Any interested party may request a hearing within 30 days of publication of this notice. Any hearing, if requested, will be held on January 31, 2001.

Interested parties who wish to request a hearing or to participate if one is requested, must submit a written request to the Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Room B–099, within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice. Requests should contain: (1) The party's name, address, and telephone number; (2) the number of participants; and (3) a list of issues to be discussed. See 19 CFR 351.310(c).

Issues raised in the hearing will be limited to those raised in case briefs and rebuttal briefs. Case briefs from interested parties may be submitted not later than 30 days after the date of publication of this notice. Rebuttal briefs, limited to issues raised in the case briefs, will be due not later 37 days after the date of publication of this notice. Parties who submit case briefs or rebuttal briefs in this proceeding are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Parties are also encouraged to provide a summary of the arguments not to exceed five pages and a table of statutes, regulations, and cases cited.

The Department will issue the final results of these administrative and new shipper reviews, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any such written briefs or at the hearing, if held, not later than 120 days after the date of publication of this notice.

#### **Assessment Rates**

The Department shall determine, and Customs shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), we will calculate importer-specific ad valorem duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of the dumping margins calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of those same sales. In order to estimate the entered value, we will subtract applicable movement expenses from the gross sales value. In accordance with 19 CFR 351.106(c)(2), we will instruct Customs to liquidate without regard to antidumping duties all entries of subject merchandise during the POR for which the importerspecific assessment rate is zero or de minimis (i.e., less than 0.50 percent). For entries subject to the PRC-wide rate, Customs shall assess ad valorem duties at the rate established in the LTFV investigation. The Department will issue appropriate appraisement instructions directly to Customs upon completion of this review.

#### **Cash Deposit Requirements**

Upon completion of this new shipper review, for entries from Hongfa, we will require cash deposits at the rate established in the final results pursuant to 19 CFR 351.214(e) and as further described below.

The following deposit requirements will be effective upon publication of the final results of these administrative and new shipper antidumping duty administrative reviews for all shipments of brake rotors from the PRC entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Hongfa will be the rate established in the final results; (2) the cash deposit rate for PRC exporters who received a separate rate in a prior segment of the proceeding will continue to be the rate assigned in that segment of the proceeding; (3) the cash deposit rate for the PRC NME entity (including Luoyang) will continue to be 43.32 percent; and (4) the cash deposit rate for non-PRC exporters of subject merchandise from the PRC will be the rate applicable to the PRC supplier of

that exporter. These requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

#### **Notification to Importers**

This notice serves as a preliminary reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

These administrative and new shipper administrative reviews and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) and (2)(B) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1) and (2)(B)) and 19 CFR 351.213 and 351.214.

Dated: January 2, 2001.

#### Troy H. Cribb,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 01–457 Filed 1–5–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## International Trade Administration [A-201-817]

Oil Country Tubular Goods from Mexico: Extension of Time Limit for Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 8, 2001.

## FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Phyllis Hall at (202) 482–1398 or Dena

Aliadinov at (202) 482–2667, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Ave, NW, Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### **Statutory Time Limits**

Section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended ("the Act"), requires the Department of Commerce ("the Department") to make a preliminary determination within 245 days after the last day of the anniversary month of an order for which a review is requested, and a final determination within 120 days after the date on which the preliminary determination is published. However, if it is not

practicable to complete the review within these time periods, section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act allows the Department to extend the time limit for the preliminary determination to a maximum of 365 days and for the final determination to 180 days (or 300 days if the Department does not extend the time limit for the preliminary determination) from the date of publication of the preliminary determination.

#### Background

On August 31,1999, Tubos de Acero de Mexico, S.A. de C.V. ("TAMSA") and Hylsa S.A. de C.V. ("Hylsa") requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on oil country tubular goods ("OCTG") from Mexico. The Department initiated this review on September 24, 1999. See 64 FR 53318 (October 1, 1999). On September 12, 2000, the Department published the preliminary results of the review in the **Federal Register** (65 FR 54998). The final results are due no later than January 10, 2001.

#### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Review**

We determine that it is not practicable to complete the final results of this review within the original time limits mandated by section 751 (a)(3)(A) of the Act. The Department is therefore extending the time limit for completion of the final results until no later than March 12, 2001. See Decision Memorandum from Richard Weible to Joseph A. Spetrini, dated December 29, 2000, which is on file in the Central Records Unit, Room B—099 of the main Commerce building.

This extension of the time limit is in accordance with section 751 (a)(3)(A) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.213(h)(2).

Dated: December 29, 2000.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 01-453 Filed 1-5-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

## International Trade Administration [A-475-824]

Notice of Extension of the Time Limit for Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils From Italy

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 8, 2001.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Carrie Blozy, AD/CVD Enforcement

Carrie Blozy, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III, Office 9, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–0165.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### The Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act) are to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Act by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department of Commerce's (the Department's) regulations are to 19 CFR part 351 (2000).

#### **Background**

On October 30, 2000, the Department published a notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty order on Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy, covering the period January 4, 1999 through June 30, 2000 (65 FR 64662). The preliminary results are currently due no later than April 2, 2001.

### **Extension of Time Limit for Preliminary Results**

Because of the complex issues enumerated in the Memorandum from Edward C. Yang to Joseph A. Spetrini, Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of Administrative Review of Certain Stainless Steel Sheet and Strip in Coils from Italy, dated January 2, 2001, and on file in the Central Records Unit (CRU) of the Main Commerce Building, Room B-099, we find that it is not practicable to complete this review by the scheduled deadline. Therefore, in accordance with section 751(a)(3)(A) of the Act, the Department is extending the time period for issuing the preliminary results of review by 90 days (i.e., until July 2, 2001).

Dated: January 2, 2001.

#### Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary, AD/CVD Enforcement Group III.

[FR Doc. 01–452 Filed 1–5–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE**

#### **International Trade Administration**

# University of Rochester; Notice of Decision on Application for Duty-Free Entry of Scientific Instrument

This decision is made pursuant to section 6(c) of the Educational, Scientific, and Cultural Materials Importation Act of 1966 (Pub. Law 89–651, 80 Stat. 897; 15 CFR part 301). Related records can be viewed between 8:30 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. in Room 4211, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC.

Docket Number: 00–034. Applicant: University of Rochester, Rochester, NY 14623. Instrument: Scanning Nearfield Optical Microscope. Manufacturer: WITec, Germany. Intended Use: See notice at 65 FR 65296, November 1, 2000.

Comments: None received. Decision: Approved. No instrument of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument, for such purposes as it is intended to be used, is being manufactured in the United States. Reasons: The foreign instrument provides: (1) an atomic force microscope with a metal probe operating in a vertical displacement AC mode and (2) simultaneous scanning atomic-force and near-field-optical microscopy on identical sample zones maintaining sample registration with nanometer accuracy. The National Institute of Standards and Technology advised December 21, 2000 that (1) these capabilities are pertinent to the applicant's intended purpose and (2) it knows of no domestic instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument for the applicant's intended use.

We know of no other instrument or apparatus of equivalent scientific value to the foreign instrument which is being manufactured in the United States.

#### Gerald A. Zerdy,

Program Manager, Statutory Import Programs Staff.

[FR Doc. 01–454 Filed 1–5–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS-P