

regulation, 19 CFR 351.212(c)(1)(ii)). Therefore, the cash deposit rates for all companies except those covered by this review will be unchanged by the results of this review.

We will instruct CBP to continue to collect cash deposits for non-reviewed companies at the most recent company-specific or country-wide rate applicable to the company. Accordingly, the cash deposit rates that will be applied to non-reviewed companies covered by this order will be the rate for that company established in the most recently completed administrative proceeding. *See Certain In-Shell Pistachios from the Islamic Republic of Iran: Final Results of Countervailing Duty Administrative Review*, 68 FR 41310 (July 11, 2003). These cash deposit rates shall apply to all non-reviewed companies until a review of a company assigned these rates is requested.

#### Verification

In accordance with section 782(i)(3) of the Act, we intend to verify the information submitted by respondents prior to making our final determination.

#### Public Comment

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.224(b), the Department will disclose to parties to the proceeding any calculations performed in connection with these preliminary results within five days after the date of the public announcement of this notice. Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.309, interested parties may submit written comments in response to these preliminary results. Unless otherwise indicated by the Department, case briefs must be submitted within 30 days after the publication of these preliminary results. Rebuttal briefs, which are limited to arguments raised in case briefs, must be submitted no later than five days after the time limit for filing case briefs, unless otherwise specified by the Department. Parties who submit argument in this proceeding are requested to submit with the argument: (1) A statement of the issue, and (2) a brief summary of the argument. Parties submitting case and/or rebuttal briefs are requested to provide the Department copies of the public version on disk. Case and rebuttal briefs must be served on interested parties in accordance with 19 CFR 351.303(f). Also, pursuant to 19 CFR 351.310, within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice, interested parties may request a public hearing on arguments to be raised in the case and rebuttal briefs. Unless the Secretary specifies otherwise, the hearing, if requested, will be held two days after

the date for submission of rebuttal briefs.

Representatives of parties to the proceeding may request disclosure of proprietary information under administrative protective order no later than 10 days after the representative's client or employer becomes a party to the proceeding, but in no event later than the date the case briefs, under 19 CFR 351.309(c)(ii), are due. The Department will publish the final results of this administrative review, including the results of its analysis of issues raised in any case or rebuttal brief or at a hearing.

This administrative review and notice are issued and published in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act.

Dated: March 31, 2005.

**Joseph A. Spetrini,**

*Acting Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-1614 Filed 4-6-05; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P**

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-507-502]

#### Notice of Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Certain In-Shell Raw Pistachios From Iran

**AGENCY:** Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** In response to requests from Tehran Negah Nima Trading Company, Inc., trading as Nima Trading Company, Inc. (Nima), an exporter of subject merchandise, California Pistachio Commission (petitioner), and Cal Pure Pistachios, Inc. (Cal Pure), an interested party to this proceeding, the U.S. Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on certain in-shell raw pistachios (pistachios) from Iran. No other interested party requested a review of Nima. The period of review (POR) is July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004. For the reasons discussed below, the Department is rescinding this administrative review.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** April 7, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Angelica Mendoza at (202) 482-3019 or Abdelali Elouaradia at (202) 482-1374, respectively; AD/CVD Operations, Office 7, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th

Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

##### Background

On July 1, 2004, the Department published a notice of opportunity to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pistachios from Iran, 69 FR 39903. On July 9, 2004, and July 27, 2004, we received requests from petitioner and Cal Pure, respectively, to conduct an administrative review of Nima's sales of pistachios to the United States during the POR. On July 30, 2004, Nima, an exporter of subject merchandise during the POR, requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of its sales of pistachios to the United States. On August 30, 2004, the Department initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pistachios from Iran for the period July 1, 2003, through June 30, 2004, in order to determine whether merchandise imported into the United States was sold at less than fair value by Nima. *See Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Review and Requests for Revocations in Part*, 69 FR 52857.

On March 14, 2005, Nima filed a letter in which it requested that the Department rescind the instant administrative review. On March 15, 2005, Department officials contacted Nima's representative in order to clarify the intent of Nima's March 14, 2005, filing. During this conversation, Nima clarified that it had intended to withdraw its request for the current administrative review pursuant to section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations. *See Memorandum to the File through Abdelali Elouaradia, Program Manager, Office 7, Telephone Conversation with Respondent's Representative*, dated March 15, 2005. On March 24, 2005, both petitioner and Cal Pure also withdrew their requests for the instant review.

##### Rescission of Review

If a party that requested a review withdraws its request within 90 days of the date of publication of the notice of initiation of the requested review, the Secretary will rescind the review pursuant to 19 CFR 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations. However, the Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides that it is reasonable to do so. The Department finds that it is reasonable to extend the time limit by which a party may withdraw its request for review in the instant proceeding. Given that all

parties have withdrawn from, and thereby are no longer participating in, the instant review, we find it reasonable to accept the parties' withdrawals of their requests for review. The Department has not yet devoted considerable time and resources to this review, and the Department concludes that the withdrawals do not constitute an abuse of our procedures by the involved parties. Therefore, the Department is rescinding this administrative review of the antidumping duty order on pistachios from Iran.

#### Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's assumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulations. Timely written notification of the return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is in accordance with section 777(i)(1) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and 19 CFR 351.213(d)(4) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: April 1, 2005.

**Barbara E. Tillman,**

*Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.*

[FR Doc. E5-1613 Filed 4-6-05; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

## DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

### International Trade Administration

[A-475-829]

#### **Stainless Steel Bar From Italy: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Preliminary Rescission of Review**

**AGENCY:** Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
Department of Commerce.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar from Italy. The period of review is March 1, 2003, through February 29, 2004. This review covers imports of stainless steel bar from one producer/exporter. We have preliminarily found that the respondent in this review did not make shipments of subject merchandise to the United States during the period of review and, therefore, we are preliminarily rescinding this administrative review. In addition, the Department of Commerce has received information sufficient to warrant a successor-in-interest analysis. Based on this information, we preliminarily find that UGITECH S.A. is the successor-in-interest to Ugine-Savoie Imphy S.A. for purposes of determining antidumping duty liability. We invite interested parties to comment on these preliminary results. We will issue the final results not later than 120 days from the date of publication of this notice.

**DATES:** *Effective Date:* April 7, 2005.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**  
Scott Holland, AD/CVD Operations,  
Office 1, Import Administration,  
International Trade Administration,  
U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th  
Street and Constitution Avenue, NW.,  
Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202)  
482-1279.

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Background**

On March 7, 2002, the Department of Commerce ("the Department") published an antidumping duty order on stainless steel bar ("SSB") from Italy. *See Notice of Antidumping Duty Order: Stainless Steel Bar from Italy*, 67 FR 10384 (March 7, 2002). On October 10, 2003, the Department published an amended antidumping duty order on SSB from Italy. *See Notice of Amended Antidumping Duty Orders: Stainless Steel Bar from France, Germany, Italy, Korea, and the United Kingdom*, 68 FR 58660 (October 10, 2003).

On March 1, 2004, the Department published in the **Federal Register** a notice of the opportunity for interested parties to request an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on SSB from Italy. *See Notice of Opportunity to Request Administrative Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation*, 69 FR 9584 (March 1, 2004). On March 31, 2004, Carpenter Technology Corp., Crucible Specialty Metals, Electralloy Corp., Empire Specialty Steel Inc., Slater Steels Corp., and the United Steelworkers of

America, AFL-CIO/CLC (collectively, "the petitioners"), requested an administrative review of imports of the subject merchandise produced by UGITECH S.A. ("UGITECH") (formerly known as Ugine Savoie-Imphy S.A.), an Italian exporter/producer of the subject merchandise.

In accordance with 19 CFR 351.221(b)(1), we published a notice of initiation of this antidumping duty administrative review on May 27, 2004. *See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews*, 69 FR 30282 (May 27, 2004). The period of review ("POR") is March 1, 2003, through February 29, 2004.

On May 21, 2004, UGITECH informed the Department that it made no entries of subject merchandise during the POR and requested that the Department rescind the instant review with respect to UGITECH, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.213(d)(3). In June 2004, the petitioners submitted comments on UGITECH's May 21, 2004, submission and requested that the Department investigate further UGITECH's rescission request. In June 2004, UGITECH responded to the petitioner's comments.

For our successor-in-interest analysis, on June 25, 2004, the Department requested additional information concerning the nature of the name change of Ugine Savoie-Imphy S.A. to UGITECH. We received UGITECH's response on July 23, 2004. On September 1, 2004, the petitioners submitted comments on UGITECH's July 23, 2004, response. We issued a supplemental questionnaire on October 12, 2004, requesting additional information with regard to UGITECH's no shipment claim and received UGITECH's response on October 28, 2004.

In November 2004, the Department conducted a verification of UGITECH's questionnaire responses, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.307. The verification report was issued on January 13, 2005. *See Memorandum to the File*, "Verification of UGITECH's S.A.'s No-Shipment Claim," ("UGITECH's VR") dated January 13, 2005.

On November 17, 2004, we extended the time limit for the preliminary results in this review until February 1, 2005. *See Stainless Steel Bar from Italy: Notice of Extension of Time Limit for the Preliminary Results of the Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 69 FR 67309 (November 17, 2004). On January 14, 2005, we extended the time limit for the preliminary results in this review until March 31, 2005. *See Stainless Steel Bar from Italy: Notice of Extension of Time*