

Department's regulations. Section 351.224(f) of the Department's regulations defines ministerial error as " * * * an error in addition, subtraction, or other arithmetic function, clerical error resulting from inaccurate copying, duplication, or the like, and any other similar type of unintentional error which the Secretary considers ministerial." Because the formula used in the Department's calculation of home market credit expense in the Final Results was intentional, CBCC's allegation does not fall under sections 19 CFR 351.224(f) and (g) of the Department's regulations. See Memorandum to The File through Thomas F. Futtner from Maisha Cryor: Companhia Brasileira Carburto De Calcio: Calculations for the Final Results of the 1999–2000 Antidumping Duty Administrative Review of Silicon Metal From Brazil, February 4, 2002. Therefore, we have not amended the interest formula that was used to calculate home market credit expense in the Final Results.

Comment 3:

Petitioners contend that the Department published the wrong "all others" rate in the Final Results. Petitioners state that in the Final Results, the "all others" rate is 8.10%, while the "all others" rate in the antidumping duty order on silicon metal from Brazil is 91.06%. Petitioners assert that, pursuant to Policy Bulletin 93.1, the Department's policy holds that the "all others rate shall be the all others rate contained in the order."

Department's Position: We agree with petitioners that we mistakenly referred to the "all others" rate as 8.10 percent in the Final Results. Therefore, we have corrected the "all others" rate to reflect 91.06 percent, which is the "all others" rate from the less than fair value investigation.

Amended Final Results

We are amending the final results of the antidumping duty administrative review of silicon metal from Brazil to reflect the corrections of the above-cited ministerial errors. These corrections are in accordance with section 751(h) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.224 of the Department's regulations. These corrections did not change any of the company-specific margins published in the Final Results. We are also correcting the stated "all others" rate which is 91.06 percent.

We are issuing and publishing this determination and notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

March 11, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02–6473 Filed 3–15–02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–S

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A–580–841]

Structural Steel Beams From the Republic of Korea: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Final Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: On November 14, 2001, the Department of Commerce ("Department") published the notice of preliminary results of its changed circumstances review examining whether INI Steel Company ("INI") is the successor-in-interest to Inchon Iron & Steel Co., Ltd. ("Inchon") by virtue of its name change. See *Notice of Preliminary Results of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review: Structural Steel Beams from the Republic of Korea*, 66 FR 57035 (November 14, 2001) ("Preliminary Results"). We have now completed this changed circumstances review in accordance with 19 CFR 351.216 and 351.221(c)(3).

As a result of this review, the Department finds that INI is the successor-in-interest to Inchon, and INI should retain the deposit rate assigned to Inchon by the Department for all entries of the subject merchandise produced or exported by INI.

EFFECTIVE DATE: March 18, 2002.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Cheryl Werner or Laurel LaCivita, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230; telephone (202) 482–2667 and (202) 482–4243, respectively.

Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 ("the Act") by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act. In addition, unless

otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department's regulations are to the regulations at 19 CFR part 351 (2001).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 1, 2001, the Department initiated this changed circumstances review. See *Structural Steel Beams from the Republic of Korea: Notice of Initiation of Changed Circumstances Antidumping Duty Administrative Review*, 66 FR 49929 (October 1, 2001) ("Notice of Initiation"). On November 14, 2001, the Department published the preliminary results of its changed circumstances review in the above-named case. See *Preliminary Results* (66 FR 57035). We gave interested parties 21 days to comment on our preliminary results. However, no interested parties have provided comments and no request for a hearing was received by the Department.

Scope of the Review

The products covered by this review include structural steel beams that are doubly-symmetric shapes, whether hot- or cold-rolled, drawn, extruded, formed or finished, having at least one dimension of at least 80 mm (3.2 inches or more), whether of carbon or alloy (other than stainless) steel, and whether or not drilled, punched, notched, painted, coated or clad. These products include, but are not limited to, wide-flange beams ("W" shapes), bearing piles ("HP" shapes), standard beams ("S" or "I" shapes), and M-shapes.

All products that meet the physical and metallurgical descriptions provided above are within the scope of this investigation unless otherwise excluded. The following products are outside and/or specifically excluded from the scope of this investigation: structural steel beams greater than 400 pounds per linear foot or with a web or section height (also known as depth) over 40 inches.

The merchandise subject to this investigation is classified in the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States ("HTSUS") at subheadings: 7216.32.0000, 7216.33.0030, 7216.33.0060, 7216.33.0090, 7216.50.0000, 7216.61.0000, 7216.69.0000, 7216.91.0000, 7216.99.0000, 7228.70.3040, 7228.70.6000. Although the HTSUS subheadings are provided for convenience and Customs purposes, the written description of the merchandise under investigation is dispositive.

Successorship and Final Results of Review

On the basis of the record developed in this proceeding of the changed circumstances review, we determine INI to be the successor-in-interest to Incho for purposes of determining antidumping duty liability. For a complete discussion of the basis for this decision see the *Preliminary Results* (66 FR 57035). Therefore, INI shall retain the antidumping duty deposit rate assigned to Incho by the Department in the most recent administrative review of the subject merchandise.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3). Failure to timely notify the Department in writing of the return/destruction of APO material is a sanctionable violation.

We are issuing and publishing this finding and notice in accordance with sections 751(b)(1) and 777(i)(1) of the Act and 19 CFR 351.221(c)(3) and 19 CFR 351.216.

Dated: March 11, 2002.

Faryar Shirzad,

Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 02-6472 Filed 3-15-02; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration, Commerce.

Export Trade Certificate of Review

ACTION: Notice of issuance of an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review, Application No. 88-5A012.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce has issued an amended Export Trade Certificate of Review to the National Tooling & Machining Association ("NTMA") on March 7, 2002. The original Certificate was issued on October 18, 1988 (53 FR 43140, October 25, 1988) and previously amended on December 4, 1989 (54 FR 51914, December 19, 1989); September 2, 1993 (58 FR 47868, September 13, 1993); May 3, 2000 (65 FR 30073, May 10, 2000); and April 5, 2001 (66 FR 21335, April 30, 2001).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Vanessa M. Bachman, Acting Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, (202) 482-5131 (this is

not a toll-free number), or by e-mail at oitca@ita.doc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (15 U.S.C. 4001-21) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. The regulations implementing Title III are found at 15 CFR part 325 (2000).

The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs ("OETCA") is issuing this notice pursuant to 15 CFR 325.6(b), which requires the Department of Commerce to publish a summary of the Certification in the **Federal Register**. Under section 305(a) of the Act and 15 CFR 325.11(a), any person aggrieved by the Secretary's determination may, within 30 days of the date of this notice, bring an action in any appropriate district court of the United States to set aside the determination on the ground that the determination is erroneous.

Description of Amended Certificate

NTMA's Export Trade Certificate of Review has been amended so that the attached list will constitute the "Members" of the Certificate within the meaning of § 325.2(1) of the Regulations (15 CFR 325.2(1)).

The effective date of the amended certificate is December 12, 2001. A copy of the amended certificate will be kept in the International Trade Administration's Freedom of Information Records Inspection Facility, Room 4102, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20230.

Dated: March 12, 2002.

Vanessa M. Bachman,

Acting Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs.

21st Century Tool & Die, Inc., Waynesboro, TN

3 M MTC, Petaluma, CA

4 Axis Machining, Inc., Denver, CO

A-1 Precision Metal Products, Phoenix, AZ

A & A Industries, Inc., Peabody, MA

A & A Machine Company, Inc., Southampton, PA

A & A Machine Shop, Inc., La Marque, TX

A & B Machine, Van Nuys, CA

A & B Machine Shop, Rockford, IL

A & B Tool & Manufacturing Corp., Toledo, OH

A & D Precision, Fremont, CA

A & E Custom Manufacturing, Technologies, Inc., Kansas City, KS

A & E Machine Shop, Inc., Lone Star, TX

A & G Machine, Inc., Auburn, WA

A & S Tool & Die Company, Inc., Kernersville, NC

A A Precisioneering, Inc., Meadville, PA

A B A Division, A B A-P G T, Inc., Manchester, CT

A B C O Tool & Engineering, Phoenix, AZ

A B Heller, Inc., Milford, MI

A B N Industrial Co., Inc., Buena Park, CA

A B R Enterprises Inc., South Pasadena, CA

A C Machine, Inc., Akron, OH

A E Cole Die & Engraving, Columbus, OH

A E Machine Works, Inc., Houston, TX

A F C Tool Company, Inc., Subsidiary of F C Industries, Dayton, OH

A I M Tool & Die, Grand Haven, MI

A M C Precision, Inc., N. Tonawanda, NY

A M Design, E. Canton, OH

A M Machine Company, Inc., Baltimore, MD

A Mfg., Redlands, CA

A S C Corporation, Owings Mills, MD

A-Line Tool & Die, Inc., Louisville, KY

A-G Tool & Die, Div. of Seilkop Industries, Inc., Miamitown, OH

A-Rand, Inc., Phoenix, AZ

A & B Aerospace, Inc., Azusa, CA

A. C. Grinding & Supply Co. Inc., Levittown, PA

A. C. Cut-Off, Inc., Azusa, CA

A+ Engineering, Ipswich, MA

Abbott Tool, Inc., Toledo, OH

Abbott Machine & Tool, Inc., Toledo, OH

Ability Tool Company, Rockford, IL

Able Wire EDM, Inc., Brea, CA

Abrams Airborne Manufacturing, Inc., Tucson, AZ

Absolute Turning & Machine, Tucson, AZ

Absolute Manufacturing, N. Chelmsford, MA

Absolute Grinding Co., Inc., Mentor, OH

Acadiana Hydraulic Works, Inc., New Iberia, LA

Accu-Roll, Inc., Rochester, NY

Accu Die & Mold Inc., Stevensville, MI

AccuCraft, New Haven, MO

Accudynamics, Inc., Middleboro, MA

Accudyne Aerospace & Defense, Palm Bay, FL

Accura Industries, Inc., Rochester, NY

Accurate Grinding & Mfg. Corp., & Accurate

Fishing Products, Los Angeles, CA

Accurate Grinding Corp., Warwick, RI

Accurate Machine Co. Inc., Indianapolis, IN

Accurate Machining, Mukilteo, WA

Accurate Manufacturing Company, Glendale, CA

Accurate Products Co., Tucson, AZ

Accurate Marking Products, Inc., Pittsburgh, PA

Accurite Machine & Mfg. Inc., Louisville, KY

Accutronics, Inc., Littleton, CO

Ace Specialty Company, Inc., Tonawanda, NY

Ace Manufacturing Company, Cincinnati, OH

Ackley Machine Corporation, Moorestown, NJ

Acklin Stamping, Toledo, OH

Acme/Walton Machine & Tool, Inc., Louisville, KY

Acme Metal Works, Gilbert, AZ

ACMT, Inc. dba A C Tool & Machine, Co., Louisville, KY

Acraloc Corporation, Oak Ridge, TN

Acro Industries, Inc., Rochester, NY

Acro Tool & Die Company, Inc., Akron, OH

Actco Tool & Mfg. Co., Meadville, PA

Action Die & Tool Inc., Wyoming, MI

Action Mold & Tool Co., Anaheim, CA

Action Precision Grinding Inc., North Tonawanda, NY

Action SuperAbrasive Products, Brimfield, OH

Action Tool and Manufacturing, Inc., Rockford, IL

Action Machine L.L.C., Phoenix, AZ

Action E.D.M. & Tool Inc., Concord, NC

Acucut, Inc., Southington, CT