violation or with enforcing or implementing such law.

[Following this sentence insert the two new paragraphs below.]

Relevant information contained in this system of records may also be released to contractors, grantees, experts, consultants, students, and others performing or working on a contract, service, grant, cooperative agreement, or other assignment for the Federal Government, when necessary to accomplish an agency function related to this system of records.

Pursuant to subsection (b)(3) of the Privacy Act, the Department of Justice may disclose relevant and necessary information to a former employee of the Department for purposes of: Responding to an official inquiry by a federal, state, or local government entity or professional licensing authority, in accordance with applicable Department regulations; or facilitating communications with a former employee that may be necessary for personnel-related or other official purposes where the Department requires information and/or consultation assistance from the former employee regarding a matter within that person's former area of responsibility.

[The following section of the text and thereafter does not change.]

Release of information to the news media and the public:

[FR Doc. 01–16825 Filed 7–3–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–30–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

### **Antitrust Division**

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Personalization Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on June 1, 2001, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Personalization Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Guardent, Inc., Waltham, MA; and 180 Solutions, Inc., Bothell, WA have been added as parties to this venture. Also, SPSS, Chicago, IL;

eCustomers, Austin, TX; NextClick: The Personalization Agency, Calgary, Alberta, Canada; Yo.com, New York, NY have been dropped as parties to this venture.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Personalization Consortium, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On June 15, 2000, Personalization Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on August 11, 2000 (65 FR 49266).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 5, 2001. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 29, 2001 (66 FR 17202).

## Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 01–16772 Filed 7–3–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

# **Antitrust Division**

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Salutation Consortium, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that, on May 23, 2001, pursuant to section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Salutation Consortium, Inc. has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing changes in its membership status. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Square USA, Inc., Ramsey, NJ has been dropped as a party to this

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of the group research project. Membership in this group research project remains open, and Salutation Consortium, Inc. intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On March 30, 1995, Salutation Consortium, Inc. filed its original notification pursuant to section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on June 27, 1995 (60 FR 33233).

The last notification was filed with the Department on March 2, 2001. A notice was published in the **Federal Register** pursuant to section 6(b) of the Act on March 29, 2001 (66 FR 17203).

### Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 01–16773 Filed 7–3–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–11–M

## **DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE**

## **Drug Enforcement Administration**

# Aseel, Incorporated, Wholesale Division; Denial of Application

On or about May 8, 2000, the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA), issued an Order to Show Cause (OTSC) by certified mail to Aseel Incorporated, Wholesale Division (Aseel), located in Dallas. Texas, notifying it of an opportunity to show cause as to why the DEA should not deny its application, dated July 7, 1998, for a DEA Certificate of Registration as a distributor of the List I chemicals ephedrine, pseudoephedrine, and phenylpropanolamine, pursuant to 21 U.S.C. 823(h), as being inconsistent with the public interest. The order also notified Aseel that, should no request for hearing be filed within 30 days, the right to hearing would be waived.

The DEA mailed the show cause order on May 11, 2000, to Aseel at the proposed registered location in Dallas, Texas by certified mail. At the same time, a copy of the show cause order was sent by regular first class mail to the Murphy, Texas home address of Aseel's President, Mr. Husham Awadelkariem. The certified letter was returned to DEA by the U.S. Postal Service, marked "moved, left no address." The copy sent by first class mail was not returned, and presumably was delivered.

Subsequently, on May 25, 2000, a DEA Diversion Investigator in the Dallas, Texas office, received a telephone call from Mr. Awadelkariem, who stated he received the show cause order and inquired whether he could limit his distribution of chemicals to convenience stores without a DEA registration. Since that time, no response has been received from the applicant nor any person purporting to represent the applicant. Therefore, the Administrator of the DEA, finding that (1) thirty days have passed since receipt