

the CAA. Accordingly, this action merely approves state law as meeting Federal requirements and does not impose additional requirements beyond those imposed by state law. For that reason, this action:

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to review by the Office of Management and Budget under Executive Orders 12866 (58 FR 51735, October 4, 1993) and 13563 (76 FR 3821, January 21, 2011);

- Does not impose an information collection burden under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*);

- Is certified as not having a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*);

- Does not contain any unfunded mandate or significantly or uniquely affect small governments, as described in the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 (Pub. L. 104–4);

- Does not have Federalism implications as specified in Executive Order 13132 (64 FR 43255, August 10, 1999);

- Is not an economically significant regulatory action based on health or safety risks subject to Executive Order 13045 (62 FR 19885, April 23, 1997);

- Is not a significant regulatory action subject to Executive Order 13211 (66 FR 28355, May 22, 2001);

- Is not subject to requirements of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act (NTTA) because this rulemaking does not involve technical standards; and

- Does not provide the EPA with the discretionary authority to address, as appropriate, disproportionate human health or environmental effects, using practicable and legally permissible methods, under Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994).

The SIP is not approved to apply on any Indian reservation land or in any other area where EPA or an Indian tribe has demonstrated that a tribe has jurisdiction. In those areas of Indian country, the rule does not have tribal implications and will not impose substantial direct costs on tribal governments or preempt tribal law as specified by Executive Order 13175 (65 FR 67249, November 9, 2000).

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 52

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Incorporation by reference, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Volatile organic compounds.

Dated: May 7, 2021.

**Edward H. Chu,**

*Acting Regional Administrator, Region 7.*

For the reasons stated in the preamble, the EPA proposes to amend 40 CFR part 52 as set forth below:

#### PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

■ 1. The authority citation for part 52 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 42 U.S.C. 7401, *et seq.*

#### Subpart AA—Missouri

■ 2. In § 52.1320, the table in paragraph (c) is amended by removing the entry “10–5.370” under the heading “Chapter 5—Air Quality Standards and Air Pollution Control Regulations for the St. Louis Metropolitan Area”.

[FR Doc. 2021–10124 Filed 5–13–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

#### 40 CFR Part 300

[EPA–HQ–SFUND–1983–0002, EPA–HQ–SFUND–1986–0005, EPA–HQ–SFUND–1987–0002, EPA–HQ–SFUND–1989–0011, EPA–HQ–SFUND–1990–0010, EPA–HQ–SFUND–1990–0011, EPA–HQ–SFUND–1993–0001, EPA–HQ–SFUND–2000–0004, EPA–HQ–SFUND–2002–0008, EPA–HQ–SFUND–2003–0010, EPA–HQ–SFUND–2005–0011, EPA–HQ–SFUND–2006–0759, EPA–HQ–SFUND–2009–0587, EPA–HQ–SFUND–2011–0076, EPA–HQ–SFUND–2011–0077; FRL–10023–77–OLEM]

#### Proposed Deletion From the National Priorities List

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; notice of intent.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is issuing a Notice of Intent to delete nine sites and partially delete eleven sites from the National Priorities List (NPL) and requests public comments on this proposed action. The NPL, promulgated pursuant to section 105 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980, as amended, is an appendix of the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan (NCP). The EPA and the state, through its designated state agency, have determined that all appropriate response actions under CERCLA, other than operations and maintenance of the remedy, monitoring

and five-year reviews, where applicable, have been completed. However, this deletion does not preclude future actions under Superfund.

**DATES:** Comments regarding this proposed action must be submitted on or before June 14, 2021.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under the Docket Identification number included in Table 1 in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document. Submit your comments, identified by the appropriate Docket ID number, by one of the following methods:

- <https://www.regulations.gov>.

Follow on-line instructions for submitting comments. Once submitted, comments cannot be edited or removed from *Regulations.gov*. The EPA may publish any comment received to its public docket. Do not submit electronically any information you consider to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Multimedia submissions (audio, video, etc.) must be accompanied by a written comment. The written comment is considered the official comment and should include discussion of all points you wish to make. The EPA will generally not consider comments or comment contents located outside of the primary submission (*i.e.* on the web, cloud, or other file sharing system). For additional submission methods, the full EPA public comment policy, information about CBI or multimedia submissions, and general guidance on making effective comments, please visit <http://www2.epa.gov/dockets/commenting-epa-dockets>.

- **Email:** Table 2 in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document provides an email address to submit public comments for the proposed deletion action.

**Instructions:** Direct your comments to the Docket Identification number included in Table 1 in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document. EPA's policy is that all comments received will be included in the public docket without change and may be made available online at <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal information provided, unless the comment includes information claimed to be Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Do not submit information that you consider to be CBI or otherwise protected through <https://www.regulations.gov> or email. The <https://www.regulations.gov> website is an “anonymous access” system, which

means EPA will not know your identity or contact information unless you provide it in the body of your comment. If you send an email comment directly to EPA without going through <https://www.regulations.gov>, your email address will be automatically captured and included as part of the comment that is placed in the public docket and made available on the internet. If you submit an electronic comment, EPA recommends that you include your name and other contact information in the body of your comment and with any disk or CD-ROM you submit. If EPA cannot read your comment due to technical difficulties and cannot contact you for clarification, EPA may not be able to consider your comment. Electronic files should avoid the use of special characters, any form of encryption, and be free of any defects or viruses.

**Docket:** EPA has established a docket for this action under the Docket Identification included in Table 1 in the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section of this document. All documents in the docket are listed on the <https://www.regulations.gov> website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, *i.e.*, Confidential Business Information or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through <https://www.regulations.gov> or in hard copy at the corresponding Regional Records Center. Location, address, and phone number of the Regional Records Centers follows.

**Regional Records Center:**

- Region 2 (NJ, NY, PR, VI), U.S. EPA, 290 Broadway, New York, NY 10007–1866; 212/637–4308.
- Region 3 (DE, DC, MD, PA, VA, WV), U.S. EPA Superfund Records Center, 1650 Arch Street, Mail code 3SD42, Philadelphia, PA 19103; 215/814–3024.
- Region 4 (AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, NC, SC, TN), U.S. EPA, 61 Forsyth Street SW, Mail code 9T25, Atlanta, GA 30303; 404/562–8637.
- Region 5 (IL, IN, MI, MN, OH, WI), U.S. EPA Superfund Records Manager, Mail code SRC–7J, Metcalfe Federal Building, 7th Floor South, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, IL 60604; 312/886–4465.
- Region 7 (IA, KS, MO, NE), U.S. EPA, 11201 Renner Blvd., Mail code SUPRSTAR, Lenexa, KS 66219; 913/551–7956.

- Region 8 (CO, MT, ND, SD, UT, WY), U.S. EPA, 1595 Wynkoop Street, Mail code Records Center, Denver, CO 80202–1129; 303/312–7273.

- Region 10 (AK, ID, OR, WA), U.S. EPA, 1200 6th Avenue, Suite 155, Mail stop OMP–161, Seattle, WA 98101; 206/553–4494.

The EPA is temporarily suspending Regional Records Centers for public visitors to reduce the risk of transmitting COVID–19. Information in these repositories, including the deletion docket, has not been updated with hardcopy or electronic media. For further information and updates on EPA Docket Center services, please visit us online at <https://www.epa.gov/dockets>. The EPA continues to carefully and continuously monitor information from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), local area health departments, and our Federal partners so that we can respond rapidly as conditions change regarding COVID.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

- Mabel Garcia, U.S. EPA Region 2 (NJ, NY, PR, VI), [garcia.mabel@epa.gov](mailto:garcia.mabel@epa.gov), 212/637–4356
- Andrew Hass, U.S. EPA Region 3 (DE, DC, MD, PA, VA, WV), [hass.andrew@epa.gov](mailto:hass.andrew@epa.gov), 215/814–2049
- Leigh Lattimore or Brian Farrier, U.S. EPA Region 4 (AL, FL, GA, KY, MS, NC, SC, TN), [lattimore.leigh@epa.gov](mailto:lattimore.leigh@epa.gov) or [farrier.brian@epa.gov](mailto:farrier.brian@epa.gov), 404/562–8768 or 404/562–8952
- Karen Cibulskis, U.S. EPA Region 5 (IL, IN, MI, MN, OH, WI), [cibulskis.karen@epa.gov](mailto:cibulskis.karen@epa.gov), 312/886–1843
- David Wennerstrom, U.S. EPA Region 7 (IA, KS, MO, NE), [wennerstrom.david@epa.gov](mailto:wennerstrom.david@epa.gov), 913/551–7996
- Linda Kiefer, U.S. EPA Region 8 (CO, MT, ND, SD, UT, WY), [kiefer.linda@epa.gov](mailto:kiefer.linda@epa.gov), 303/312–6689
- Linda Meyer, U.S. EPA Region 10 (AK, ID, OR, WA), [meyer.linda@epa.gov](mailto:meyer.linda@epa.gov), 206/553–6636
- Chuck Sands, U.S. EPA Headquarters, [sands.charles@epa.gov](mailto:sands.charles@epa.gov), 703/603–8857

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

**Table of Contents**

- I. Introduction
- II. NPL Deletion Criteria
- III. Deletion Procedures
- IV. Basis for Intended Partial Site Deletion

**I. Introduction**

EPA is issuing a Notice of Intent to delete nine sites and partially delete eleven sites from the National Priorities List (NPL) and requests public comments on this proposed action. The NPL constitutes Appendix B of 40 CFR part 300 which is the NCP, which EPA

created under section 105 of the CERCLA statute of 1980, as amended. EPA maintains the NPL as those sites that appear to present a significant risk to public health, welfare, or the environment. Sites on the NPL may be the subject of remedial actions financed by the Hazardous Substance Superfund (Fund). These partial deletions are proposed in accordance with 40 CFR 300.425(e) and is consistent with the Notice of Policy Change: Partial Deletion of Sites Listed on the National Priorities List. 60 FR 55466, (November 1, 1995). As described in 40 CFR 300.425(e)(3) of the NCP, a site or portion of a site deleted from the NPL remains eligible for Fund-financed remedial action if future conditions warrant such actions.

EPA will accept comments on the proposal to partially delete this site for thirty (30) days after publication of this document in the **Federal Register**.

Section II of this document explains the criteria for deleting sites from the NPL. Section III of this document discusses procedures that EPA is using for this action. Section IV of this document discusses the portion of the site proposed for deletion and demonstrates how it meets the deletion criteria, including reference documents with the rationale and data principally relied upon by the EPA to determine that the Superfund response is complete.

**II. NPL Deletion Criteria**

The NCP establishes the criteria that EPA uses to delete sites from the NPL. In accordance with 40 CFR 300.425(e), sites may be deleted from the NPL where no further response is appropriate. In making such a determination pursuant to 40 CFR 300.425(e), EPA will consider, in consultation with the State, whether any of the following criteria have been met:

- i. Responsible parties or other persons have implemented all appropriate response actions required;
- ii. All appropriate Fund-financed response under CERCLA has been implemented, and no further response action by responsible parties is appropriate; or
- iii. The remedial investigation has shown that the release poses no significant threat to public health or the environment and, therefore, the taking of remedial measures is not appropriate.

Pursuant to CERCLA section 121(c) and the NCP, EPA conducts five-year reviews to ensure the continued protectiveness of remedial actions where hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants remain at a site above levels that allow for unlimited use and

unrestricted exposure. EPA conducts such five-year reviews even if a site is deleted from the NPL. EPA may initiate further action to ensure continued protectiveness at a deleted site if new information becomes available that indicates it is appropriate. Whenever there is a significant release from a site deleted from the NPL, the deleted site may be restored to the NPL without application of the hazard ranking system.

### III. Deletion Procedures

The following procedures apply to the deletion or partial deletion of the sites in this proposed rule:

(1) EPA consulted with the respective state before developing this Notice of Intent for deletion.

(2) EPA has provided the state 30 working days for review of this proposed action prior to publication of it today.

(3) In accordance with the criteria discussed above, EPA has determined that no further response is appropriate.

(4) The state, through their designated state agency, has concurred with the proposed deletion action.

(5) Concurrently, with the publication of this Notice of Intent for deletion in the **Federal Register**, a notice is being published in a major local newspaper of

general circulation near the site. The newspaper announces the 30-day public comment period concerning the Notice of Intent for deletion.

(6) The EPA placed copies of documents supporting the proposed deletion in the deletion docket, made these items available for public inspection, and copying at the Regional Records Center identified above.

If comments are received within the 30-day comment period on this document, EPA will evaluate and respond accordingly to the comments before making a final decision to delete or partially delete the site. If necessary, EPA will prepare a Responsiveness Summary to address any significant public comments received. After the public comment period, if EPA determines it is still appropriate to delete or partially delete the site, the EPA will publish a final Notice of Deletion or Partial Deletion in the **Federal Register**. Public notices, public submissions and copies of the Responsiveness Summary, if prepared, will be made available to interested parties and included in the site information repositories listed above.

Deletion of a site or a portion of a site from the NPL does not itself create, alter, or revoke any individual's rights or obligations. Deletion of a site or a

portion of a site from the NPL does not in any way alter EPA's right to take enforcement actions, as appropriate. The NPL is designed primarily for informational purposes and to assist EPA management. Section 300.425(e)(3) of the NCP states that the deletion of a site from the NPL does not preclude eligibility for future response actions, should future conditions warrant such actions.

### IV. Basis for Full Site or Partial Site Deletion

The site to be deleted or partially deleted from the NPL, the location of the site, and docket number with information including reference documents with the rationale and data principally relied upon by the EPA to determine that the Superfund response is complete are specified in Table 1. The NCP permits activities to occur at a deleted site or that media or parcel of a partially deleted site, including operation and maintenance of the remedy, monitoring, and five-year reviews. These activities for the site are entered in Table 1, if applicable, under Footnote such that; 1 = site has continued operation and maintenance of the remedy, 2 = site receives continued monitoring, and 3 = site five-year reviews are conducted.

TABLE 1

Site name	City/county, state	Type	Docket No.	Footnote
Reich Farms .....	Pleasant Plains, NJ .....	Full .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-1983-0002	2, 3.
Butler Mine Tunnel .....	Pittston, PA .....	Full .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-1987-0002	
Airco .....	Calvert City, KY .....	Full .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-2005-0011	1, 2, 3.
Chemfax, Inc .....	Gulfport, MS .....	Partial .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-1993-0001	1, 2, 3.
Kerr-McGee Chemical Corp-Navassa .....	Navassa, NC .....	Partial .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-2009-0587	
T.H. Agriculture & Nutrition (Montgomery) .....	Montgomery, AL .....	Partial .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-1990-0011	1, 2, 3.
US Finishing/Cone Mills .....	Greenville, SC .....	Partial .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-2011-0077	
Arrowhead Refinery Co .....	Hermantown, MN .....	Full .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-2005-0011	2, 3.
Barrels, Inc .....	Lansing, MI .....	Full .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-1989-0011	1, 3.
Bennett Stone Quarry .....	Bloomington, IN .....	Full .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-2005-0011	1, 2, 3.
Lemon Lane Landfill .....	Bloomington, IN .....	Full .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-1983-0002	1, 2, 3.
South Minneapolis Residential Soil Contamination .....	Minneapolis, MN .....	Partial .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-2006-0759	
United Scrap Lead Co., Inc .....	Troy, OH .....	Full .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-2005-0011	1, 3.
Neal's Landfill (Bloomington) .....	Bloomington, IN .....	Full .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-1983-0002	1, 2, 3.
Missouri Electric Works .....	Cape Girardeau, MO .....	Partial .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-1990-0010	1, 3.
Omaha Lead .....	Omaha, NE .....	Partial .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-2003-0010	1, 3.
Riverfront .....	New Haven, MO .....	Partial .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-2000-0004	1, 2, 3.
Libby Asbestos .....	Libby, MT .....	Partial .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-2002-0008	1, 3.
Eagle Mine .....	Minturn/Redcliff, CO .....	Partial .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-1986-0005	1, 3.
North Ridge Estates .....	Klamath Falls, OR .....	Partial .....	EPA-HQ-SFUND-2011-0076	1, 3.

Table 2 includes information concerning whether the full site is proposed for deletion from the NPL or a description of the area, media or

Operable Units (OUs) of the NPL site proposed for partial deletion from the NPL, and an email address to which public comments may be submitted if

the commenter does not comment using <https://www.regulations.gov>.

TABLE 2

Site name	Full site deletion (full) or media/parcels/description for partial deletion	Email address for public comments
Reich Farms .....	Full .....	<a href="mailto:gorin.jonathan@epa.gov">gorin.jonathan@epa.gov</a> .
Butler Mine Tunnel .....	Full .....	<a href="mailto:cron.mitch@epa.gov">cron.mitch@epa.gov</a> .
Airco .....	Full .....	<a href="mailto:jackson.brad@epa.gov">jackson.brad@epa.gov</a> .
Chemfax, Inc. ....	11 acres of soils, sediments .....	<a href="mailto:farrier.brian@epa.gov">farrier.brian@epa.gov</a> .
Kerr-McGee Chemical Corp-Navassa .....	20.2 acres of OU1 soils .....	<a href="mailto:spalvins.erik@epa.gov">spalvins.erik@epa.gov</a> .
T.H. Agriculture & Nutrition (Montgomery) .....	16.4 acres of soils and sediments .....	<a href="mailto:farrier.brian@epa.gov">farrier.brian@epa.gov</a> .
US Finishing/Cone Mills .....	150 acres of OU2 soils, sediments and surface water.	<a href="mailto:martin.scott@epa.gov">martin.scott@epa.gov</a> .
Arrowhead Refinery Co .....	Full .....	<a href="mailto:Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com">Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com</a> .
Barrels, Inc. ....	Full .....	<a href="mailto:Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com">Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com</a> .
Bennett Stone Quarry .....	Full .....	<a href="mailto:Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com">Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com</a> .
Lemon Lane Landfill .....	Full .....	<a href="mailto:Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com">Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com</a> .
South Minneapolis Residential Soil Contamination.	Five properties .....	<a href="mailto:Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com">Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com</a> .
United Scrap Lead Co., Inc. ....	Full .....	<a href="mailto:Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com">Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com</a> .
Neal's Landfill (Bloomington) .....	Full .....	<a href="mailto:Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com">Deletions@usepa.onmicrosoft.com</a> .
Missouri Electric Works .....	6.4-acre site property, OU 1 soils and OU3 sediments.	<a href="mailto:wennerstrom.david@epa.gov">wennerstrom.david@epa.gov</a> .
Omaha Lead .....	96 residential parcels .....	<a href="mailto:wennerstrom.david@epa.gov">wennerstrom.david@epa.gov</a> .
Riverfront .....	1.4-acre OU 3 Old City Dump soil, groundwater, surface water, seeps.	<a href="mailto:wennerstrom.david@epa.gov">wennerstrom.david@epa.gov</a> .
Libby Asbestos .....	OU 8 Roads and Highways (30 miles of roads and right-of-way).	<a href="mailto:zinner.dania@epa.gov">zinner.dania@epa.gov</a> .
Eagle Mine .....	50 acres of OU 2 Town of Gilman soils .....	<a href="mailto:miller.jamie@epa.gov">miller.jamie@epa.gov</a> .
North Ridge Estates .....	125-acre OU 1 includes Northridge Estates and former Marine Recuperation Barracks soils.	<a href="mailto:meyer.linda@epa.gov">meyer.linda@epa.gov</a> .

EPA maintains the NPL as the list of sites that appear to present a significant risk to public health, welfare, or the environment. Deletion from the NPL does not preclude further remedial action. Whenever there is a significant release from a site deleted from the NPL, the deleted site may be restored to the NPL without application of the hazard ranking system. Deletion of a site from the NPL does not affect responsible party liability in the unlikely event that future conditions warrant further actions.

#### List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 300

Environmental protection, Air pollution control, Chemicals, Hazardous substances, Hazardous waste, Intergovernmental relations, Natural resources, Oil pollution, Penalties, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Superfund, Water pollution control, Water supply.

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1251 *et seq.*; 42 U.S.C. 9601–9657; E.O. 13626, 77 FR 56749, 3 CFR, 2013 Comp., p. 306; E.O. 12777, 56 FR 54757, 3 CFR, 1991 Comp., p. 351; E.O. 12580, 52 FR 2923, 3 CFR, 1987 Comp., p. 193.

**Larry Douchand,**

*Director, Office of Superfund Remediation and Technology Innovation.*

[FR Doc. 2021–10132 Filed 5–13–21; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

#### GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

#### 41 CFR Parts 300–90, 301–74, and Appendix E to Chapter 301

[FTR Case 2021–301–01; Docket No. GSA–FTR–2021–0011, Sequence No. 1]

RIN 3090–AK41

#### Federal Travel Regulation; Removal and Reservation of Part 300–90—Telework Travel Expenses Test Programs and Appendix E to Chapter 301—Suggested Guidance for Conference Planning

**AGENCY:** Office of Government-wide Policy (OGP), General Services Administration (GSA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** GSA is proposing to amend the Federal Travel Regulation (FTR) to remove and reserve the regulations implementing GSA's authority to conduct telework travel expenses test programs. GSA's authority to authorize agencies to conduct such test programs expired in accordance with the William M. (Mac) Thornberry National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2021. GSA is also proposing to remove and reserve Appendix E to Chapter 301, which contains suggested guidance for conference planning.

**DATES:** Interested parties should submit written comments to the Regulatory

Secretariat Division at the address shown below on or before July 13, 2021 to be considered in the formation of the final rule.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments in response to FTR case 2021–301–01 to: [Regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov): <https://www.regulations.gov>. Submit comments via the Federal eRulemaking portal by searching for “FTR Case 2021–301–01”. Select the link “Comment Now” that corresponds with FTR Case 2021–301–01. Follow the instructions provided at the “Comment Now” screen. Please include your name, company name (if any), and “FTR Case 2021–301–01” on your attached document. If your comment cannot be submitted using <https://www.regulations.gov>, call or email the points of contact in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section of this document for alternate instructions.

**Instructions:** Please submit comments only and cite FTR Case 2021–301–01, in all correspondence related to this case. Comments received generally will be posted without change to <https://www.regulations.gov>, including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check [www.regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov), approximately two to three days after submission to verify posting.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Ms. Jill Denning, Program Analyst, at 202–208–7642 or [travelpolicy@gsa.gov](mailto:travelpolicy@gsa.gov) for