#### **Protection of Children**

The Coast Guard has analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13045, Protection of Children from Environmental Health Risks and Safety Risks. This rule is not an economically significant rule and does not create an environmental risk to health or risk to safety that may disproportionately affect children.

### **Indian Tribal Governments**

This rule does not have tribal implications under Executive Order 13175, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments because it does not have a substantial direct effect on one or more Indian tribes, on the relationship between the Federal Government and Indian tribes, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities between the Federal Government and Indian tribes.

#### **Environment**

The Coast Guard has considered the environmental impact of this rule and concluded that, under Figure 2–1, paragraph 34(g) of Commandant Instruction M16475.1D, this rule is categorically excluded from further environmental documentation. A "Categorical Exclusion Determination" is available in the docket for inspection or copying where indicated under ADDRESSES.

## **Energy Effects**

The Coast Guard has analyzed this rule under Executive Order 13211, Actions Concerning Regulations That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution, or Use. We have determined that it is not a "significant energy action" under that order because it is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866 and is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy. It has not been designated by the Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs as a significant energy action. Therefore, it does not require a Statement of Energy Effects under Executive Order 13211.

### List of Subjects in 33 CFR Part 165

Harbors, Marine safety, Navigation (water), Reporting and record keeping requirements, Security measures, Waterways.

#### Regulation

For the reasons discussed in the preamble, the Coast Guard amends 33 CFR part 165 as follows:

# PART 165—REGULATED NAVIGATION AREAS AND LIMITED ACCESS AREAS

1. The authority citation for part 165 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 33 U.S.C. 1231; 50 U.S.C. 191, 33 CFR 1.05–1(g), 6.04–1, 6.04–6, 160.5; 49 CFR 1.46.

## [§165.103 Suspended]

- 2. Suspend § 165.103 from June 21, 2002 through August 15, 2002.
- 3. In temporary § 165.T01–192 revise the section heading and add a new paragraph (c) to read as follows:

### §165.T01–192 Safety and Security Zones; LPG Transits, Portland, Maine Marine Inspection Zone and Captain of the Port Zone

(c) *Effective dates*. This section is effective from November 9, 2001 through August 15, 2002.

Dated: April 29, 2002.

### M.P. O'Malley,

Commander, Coast Guard, Captain of the Port, Portland, ME.

[FR Doc. 02–11491 Filed 5–7–02; 8:45 am] **BILLING CODE 4910–15–P** 

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 124

## **Procedures for Decisionmaking**

CFR Correction

In Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations, parts 100 to 135, revised as of July 1, 2001, in § 124.15, on page 266, the third sentence of paragraph (a) is revised, and in § 124.56, on page 276, paragraph (b)(1)(vi) is revised, as follows:

# § 124.15 Issuance and effective date of permit.

(a)\* \* \* This notice shall include reference to the procedures for appealing a decision on a RCRA, UIC, PSD, or NPDES permit under § 124.19 of this part. \* \* \*

# § 124.56 Fact sheets (applicable to State programs, see § 123.25 (NPDES).)

\* \* \* (b)\* \* \*

(1)\* \* \*

(vi) Waivers from monitoring requirements granted under § 122.44(a) of this chapter.

[FR Doc. 02–55511 Filed 5–7–02; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 1505–01–D

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

### 40 CFR Part 261

[SWH-FRL-7208-6]

Hazardous Waste Management System; Identification and Listing of Hazardous Waste: Spent Catalysts From Dual-Purpose Petroleum Hydroprocessing Reactors

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency.

**ACTION:** Notice of availability of response to comments on the scope of petroleum hazardous waste listings.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) today is announcing its decision to maintain its interpretation that under RCRA regulations, spent catalyst wastes removed from dual purpose hydroprocessing reactors at petroleum refining facilities are listed hazardous wastes. This interpretation was previously announced in Agency memoranda dated November 29, 1999 and June 1, 2000. In a Federal Register notice published July 5, 2001 (66 FR 35379), EPA announced that it was providing the public an opportunity to comment on the interpretation set forth in these memoranda and that the Agency would issue a second Federal Register notice that would announce EPA's decision and provide responses to those comments received. EPA's responses are provided in today's document and in a background document, "Response to Comments: July 5, 2001 FR Notice on Spent Catalysts from Dual-Purpose Petroleum Hydroprocessing Reactors." The regulations addressed in the memoranda and again in today's document were promulgated under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) on August 6, 1998 (63 FR 42110).

ADDRESSES: Supporting materials to this notice are available for viewing in the RCRA Information Center (RIC), located at Crystal Gateway I, First Floor, 1235 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA. The Docket Identification Number is F-2002-PR2F-FFFFF. The RIC is open from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding federal holidays. To review file materials, we recommend that you make an appointment by calling (703) 603-9230. You may copy a maximum of 100 pages from any file maintained at the RCRA Docket at no charge. Additional copies cost \$0.15/per page. The docket index and some supporting materials are available electronically. See the beginning of the **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION** section for information on accessing them.