(curriculum, orientation and wrap-up sessions, program meetings, and resource materials).

5. Institutional Capacity

Proposed personnel and institutional resources should be adequate and appropriate to achieve the program or project's goals. Coordinator responsible for curriculum, materials development and instruction should demonstrate relevant ESL/U.S. culture teaching experience and qualifications. Disability resource specialist(s) should have appropriate background and experience, and proposal must ensure that students with disabilities will be provided with adequate supports and reasonable accommodations.

6. Institution's Record/Ability

Proposals should demonstrate an institutional record of successful language/culture programs, including responsible fiscal management and full compliance with all reporting requirements for past Bureau grants as determined by Bureau Grant Staff. The Bureau will consider the past performance of prior recipients and the demonstrated potential of new applicants.

7. Project Evaluation

Proposals should include a plan to evaluate the program's success, both as the activities unfold and at the end of the program. A draft survey questionnaire, tests, or other techniques plus description of a methodology to use to link outcomes to original project objectives is recommended. Successful applicant will be expected to submit a final report after project is concluded.

8. Cost-effectiveness/Cost-sharing

The overhead and administrative components of the proposal, including salaries and honoraria, should be kept as low as possible. All other items should be necessary and appropriate. Proposals should maximize cost-sharing through other private sector support as well as institutional direct funding contributions.

Authority

Overall grant making authority for this program is contained in the Mutual Educational and Cultural Exchange Act of 1961, Public Law 87–256, as amended, also known as the Fulbright-Hays Act. The purpose of the Act is "to enable the Government of the United States to increase mutual understanding between the people of the United States and the people of other countries * * *; to strengthen the ties which unite us with other nations by demonstrating the

educational and cultural interests, developments, and achievements of the people of the United States and other nations * * * and thus to assist in the development of friendly, sympathetic and peaceful relations between the United States and the other countries of the world." The funding authority for the program above is provided through legislation appropriating funds annually for Department of State's exchange programs.

Notice

The terms and conditions published in this RFGP are binding and may not be modified by any Bureau representative. Explanatory information provided by the Bureau that contradicts published language will not be binding. Issuance of the RFP does not constitute an award commitment on the part of the Government. The Bureau reserves the right to reduce, revise, or increase proposal budgets in accordance with the needs of the program and the availability of funds. Awards made will be subject to periodic reporting and evaluation requirements.

Notification

Final awards cannot be made until funds have been appropriated by Congress, allocated and committed through internal Bureau procedures.

Dated: August 17, 2001.

Helena Kane Finn,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Educational and Cultural Affairs, Department of State. [FR Doc. 01–21344 Filed 8–22–01; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4710–11–P

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as Amended by Public Law 104–13; Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Tennessee Valley Authority. **ACTION:** Submission for OMB Review; comment request.

SUMMARY: The proposed information collection described below will be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review, as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, as amended). The Tennessee Valley Authority is soliciting public comments on this proposed collection as provided by 5 CFR 1320.8(d)(1). Requests for information, including copies of the information collection proposed and supporting documentation, should be directed to the Agency Clearance Officer: Wilma H. McCauley, Tennessee

Valley Authority, 1101 Market Street (EB 5B), Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402–2801; (423) 751–2523.

Comments should be sent to OMB Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Attention: Desk Officer for Tennessee Valley Authority no later than September 24, 2001.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Type of Request: Regular submission, proposal to extend without revision a currently approved collection of information (OMB control number 3316–0096).

Title of Information Collection: Customer Input Card for TVA Recreation Areas.

Frequency of Use: On occasion. Type of Affected Public: Individuals or households.

Small Business or Organizations Affected: No.

Estimated Number of Annual Responses: 1,000.

Estimated Total Annual Burden Hours: 50.

Estimated Average Burden Hours Per Response: .05.

Need For and Use of Information:
This information collection asks visitors to selected TVA public use areas to provide feedback on the condition of the facilities they used and the services they received. The information collected will be used to evaluate current maintenance, facility, and service practices and policies and to identify new opportunities for improvements.

Jacklyn J. Stephenson,

Senior Manager, Enterprise Operations, Information Services.

[FR Doc. 01–21327 Filed 8–22–01; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Coast Guard

[USCG-2001-10423]

Towing Safety and Merchant Marine Personnel Advisory Committees

AGENCY: Coast Guard, DOT. **ACTION:** Notice of meetings.

SUMMARY: The Towing Safety Advisory Committee (TSAC) and the Merchant Marine Personnel Advisory Committee (MERPAC), along with their working groups, will meet jointly to discuss various issues relating to: (1) Shallow-draft inland and coastal waterway navigation and towing safety, and (2) merchant marine personnel, including safety, training, and qualifications. All meetings will be open to the public.

DATES: The Committees will meet on Thursday, September 27, 2001, from

8:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. The TSAC working groups on License Implementation, Fire Suppression and Voyage Planning, and Operator Alertness, and the MERPAC working groups will meet on Wednesday, September 26, 2001, from 9 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. Additionally, the TSAC working group on License Implementation will hold a special meeting from 8:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. on Tuesday, September 25, 2001. These meetings may close early if all business is finished. Requests to make oral presentations should reach the Coast Guard on or before September 19, 2001. Requests to have a copy of your material distributed to each member of the Committee or working group at the meeting should reach the Coast Guard on or before September 12, 2001. If you would like a copy of your material distributed to each member of the Committee or working group in advance of the meeting, that material must reach the Coast Guard no later than September 7, 2001 or, if submitted by e-mail, no later than September 12, 2001.

ADDRESSES: The Committees will meet in room 2415, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second Street, SW., Washington, DC. The Committees and working groups, on Wednesday, will first meet in room 2415 and may move to separate rooms designated at that time. The special meeting, on Tuesday, of the TSAC working group on License Implementation will be held in room 3317 at the same address. Send written material and requests to make oral presentations to Mr. Gerald Miante, Assistant Executive Director of TSAC, or Mr. Mark Gould, Assistant Executive Director of MERPAC, Commandant (G-MSO-1), U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, 2100 Second Street, SW.. Washington, DC 20593-0001. This notice is available on the Internet at http://dms.dot.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Miante or Mr. Gould, telephone 202–267–0229, fax 202–267–4570, or e-mail at: gmiante@comdt.uscg.mil and mgould@comdt.comdt.uscg.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Notice of these meetings is given under the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. App. 2.

Agendas of Meetings

The agenda tentatively includes the following:

(1) Introduction of the new Sponsor and Executive Directors and of Chairpersons and members.

(2) Řemarks by Sponsor (Rear Admiral Paul Pluta), Committee Chairpersons, and Executive Directors.

- (3) Briefing by the Office of Planning and Resources on the G–M Business Plan
- (4) Briefing by Captain Fink on the status of the National Maritime Center (NMC).
- (5) Briefing on Marine Transportation Recruiting and Retention.
- (6) Project Update on Licensing and Manning for Officers of Towing Vessels and Status report on the Licensing Implementation Working Group.
- (7) Project Update on Current Initiatives Regarding Crew Alertness and Status report of the Operator Alertness Working Group.
- (8) Project Update on the rulemaking on Fire-Suppression Systems and Voyage Planning for Towing Vessels and Status report of the Working Group.
- (9) Status Reports of other working groups, as required, and discussion of other items brought up by the Committees or the public.

Procedural

All meetings are open to the public. Please note that the meetings may close early if all business is finished. At the Chairs' discretion, members of the public may make oral presentations during the meetings. If you would like to make an oral presentation at a meeting, please notify the Assistant Executive Directors no later than September 19, 2001. Written material for distribution at a meeting should reach the Coast Guard no later than September 12, 2001. If you would like a copy of your material distributed to each member of the Committees or working groups in advance of a meeting, please submit 35 copies to the Assistant Executive Directors no later than September 7, 2001; or, you may submit electronic versions, complete and ready for distribution via e-mail to members, by September 12, 2001.

Information on Services for Individuals With Disabilities

For information on facilities or services for individuals with disabilities or to request special assistance at the meetings, contact the Assistant Executive Directors as soon as possible.

Dated: August 16, 2001.

Joseph J. Angelo,

Director of Standards, Marine Safety and Environmental Protection.

[FR Doc. 01–21354 Filed 8–22–01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-15-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

Advisory Circular; Compliance Criteria for 14 CFR 33.28, Aircraft Engines, Electrical and Electronic Engine Control Systems

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of availability of advisory circular (AC) No. 33.28–1, Compliance Criteria for 14 CFR 33.28, Aircraft Engines, Electrical and Electronic Engine Control Systems.

SUMMARY: The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) announces the availability of AC No. 33.28–1, Compliance Criteria for 14 CFR 33.28, Aircraft Engines, Electrical and Electronic Engine Control Systems.

DATES: The Engine and Propeller Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service, issued AC 33.28–1 on June 29, 2001.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary Horan, Engine and Propeller Standards Staff, ANE–110, 12 New England Executive Park, Burlington, MA 10803; telephone: (781) 238–7164; fax: (781) 238–7199; e-mail: gary.horan@faa.gov. The subject AC is available on the Internet at the following address: www.airweb.faa.gov/rgl.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The FAA published a notice in the **Federal Register** on January 26, 2000 (65 FR 4296) to announce the availability of the proposed AC and invite interested parties to comment. The FAA has carefully considered all comments received.

Background

This AC provides guidance material for methods of complying with § 33.28, Electrical and Electronic Control (EEC) Systems. Initially, EEC technology was primarily applied to engines designed for large transport aircraft applications; the certification practice and implementation of § 33.28 was oriented toward these applications. When the use of EEC technology was limited to a small group of manufacturers, the information and guidance provided in the rule itself was adequate. However, because the use of EEC controls has spread, the need for additional advisory material has become evident in several recent engine certification programs.

(Authority: 49 U.S.C. 106(g), 40113, 44701–44702, 44704.)