

Comment 10: Whether to Use Riverside's and Baroque Timber's Density Estimates Based on Actual Purchases or Density Figures from the 2017 Administrative Review in the Provision of Fiberboard and Veneers for Less Than Adequate Remuneration Calculations

Comment 11: Whether Commerce Incorrectly Calculated Baroque Timber's Unit Price for Fiberboard and Veneers

Comment 12: Whether to Include a Specific Harmonized Tariff Schedule Subheading in the Veneer Benchmark Calculation

Comment 13: Whether Commerce Should Adjust the Value-Added Tax Used to Calculate Benchmark Prices for the Provision of Inputs for Less Than Adequate Remuneration Calculations

Comment 14: Whether Commerce Should Revise Inland Freight Costs Used To Calculate Benchmark Prices for the Provision of Inputs for Less Than Adequate Remuneration Calculations

A. Domestic Transportation and Border Fees

B. Jiangsu Senmao's Inland Freight Distance

C. Jiangsu Senmao's Domestic Transportation Rate

Comment 15: Whether Commerce Should Include Negative Less Than Adequate Remuneration Calculations in Benefits

Comment 16: Whether Commerce's Decision to Countervail "Other Subsidies" Is Contrary to Law

Comment 17: Whether Commerce Should Assign Kember Flooring a Countervailable Duty Subsidy Rate

Comment 18: Whether Commerce Should Revise the U.S. Customs and Border Protection Instructions

XI. Recommendation

Appendix II

Non-Selected Companies Under Review

1. Anhui Boya Bamboo & Wood Products Co., Ltd.
2. Anhui Longhua Bamboo Product Co., Ltd.
3. Anhui Yaolong Bamboo & Wood Products Co., Ltd.
4. Armstrong Wood Products (Kunshan) Co., Ltd.
5. Benxi Flooring Factory (General Partnership)
6. Benxi Wood Company
7. Changzhou Hawd Flooring Co., Ltd.
8. Dalian Huilong Wooden Products Co., Ltd.
9. Dalian Jaenmakan Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
10. Dalian Jiahong Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
11. Dalian Kemian Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
12. Dalian Penghong Floor Products Co., Ltd.
13. Dalian Qianqiu Wooden Product Co., Ltd.
14. Dalian Shengyu Science and Technology Development Co.
15. Dalian Shumaike Floor Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
16. Dalian T-Boom Wood Products Co., Ltd.
17. Dongtai Fuan Universal Dynamics, LLC
18. Dun Hua Sen Tai Wood Co., Ltd.
19. Dunhua City Dexin Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
20. Dunhua City Hongyuan Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
21. Dunhua City Jisen Wood Industry Co., Ltd.

22. Dunhua Shengda Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
23. Fine Furniture (Shanghai) Limited
24. Fusong Jinlong Wooden Group Co., Ltd.
25. Fusong Jinqiu Wooden Product Co., Ltd.
26. Fusong Qianqiu Wooden Product Co., Ltd.
27. Guangzhou Homebon Timber Manufacturing Co., Ltd.
28. HaiLin LinJing Wooden Products Co., Ltd.
29. Hangzhou Hanje Tec Company Limited
30. Hangzhou Zhengtian Industrial Co., Ltd.
31. Hunchun Forest Wolf Wooden Industry Co., Ltd.
32. Hunchun Xingjia Wooden Flooring Inc.
33. Huzhou Chenghang Wood Co., Ltd.
34. Huzhou Fulinmen Imp. & Exp. Co., Ltd.
35. Huzhou Jesonwood Co., Ltd.
36. Huzhou Sunergy World Trade Co., Ltd.
37. Jiangsu Guyu International Trading Co., Ltd.
38. Jiangsu Keri Wood Co., Ltd.
39. Jiangsu Mingle Flooring Co., Ltd.
40. Jiangsu Simba Flooring Co., Ltd.
41. Jiashan HuiJiaLe Decoration Material Co., Ltd.
42. Jiaxing Hengtong Wood Co., Ltd.
43. Jilin Xinyuan Wooden Industry Co., Ltd.
44. Karly Wood Product Limited
45. Kember Flooring, Inc. (aka Kember Hardwood Flooring, Inc.)
46. Kemian Wood Industry (Kunshan) Co., Ltd.
47. Kingman Floors Co., Ltd.
48. Linyi Anying Wood Co., Ltd.
49. Linyi Youyou Wood Co., Ltd. (successor-in-interest to Shanghai Lizhong Wood Products Co., Ltd.) (aka, The Lizhong Wood Industry Limited Company of Shanghai)
50. Pingde Timber Manufacturing (Zhejiang) Co., Ltd.
51. Power Dekor Group Co. Ltd.
52. Scholar Home (Shanghai) New Material Co. Ltd.
53. Shanghaifloor Timber (Shanghai) Co., Ltd.
54. Sino-Maple (Jiangsu) Co., Ltd.
55. Suzhou Dongda Wood Co., Ltd.
56. Tongxiang Jisheng Import and Export Co., Ltd.
57. Xiamen Yung De Ornament Co., Ltd.
58. Xuzhou Shenghe Wood Co., Ltd.
59. Yekalon Industry, Inc.
60. Yihua Lifestyle Technology Co., Ltd.
61. Yingyi-Nature (Kunshan) Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
62. Zhejiang Dadongwu Greenhome Wood Co., Ltd.
63. Zhejiang Fuerjia Wooden Co., Ltd.
64. Zhejiang Jiechen Wood Industry Co., Ltd.
65. Zhejiang Longsen Lumbering Co., Ltd.
66. Zhejiang Shiyou Timber Co., Ltd.
67. Zhejiang Shuimojiangnan New Material Technology Co., Ltd.
68. Zhejiang Simite Wooden Co., Ltd.

[FR Doc. 2021-23373 Filed 10-26-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-520-807]

Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe From the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018-2019

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (Commerce) determines that producers and/or exporters subject to this administrative review made sales of subject merchandise at less than fair value (LTFV) during the period of review (POR), December 1, 2018, through November 30, 2019.

DATES: Applicable October 27, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Benjamin A. Luberda or Steven Seifert, AD/CVD Operations, Office II, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-2185 or (202) 482-3350, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

This review covers three producers/exporters of the subject merchandise. Commerce selected two mandatory respondents for individual examination: Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C./Noble Steel Industries L.L.C. (collectively, Ajmal)¹ and Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd./THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC/KHK Scaffolding and Formwork LLC (collectively, Universal).² The producer/

¹ We collapsed Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C. and Noble Steel Industries L.L.C. together in the final results of the 2016-2017 administrative review. See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2016-2017*, 84 FR 44845 (August 27, 2019) (CWP from the UAE 2016-2017 Final Results). Because there is no information on the record of this administrative review that would lead us to revisit this determination, we are continuing to treat these companies as part of a single entity for the purposes of this administrative review.

² Commerce previously determined that Universal is a single entity consisting of the following three producers/exporters of subject merchandise: Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd.; KHK Scaffolding and Framework LLC; and Universal Tube and Pipe Industries LLC (UTP). See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Affirmative Preliminary Determination of Sales at Less Than Fair Value and Postponement of Final Determination*, 81 FR 36882 (June 8, 2016), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum, unchanged in *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Final Determination of Sales at Less*

exporter not selected for individual examination is Conares Metal Supply Limited (Conares).

On April 23, 2021, Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*.³ On August 13, 2021, we postponed the final results until October 20, 2021.⁴ A summary of the events that occurred since Commerce published the *Preliminary Results*, as well as a full discussion of the issues raised by interested parties for these final results, may be found in the Issues and Decision Memorandum, which is hereby adopted by this notice.⁵ The Issues and Decision Memorandum is a public document and is on file electronically via Enforcement and Compliance's Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Centralized Electronic Service System (ACCESS). ACCESS is available to registered users at <http://access.trade.gov>. In addition, a complete version of the Issues and Decision Memorandum can be accessed directly at <https://access.trade.gov/public/FRNoticesListLayout.aspx>.

Commerce conducted this administrative review in accordance

with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (the Act).

Scope of the Order

The merchandise subject to the order is welded carbon-quality steel pipes and tube, of circular cross-section, with an outside diameter not more than nominal 16 inches (406.4 mm), regardless of wall thickness, surface finish, end finish, or industry specification, and generally known as standard pipe, fence pipe and tube, sprinkler pipe, or structural pipe (although subject product may also be referred to as mechanical tubing). The products subject to this order are currently classifiable in Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States (HTSUS) statistical reporting numbers 7306.19.1010, 7306.19.1050, 7306.19.5110, 7306.19.5150, 7306.30.1000, 7306.30.5015, 7306.30.5020, 7306.30.5025, 7306.30.5032, 7306.30.5040, 7306.30.5055, 7306.30.5085, 7306.30.5090, 7306.50.1000, 7306.50.5030, 7306.50.5050, and 7306.50.5070. Although the HTSUS numbers are provided for convenience

and for customs purposes, the written product description remains dispositive.⁶

Analysis of Comments Received

All issues raised in case and rebuttal briefs by interested parties to this administrative review are addressed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum. For a list of issues raised by parties, see appendix to this notice.

Changes Since the Preliminary Results

Based on a review of the record and comments received from interested parties regarding the *Preliminary Results*, we made certain changes to the preliminary weighted-average margin calculations for Universal and for those companies not selected for individual review.⁷

Final Results of the Review

We are assigning the following weighted-average dumping margins to the firms listed below for the period December 1, 2018, through November 30, 2021:

Exporter/producer	Weighted-average dumping margin (percent)
Ajmal Steel Tubes & Pipes Ind. L.L.C./Noble Steel Industries L.L.C	54.27
Universal Tube and Plastic Industries, Ltd./THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC/KHK Scaffolding and Formwork LLC	1.62
Conares Metal Supply Limited	1.62

We intend to disclose the calculations performed within five days of the date of publication of this notice to parties in this proceeding, in accordance with 19 CFR 351.224(b).

Assessment Rates

Pursuant to section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act, and 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), Commerce has determined, and U.S. Customs and Border Protection (CBP) shall assess, antidumping duties on all appropriate entries of subject merchandise in accordance with the final results of this review.

Pursuant to 19 CFR 351.212(b)(1), where Universal reported the entered value of their U.S. sales, we calculated

importer-specific *ad valorem* duty assessment rates based on the ratio of the total amount of dumping calculated for the examined sales to the total entered value of the sales for which entered value was reported. Where either the respondent's weighted-average dumping margin is zero or *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), or an importer-specific rate is zero or *de minimis*, we will instruct CBP to liquidate the appropriate entries without regard to antidumping duties.

The assessment rate for antidumping duties for the company not selected for individual examination (*i.e.*, Conares)

and for Ajmal, which has been assigned a rate based entirely on total adverse facts available, will be equal to the respective weighted-average dumping margin identified above in the Final Results of the Review. The final results of this review shall be the basis for the assessment of antidumping duties on entries of merchandise covered by the final results of this review and for future deposits of estimated duties, where applicable.⁸

Commerce's "automatic assessment" will apply to entries of subject merchandise during the POR produced by companies included in these final results of review for which the reviewed

Than Fair Value, 81 FR 75030 (October 28, 2016), and accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum. Because there is no information on the record of this administrative review that would lead us to revisit this determination, we are continuing to treat these companies as part of a single entity for the purposes of this administrative review. Additionally, we previously determined that THL Tube and Pipe Industries LLC is the successor-in-interest to UTP. See *CWP from the UAE 2016–2017 Final Results*.

³ See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review and Partial Rescission of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review; 2018–2019*, 86 FR 21688 (April 23, 2021) (*Preliminary Results*), and accompanying Preliminary Decision Memorandum.

⁴ See Memorandum, "Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates: Extension of Deadline for Final Results of 2018–2019 Administrative Review," dated August 13, 2021.

⁵ See Memorandum, "Issues and Decision Memorandum for the Final Results of the 2018–2019 Administrative Review of the Antidumping Duty Order on Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the United Arab Emirates," dated concurrently with, and hereby adopted by, this notice (Issues and Decision Memorandum).

⁶ For a complete description of the scope of the order, see the Issues and Decision Memorandum.

⁷ See accompanying Issues and Decision Memorandum.

⁸ See section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act.

companies did not know that the merchandise they sold to the intermediary (e.g., a reseller, trading company, or exporter) was destined for the United States. In such instances, we will instruct CBP to liquidate unreviewed entries at the all-others rate if there is no rate for the intermediate company(ies) involved in the transaction.⁹

We intend to issue assessment instructions to CBP no earlier than 35 days after the date of publication of the final results of this review in the **Federal Register**. If a timely summons is filed at the U.S. Court of International Trade, the assessment instructions will direct CBP not to liquidate relevant entries until the time for parties to file a request for a statutory injunction has expired (i.e., within 90 days of publication).

Cash Deposit Requirements

The following cash deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(2)(C) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for each specific company listed above will be that established in the final results of this review, except if the rate is less than 0.50 percent and, therefore, *de minimis* within the meaning of 19 CFR 351.106(c)(1), in which case the cash deposit rate will be zero; (2) for previously investigated companies not subject to this review, the cash deposit will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recently completed segment of this proceeding; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, or a previous segment, but the manufacturer is, then the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent segment for the manufacturer of the merchandise; and (4) the cash deposit rate for all other manufacturers or exporters will continue to be 5.95 percent, the all-others rate established in the LTFV investigation.¹⁰ These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until further notice.

⁹ For a full discussion of this practice, see *Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Proceedings: Assessment of Antidumping Duties*, 68 FR 23954 (May 6, 2003).

¹⁰ See *Circular Welded Carbon-Quality Steel Pipe from the Sultanate of Oman, Pakistan, and the United Arab Emirates: Amended Final Affirmative Antidumping Duty Determination and Antidumping Duty Orders*, 81 FR 91906, 91908 (December 19, 2016).

Notification to Importers

This notice serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 351.402(f)(2) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

Notification Regarding Administrative Protective Order

This notice serves as the only reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 351.305(a)(3), which continues to govern business proprietary information in this segment of the proceeding. Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

Notification to Interested Parties

We are issuing and publishing this notice in accordance with sections 751(a)(1) and 777(i) of the Act.

Dated: October 20, 2021.

Ryan Majerus,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Policy and Negotiations performing the non-exclusive functions and duties of the Assistant Secretary for Enforcement and Compliance.

Appendix

List of Topics Discussed in the Issues and Decision Memorandum

- I. Summary
- II. Background
- III. Scope of the Order
- IV. Use of Adverse Facts Available
- V. Margin Calculations
- VI. Discussion of Issues
 - Ajmal-Specific Issue*
 - Comment 1: Application of Adverse Facts Available (AFA) Based on Failure to Cooperate
 - Universal-Specific Issues*
 - Comment 2: Whether To Cap Universal's Cutting Revenue
 - Comment 3: Whether To Revise Universal's Reported Theoretical Weight
 - Comment 4: Whether To Use Universal's Most Recently Submitted Data Sets
 - Other Issue*
 - Comment 5: Rate for the Non-Selected Company

VII. Recommendation

[FR Doc. 2021-23372 Filed 10-26-21; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

[A-560-822, A-557-813, A-570-886, A-583-843, A-549-821, A-552-806, C-552-805]

Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags From Indonesia, Malaysia, the People's Republic of China, Taiwan, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam: Continuation of the Antidumping Duty Orders and Countervailing Duty Order

AGENCY: Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

SUMMARY: As a result of the determinations by the Department of Commerce (Commerce) and the U.S. International Trade Commission (ITC) that revocation of the antidumping duty (AD) orders on polyethylene retail carrier bags (PRCBs) from Indonesia, Malaysia, the People's Republic of China (China), Taiwan, Thailand, and the Socialist Republic of Vietnam (Vietnam) and the countervailing duty (CVD) order on PRCBs from Vietnam would likely lead to a continuation or recurrence of dumping, countervailable subsidies, and material injury to an industry in the United States, Commerce is publishing a notice of continuation of these AD and CVD orders.

DATES: Applicable October 27, 2021.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Claudia Cott or Minoo Hatten, AD/CVD Operations, Office I, Enforcement and Compliance, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482-4270 or (202) 482-1690, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 9, 2004, Commerce published in the **Federal Register** the AD orders on PRCBs from Malaysia, China, and Thailand, and on May 4, 2010, the AD orders on PRCBs from Indonesia, Taiwan, and Vietnam and the CVD order on PRCBs from Vietnam.¹ On

¹ See *Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Malaysia*, 69 FR 48203 (August 9, 2004); *Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from the People's Republic of China*, 69 FR 48201 (August 9, 2004); *Antidumping Duty Order: Polyethylene Retail Carrier Bags from Thailand*, 69 FR 48204 (August 9, 2004); *Antidumping Duty Orders: Polyethylene*